

# REvil: the usage of legitimate remote admin tooling

By Krijn de Mik

Published: 2021-06-10 · Archived: 2026-04-05 21:51:59 UTC

## 1. Introduction

Recently, Hunt & Hackett did an incident response engagement involving Sodinokibi (also known as REvil) ransomware. During the incident, the adversary installed a ScreenConnect service on several systems, functioning as a backdoor. This gave the adversary the possibility to connect directly to those systems, without the need of using the Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP), or the need to authenticate (this is required of course for installation of ScreenConnect). This is a rather efficient and effective technique used by more threat actors, next to other types of legitimate Remote Administration Tools like TeamViewer and AnyDesk. Other examples of threat actors that have been using ScreenConnect in the past are the Iranian actor named Static Kitten [1] and another targeted ransomware group called Zeppelin [2].

In this blog post, we will look into the traces left behind by the usage of ScreenConnect remote administration software and how these traces can help defenders with building custom detection.

## 2. ScreenConnect traces and detection

This chapter describes the different traces left by ScreenConnect when it is actively used. Furthermore, some rules are provided in order to detect the usage of ScreenConnect on a system, or in an infrastructure.

### 2.1 ScreenConnect functionality

ConnectWise Control [3] (formerly known as ScreenConnect) is advertised as a solution that "gives your techs full remote access to remotely control, troubleshoot, and update client devices". It should not come as a surprise that ScreenConnect can thus also be used for malicious purposes.

Via the web interface, ConnectWise Control (among others) offers functionality to remotely:

- Execute arbitrary commands;
- Terminate processes;
- Uninstall software;
- View event logs;
- Start / Stop services;
- Install Windows updates.

Additionally, ConnectWise Control allows an operator to take control of a machine's desktop session. During a recent incident response case, the File Transfer functionality was among others used to upload MimiKatz [4] to a compromised system, as well as to upload other tools like Advanced IP Scanner and the actual ransomware.




Figure 1- Machine with status connected in ConnectWise Control.

All events related to ScreenConnect can be found in the Windows event logs and are logged with the provider name 'ScreenConnect Client (<hex string>'. More specifically in the Application.evtx and System.evtx log files, which can generally be found at the following location:

- C:\Windows\System32\winevt\logs\<event log file>.evtx

In Table 2 an overview is given of the different events that are being logged in the Windows event logs, what is being logged, in which log file the event can be found and what the corresponding EventID is.

Event	Value	Log	EventID
<b>Service being installed</b>	A service was installed in the system	System	7045
<b>Start of remote session</b>	Cloud Account Administrator Connected	Application	0
<b>Closing of remote session</b>	Cloud Account Administrator Disconnected	Application	0
<b>File upload/ transfer</b>	Transferred files with action 'Transfer': <list of file names>	Application	0
<b>Command execution</b>	Executed command of length: <size>	Application	0

Table 2- Windows Event log event information and variables.

## 2.2 ScreenConnect installation of service

When ScreenConnect is being installed, it installs itself as a service. Services that are being installed show up in the Windows event logs and can therefore be detected. More specifically, these events can be found in the 'System' event log and get the Event ID 7045. An example of such an event is shown in *Figure 2*.




Figure 2 - ScreenConnect being installed as a service Windows event.

### 2.3 ScreenConnect start and ending of a session

Once a user decides to 'Join' an endpoint (as shown in *Figure 1*) and to interact with it, a new event is being logged in the Windows Application event log. An example of such a recorded event is shown in *Figure 3*. A session disconnect is recorded as well and an example is shown in *Figure 4*.




Figure 3 - Cloud Account Administrator Connected event.




Figure 4 - Cloud Account Administrator Disconnected event.

## 2.4 ScreenConnect file transfers

ScreenConnect offers different ways of interacting with the endpoint on which the ScreenConnect agent is installed. File Transferring is one of them. Files can be both send as well as being retrieved from an endpoint as shown in *Figure 5*.




Figure 5 - ConnectWise Control file transfer functionality.

