# Unpacking Colibri Loader: A Russian APT linked Campaign

By André Tavares

Published: 2022-11-30 · Archived: 2026-04-06 01:13:28 UTC

Between July and October 2022 Bitsight observed a ColibriLoader malware campaign being distributed by PrivateLoader, which was identified as being utilized by the threat actor UAC-0113, a group linked to Sandworm by CERT-UA. Sandworm is known to be a Russian advanced persistent threat (APT) group affiliated with The Main Directorate of the General Staff of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation (GRU). In this research, we present how to manually “unpack” a sample from a recent campaign. Unpacking means reaching the final stage of the malware, which contains its main functionality. We also share some threat-hunting signatures and indicators of compromise which can be utilized in defense and tracking efforts.

ColibriLoader is a Malware-as-a-Service family, first advertised on XSS.is cybercrime forum in August 2021 to "people who have large volumes of traffic and lack of time to work out the material" (Fig. 1). For $150/week or $400/month, it offers a small, unpacked, obfuscated loader written in C and assembly, along with a control panel written in PHP. As its name suggests, it’s meant to deliver and manage payloads onto infected computers. Moreover, the malware ignores systems from Commonwealth of Independent States countries (Armenian, Azerbaijani, Belarusian, Hungarian, Kazakh, Kyrgyz, Romanian, Russian, Tajik, Turkmen, Uzbek).




Fig. 1 - Post on XSS.is cybercrime forum by user “c0d3r_0f_shr0d13ng3r”

PrivateLoader is a loader from a pay-per-install malware distribution service that has been utilized to distribute info stealers, banking trojans, loaders, spambots, rats, miners and ransomware on Windows machines. While monitoring PrivateLoader malware distribution activity, we spotted ColibriLoader being distributed between July and October. Many security products automatically classified these samples and we noticed that all of them have the tag “*Build1*”, which may represent the botnet or campaign id. Contradicting the author's advertisements, we

noticed some indicators suggesting that the samples are packed, such as their size, which should be around 20KB, and the fact that it contains only two sections, the *.text* and *.reloc*, which was not the case.

In order to evade antivirus security products and frustrate malware reverse engineering, malware operators leverage encryption and compression via executable packing to protect their malicious code. Malware packers are software programs that either encrypt or compress the original binary, making it unreadable until it's placed in memory. In general, malware packers consist of two components, a packed buffer, the actual malicious code, and an unpacking stub responsible for unpacking and executing the packed buffer. Threat Actors make use of packers when distributing their malware as they remain an effective way to evade detection and make the malware harder to analyze. Manual analysis can defeat these protections and help develop tools that aid in this costly task.

Let's have a look at a sample dropped by PrivateLoader on September 4, 2022. First, we tried to use unpac.me service to try to unpack it automatically but we were unlucky. So, let's dive deep into this sample.

## Resolving the Windows API

Opening it on IDA Pro, on the main function (Fig. 3), we can see a pattern that seems to be a way of dynamically resolving some Windows API functions, which are usually crucial to understand the code behavior quickly. The malware walks the process environment block (PEB), looking for the in-memory loaded modules base addresses on the current process, finds the functions exported by each, hashes them, and compares it with the hash of LoadLibrary. Then, it calls *LoadLibraryA* to load *kernel32.dll* to get a module handle for it, but does not actually load it since it is already loaded by default, and then searches for the target export function (a more detailed explanation can be found here). By searching on Google for the constants in the code that generates the hash, we confirmed the hashing algorithm in use is Fowler–Noll–Vo.

```
  for ( i = NtCurrentTeb()->ProcessEnvironmentBlock->Ldr->InLoadOrderModuleList.Flink; ; i = v87->Flink )
  {
    Flink = i[3].Flink;
    v87 = i;
    v5 = (Flink + *(&Flink[7].Blink[15].Flink + Flink));
    if ( v5 != Flink )
    {
      v6 = v5[3].Flink == 0;
      v89 = 0;
      if ( !v6 )
        break;
    }
LABEL_11:
    ;
  }
  v7 = (&Flink->Flink + v5[4].Flink);
  while ( 1 )
  {
    v8 = Flink + *v7;
    v90 = 0x811C9DC5;                         // Fowler-Noll-Vo offset basis
    v91 = *v8;
    v9 = v89;
    if ( v91 )
      break;
LABEL_10:
    ++v7;
    v89 = v9 + 1;
    if ( (v9 + 1) >= v5[3].Flink )
      goto LABEL_11;
  }
  v10 = v91;
  v11 = v90;
  do
  {
    v11 = 0x1000193 * (v11 ^ v10);            // Fowler-Noll-Vo prime
    v10 = *++v8;
  }
  while ( *v8 );
  v90 = v11;
  v12 = 0;
  if ( v90 != 0x53B2070F )                    // LoadLibraryA
  {
    v9 = v89;
    goto LABEL_10;
  }
  v89 = ((Flink + *(&v5[3].Blink->Flink + 4 * *(&v5[4].Blink->Flink + 2 * v89 + Flink) + Flink)))("kernel32.dll");
```

Fig. 3 - Example of Windows API resolution.