When files are transferred, the Windows Application event log not only records this as an event, but also registers the file that is being exchanged. In *Figure 6* the file payload.exe is transferred to the endpoint. Do note that the retrieval of files is not logged in the Windows Application event log.




Figure 6 - Windows event log event indicating a file has been transferred.

## 2.5 ScreenConnect command execution

Another form of interaction with an endpoint is command execution. Via the ConnectWise Control center it's possible to type a command, hit the 'Run Command' button, after which the command is executed. The commands that are allowed to be used are the commands that are generally supported by the Windows Command Prompt. An example of the interface in ConnectWise Control is shown in *Figure 7*.




Figure 7 - ConnectWise Control command execution functionality.

Upon execution of an operator invoked task, a Windows Event is generated that indicates a command of a certain length has been executed, as shown in *Figure 8*. The type of executed task cannot be derived from the Windows Event Logs. However, manually-executed shell commands are launched from ScreenConnect.ClientService.exe as command (.cmd) scripts, whereas tasks like process listing and termination are executed via Powershell (.ps1) scripts.




Figure 8 - Windows Eventlog event indicating an executed command.

The process of a command task being launched by ScreenConnect.ClientService.exe, the actual execution of the command and the result are outlined in a bit more detail as shown in *Table 3*.

C:\Program Files (x86)\ScreenConnect Client (<hex string>)\ScreenConnect.ClientService.exe
--> "cmd.exe" /c "C:\Windows\TEMP\ScreenConnect\<version>\<uuid>run.cmd"
--> <executed shell command>

**Table 3** - Execution chain of command via the ConnectWise Control interface.

## 2.6 ScreenConnect detection and response

Installation of and interaction with ScreenConnect can be detected. We have developed Carbon Black and YARA-L rules to support detection efforts. These rules can be found in appendix 1, at the bottom of this page.

Furthermore, from a forensics perspective, the fact that ScreenConnect.ClientService.exe writes either the command(s) to a .cmd, or a .ps1 file before executing the command, might make it possible (hasn't been tested yet by Hunt & Hackett) to carve for these files. This potentially shines a bit more light on the actual commands that have been executed by the adversary.

## 3. Anti-forensics and robust detection

ScreenConnect event logs can indicate that an operator has connected to a machine or performed certain actions like executing commands or transferring files.

At first glance, it might appear that monitoring for ScreenConnect events might be enough to detect malicious usage of ScreenConnect. This is however not something we can always assume. For robust detection we cannot take for granted that all information is always available.

For example, we cannot just rely on the Windows event logs. ScreenConnect events are generated by the application itself, meaning that a determined attacker can prevent any logs from being created. The ScreenConnect client uses the TryWriteInformationToEventLog() function to log certain events.

```
CommandMessage1 commandMessage = obj as CommandMessage1;
if (commandMessage != null)
{
    Extensions.TryWriteInformationToEventLog(this.ClientLaunchParameters,
        "Executed command of length: " + commandMessage.Text.Length.ToString());
}
```

Figure 9 - ScreenConnect event log operation.

TryWriteInformationToEventLog() is implemented in the unsigned ScreenConnect.Core.dll module and, below the surface, is merely a wrapper around EventLog.WriteEntry(). If we can modify the application in such a way that EventLog.WriteEntry() is no longer ever executed, we can prevent the generation of event logs.

```
public static void TryWriteExceptionToEventLog(string appName, object exceptionObject)
{
    Extensions.Try(delegate
    {
        EventLog.WriteEntry(appName, exceptionObject.ToString(), EventLogEntryType.Error);
    }, null);
}
```

Figure 10 - ScreenConnect write to EventLog.WriteEntry.

To demonstrate that we can prevent ScreenConnect from logging events, we can patch the bytecode of ScreenConnect.Core.dll, using a tool like *dnSpy* [5], and overwrite the call to `EventLog.WriteEntry()` in `TryWriteInformationToEventLog()`, as shown in *Figure 11*. This ensures that ScreenConnect can no longer generate any events and will create less evidence.