## Encrypted Shellcode and Executable

Looking a bit further through the code, we can spot what seems to be an XOR decryption of 520 bytes of shellcode at *0x454708*, where the key is *"2760"*, and also the change in the protection of that region *(VirtualProtect)* to *PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE (0x40)*, as well as four calls of a function within that region (Fig. 4).

```
for ( idx = 0; idx < 520; ++idx )
  shellcode_454708[idx] ^= xor_key_1[idx & 3];// XOR decrypt
v43 = (v36 + *(*(v36 + 60) + v36 + 120));
for ( ii = (v36 + v43[8]); ; ++ii )
{
  v45 = (v36 + *ii);
  v92 = 0x811C9DC5;
  v46 = *v45;
  if ( *v45 )
  {
    v47 = v92;
    do
    {
      v47 = 0x1000193 * (v47 ^ v46);
      v46 = *++v45;
    }
    while ( *v45 );
    v92 = v47;
    v6 = v47 == 0x820621F3;                     // VirtualProtect
    v36 = hKernel32;
    if ( v6 )
      break;
  }
  ++v41;
}
((hKernel32 + *(v43[7] + 4 * *(v43[9] + 2 * v41 + hKernel32) + hKernel32)))(shellcode_454708, 520, 0x40, v82);
v48 = (shellcode_4547A8)(&unk_4C2508, 1090, 5, &v86, &ntdll_dll, &RtlAllocateHeap);
v81 = v48;
v88 = (shellcode_4547A8)(&unk_454910, 449528, 10, &v83, &ntdll_dll, &RtlAllocateHeap);
v90 = (shellcode_4547A8)(&unk_4C2950, 153600, 10, &v85, &ntdll_dll, &RtlAllocateHeap);
v87 = (shellcode_4547A8)(&unk_454020, 1761, 5, &v84, &ntdll_dll, &RtlAllocateHeap);
```

Fig. 4 - Shellcode decryption.

We can confirm it by disassembling the shellcode function at *0x4547A8* after running it on x32dbg (Fig. 5)

```
004547A8 | 55            | push ebp
004547A9 | 8BEC          | mov ebp,esp
004547AB | 83EC 10       | sub esp,10
004547AE | 53            | push ebx
004547AF | 56            | push esi
004547B0 | 57            | push edi
004547B1 | 68 271B595E   | push 5E591B27
004547B6 | E8 4DFFFFFF   | call colibri_04_09_2022.454708
004547BB | 68 03DD4098   | push 9840DD03
004547C0 | 8BF0          | mov esi,eax
004547C2 | E8 41FFFFFF   | call colibri_04_09_2022.454708
004547C7 | 68 D3ACAC1D   | push 1DACACD3
004547CC | 8BF8          | mov edi,eax
004547CE | E8 35FFFFFF   | call colibri_04_09_2022.454708
004547D3 | 83C4 0C       | add esp,C
004547D6 | FFD0          | call eax
004547D8 | FF75 18       | push dword ptr ss:[ebp+18]
004547DB | 8BD8          | mov ebx,eax
004547DD | 895D FC       | mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-4],ebx
004547E0 | FFD6          | call esi
004547E2 | FF75 1C       | push dword ptr ss:[ebp+1C]
004547E5 | 50            | push eax
004547E6 | FFD7          | call edi
004547E8 | 8B7D 0C       | mov edi,dword ptr ss:[ebp+C]
004547EB | 57            | push edi
004547EC | 6A 08         | push 8
004547EE | 53            | push ebx
004547EF | 8945 F8       | mov dword ptr ss:[ebp-8],eax
004547F2 | FFD0          | call eax
```

Fig. 5 - Decrypted shellcode #1 function at 0x4547A8.

This function allocates memory on the heap and copies some data to it. The size of the region to be allocated is on the second argument. Going back to the main code, there's XOR decryption done on each piece of data, and subsequently a call to *VirtualProtect* to enable execution of the newly decrypted shellcode (Fig. 6). Their arguments are what seems to be a file path, a pointer to an executable, what seems to be an XOR key, and probably the size of the executable (*0x12C00* bytes, or 76.8KB)




Fig. 6 - Decrypted executable at 0x78ECA80.

After saving to file that executable and sending it to VirusTotal, we can see that it was [already uploaded](). Again, no luck on unpacking it with [unpac.me](). Entering the last decrypted shellcode, it seems to dynamically resolve some Windows API functions by passing a hash and then it creates a file at *C:\ProgramData\conhost.exe* (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7 - Decrypted shellcode #2.

After running it, a file was indeed dropped at the expected location, which turns out to be the same file we manually dumped. VirusTotal shows [173 executables dropping this file](), most with compilation and first-seen timestamps from September 2022.

Let's have a look at the dropped file. Opening again on IDA Pro, looking at the main function, it seems very similar to the previous stage, almost a copy, with some minor changes. In the end, we can quickly spot the same pattern of resolving *VirtualProtect*, calling it, and then calling the decrypted shellcode, just as seen before. After running on x32dbg with a breakpoint at that last call, we can see as before that the pointer to the newly decrypted executable is the second argument (Fig. 8). However, this time the size is not being passed as an argument, but we can get it from other places, such as the call to a function that decrypts the executable, where the size to be decrypted is passed on the first argument.




Fig. 8 - Decrypted executable at 0x7DCC838.

Going back, by putting a breakpoint on the call to decrypt the executable, we can see that the size is *0x5000* bytes (or 20KB). We can now extract the executable from memory and have a look at it. The file seems to be a valid executable with only two sections, *.text* and *.reloc*. There's sufficient evidence to conclude that we have successfully unpacked the ColibriLoader.

At the time of this research, this last stage was not yet on VirusTotal. Later, we found a quicker way of unpacking the malware. We used [this script]() to extract executables from memory dumps (from a sandbox run for example) and then the YARA rule we share below was used to find the Colibri sample.

Finally, let's have a very quick look at the actual malware. The first anti-analysis trick we encounter is called *opaque predicates* (Fig. 9); It's a commonly used technique in program obfuscation, intended to add complexity to the control flow. There are many patterns of this technique but in this case, the malware author simply takes an

absolute jump (JMP) and transforms it into two conditional jumps, jump if zero (JZ) and jump if not zero (JNZ). Depending on the value of the Zero flag (ZF), the execution will follow the first or second branch. However, disassemblers are tricked into thinking that there is a fall-through branch if the second jump is not taken (which is impossible as one of them must be taken) and try to disassemble the unreachable instructions (often invalid) resulting in garbage code.

```
.text:00405623 ; ---------------------------------------------------------------
.text:00405623
.text:00405623                 public start
.text:00405623 start:
.text:00405623                 push    ebx
.text:00405624                 push    esi
.text:00405625                 push    edi
.text:00405626                 jz      short near ptr loc_40562A+1
.text:00405628                 jnz     short near ptr loc_40562A+1
.text:0040562A
.text:0040562A loc_40562A:                             ; CODE XREF: .text:00405626↑j
.text:0040562A                                         ; .text:00405628↑j
.text:0040562A                 mov     eax, 0FFFF4DE8h
.text:0040562F                 call    fword ptr [edi+5Eh]
.text:00405632                 pop     ebx
.text:00405633                 retn
.text:00405634 ; ---------------------------------------------------------------
```

Fig. 9 - Example of ColibriLoader opaque predicates anti-analysis technique.

In order for IDA Pro to load it properly, we need to patch the first conditional jump to an absolute jump and *NOP* out the second jump (Fig. 10). We've automated this task using myrtus0x0's code since SmokeLoader also uses this technique.

```
.text:00405623 ; =============== S U B R O U T I N E =========================
.text:00405623
.text:00405623
.text:00405623 ; int start()
.text:00405623                 public start
.text:00405623 start           proc near
.text:00405623                 push    ebx
.text:00405624                 push    esi
.text:00405625                 push    edi
.text:00405626                 jmp     short loc_40562B
.text:00405626 ; ---------------------------------------------------------------
.text:00405628                 db 3 dup(90h)
.text:0040562B ; ---------------------------------------------------------------
.text:0040562B
.text:0040562B loc_40562B:                             ; CODE XREF: start+3↑j
.text:0040562B                 call    sub_40557D
.text:00405630                 pop     edi
.text:00405631                 pop     esi
.text:00405632                 pop     ebx
.text:00405633                 retn
.text:00405633 start           endp
```

Fig. 10 - Patched ColibriLoader.

The last analysis we did was trying to extract the strings the malware uses, which will contain indicators of compromise, such as command and control servers. After looking a bit through the code, it wasn’t hard to find the string decryption function at *0x40594B* since there are 71 cross-references for it, so it’s probably the most used function (Fig. 11 and 12).

```
sub_40594B(off_401130, *(&off_401130 + 1), *(&off_401130 + 2), *(&off_401130 + 3));
```

Fig. 11 - Example call to the string decryption function.

```
void __cdecl sub_40594B(WORD *str_enc, unsigned int str_len, WORD *key, unsigned int key_len)
```




Fig. 12 - String decryption loop from function at 0x40594B.

This code seems straightforward enough. Strings are encrypted with an XOR key passed as an argument to the function. Yet, we didn't need to script this out because we've found a working IDA script from Casperinous. Here are the results:

0x401faf %s\\%s

0x401fd4 \\Microsoft\\WindowsApps

0x401ffa Get-Variable.exe

0x402020 powershell.exe -windowstyle hidden

0x402046 %s:Zone.Identifier

0x40229c %s\\%s

0x4022c1 \\WindowsPowerShell

0x4022e7 dllhost.exe

0x40230d %s:Zone.Identifier

0x402579 %s\\%s

0x40259e \\Microsoft\\WindowsApps

0x4025c4 Get-Variable.exe

0x402706 %s\\%s

0x40272b \\WindowsPowerShell

0x402751 dllhost.exe

0x4028e5 %s:Zone.Identifier

0x402999 runas

0x4029bf cmd.exe

0x4029e5 /c %s%s%s %s

0x402b49 %s\\rundll32.exe %s,%s

0x402c4e %s /s

0x402c74 runas

0x402ca8 %s\\System32\\regsrv32.exe
0x402cff %s\\SysWOW64\\regsrv32.exe
0x402e48 /c %s%s%s %s
0x402e6e cmd.exe
0x402e94 open
0x403041 %s%s
0x403612 6rmUi1hRdfbV0QyXqAoT
0x4037c0 /c chcp 65001 && ping 127.0.0.1 && DEL /F /S /Q /A %s%s%s
0x4037e5 cmd.exe
0x4038db Software\\Microsoft\\Windows NT\\CurrentVersion
0x403903 ProductName
0x4039cc Unknown
0x403c07 %08lX%04lX%lu
0x403c81  /create /tn COMSurrogate /st 00:00 /du 9999:59 /sc once /ri 1 /f /tr
0x403ca7 %s\\schtasks.exe
0x403e4b %s\\schtasks.exe
0x403e71  /delete /tn COMSurrogate /f
0x404058 Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
0x404488 zpltcmgodhvvedxtfcygvbgjkvgvcguygytfigj.cc
0x4044ad yugyuvyugguitgyuigtfyutdtoghghbbgyv.cx
0x404582 /gate.php
0x4045a8 hf9qkeO66MP7WJXkg9rp
0x4045ce 2OrnJZG6Wtbzd4bKJoS0
0x4045f4 %s?type=%s&uid=%s
0x40461a check
0x404640 GET
0x404666 HTTP/1.1
0x40489d 1.2.0
0x4048c3 Build1
0x4048e9 /gate.php
0x40490f hf9qkeO66MP7WJXkg9rp
0x404934 2OrnJZG6Wtbzd4bKJoS0
0x40495a %s?type=%s&uid=%s
0x404980 update
0x4049a6 POST
0x4049cc HTTP/1.1
0x4049f2 %s|%s|%s|%s|%s|%s|%s
0x404a18 32bit
0x404a3e 64bit
0x404d9c Build1
0x404dc3 /gate.php
0x404dec hf9qkeO66MP7WJXkg9rp

0x404e13 2OrnJZG6Wtbzd4bKJoS0
0x404e3a %s?type=%s&uid=%s
0x404e61 ping
0x404e88 POST
0x404eaf HTTP/1.1
0x404ed6 %s|%s|%s|%s|%s|%s|%s
0x4054d3 0123456789ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz

These decrypted strings also allow us to further reverse the malware more quickly if we need to.

We presented a way to manually unpack the ColibriLoader samples from a campaign linked to the threat actor UAC-0113. Later, we found a quicker way of unpacking the malware using the pe_extract.py script combined with a YARA rule which detects unpacked samples of ColibriLoader, which we share below. All indicators of compromise and threat-hunting rules can be found at https://github.com/bitsight-research/threat_research

Here’s a **YARA rule** to detect packed ColibriLoader samples based on a typo:

The following **YARA rule** detects unpacked ColibriLoader samples based on the string decryption function. This rule was tested on VirusTotal and it returned few results with first-seen timestamps between September 2021 and November 2022.

Here’s a **Suricata rule** to detect the ColibriLoader network traffic, specifically its C2 check-in request, tested with a PCAP generated from a sandbox run of the malware:

---

Source: https://www.bitsight.com/blog/unpacking-colibri-loader-russian-apt-linked-campaign