For this proof-of-concept, we manually patched out the call to `EventLog.WriteEntry()`. A determined attacker could of course implement this anti-forensics technique in a different way.

```
Index Offset OpCode Operand
0 0000 newobj instance void ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::ctor()
1 0005 dup
2 0006 ldarg.0
3 0007 stfld string ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::appName
4 000C dup
5 000D ldarg.1
6 000E stfld string ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::message
7 0011 loftr instance void ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::TryWriteInformationToEventLog>b_0'()
8 0019 newobj instance void ScreenConnect.Proc::ctor(object, native int)
9 001E ldnull
10 0021 call bool ScreenConnect.Extensions::Try(class ScreenConnect.Proc, class System.Func`2<class [mscorlib]System.Exception, bool>)
11 0024 pop
12 0025 ret
```

```
Index Offset OpCode Operand
0 0000 newobj instance void ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::ctor()
1 0005 dup
2 0006 ldarg.0
3 0007 stfld string ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::appName
4 000C dup
5 000D ldarg.1
6 000E stfld string ScreenConnect.Extensions/'<>c__DisplayClass347_0'::message
7 0013 nop
8 0014 nop
9 0015 nop
10 0016 nop
11 0017 pop
12 0018 ret
```

Figure 11 - Patching the write to event log functionality of ScreenConnect.

In case an attacker would use such a modified version of ScreenConnect, we cannot just rely on the Windows event logs. Instead, detection should also focus on the execution of suspicious executables, whether it being ScreenConnect being launched / installed by the attacker or executable files being started via the build-in Run Command functionality.

In Appendix 1, we have included detection rules for Chronicle and Carbon Black that can detect the initialization of ScreenConnect and the execution of tasks via the control panel, so that you can use these in your SOC or [MDR](#) setup. Additionally, a Sigma rule is publicly available that can detect the initialization of ScreenConnect [6].

Post Incident, carving of .ps1, or .cmd files might be the way to go, in case you would like to have an understanding of the command that might have been executed by the adversary.

#### 4. Sources

[1] <https://www.anomali.com/blog/probable-iranian-cyber-actors-static-kitten-conducting-cyberespionage-campaign-targeting-uae-and-kuwait-government-agencies>

[2] <https://blog.morphisec.com/connectwise-control-abused-again-to-deliver-zeppelin-ransomware>

[3] <https://www.connectwise.com/platform/unified-management/control>

[4] <https://github.com/gentilkiwi/mimikatz>

[5] <https://github.com/dnSpy/dnSpy>

[6]

[https://github.com/SigmaHQ/sigma/blob/master/rules/windows/process\\_creation/win\\_susp\\_screenconnect\\_access.yml](https://github.com/SigmaHQ/sigma/blob/master/rules/windows/process_creation/win_susp_screenconnect_access.yml)

## Appendix 1 - Detection rules

This appendix contains an overview of different rules in both Carbon Black as well as Yara-L format. Additionally, you can find an already existing Sigma rule in the Sigma rule repository 'SigmaHQ/sigma/rules/windows/process\_creation/win\_susp\_screenconnect\_access.yml', For completeness the Sigma rules is listed in this appendix as well.

### Sigma

Action	Sigma rule
<b>ScreenConnect Remote Access detection</b>	<pre>title: ScreenConnect Remote Access  id: 75bfe6e6-cd8e-429e-91d3-03921e1d7962  status: experimental  description: Detects ScreenConnect program starts that establish a remote access to that system (not meeting, not remote support)  references:    - https://www.anomali.com/blog/probable-iranian-cyber-actors-static-kitten-conducting-cyberespionage-campaign-targeting-uae-and-kuwait-government-agencies  author: Florian Roth  date: 2021/02/11  logsource:    category: process_creation</pre>

	<p>product: windows</p> <p>detection:</p> <p>selection:</p> <p>    CommandLine contains all:</p> <p>        - 'e=Access&amp;'</p> <p>        - 'y=Guest&amp;'</p> <p>        - '&amp;p='</p> <p>        - '&amp;c='</p> <p>        - '&amp;k='</p> <p>condition: selection</p> <p>falsepositives:</p> <p>    - Legitimate use by administrative staff</p> <p>level: high</p>
--	--

### Carbon Black

Action	Carbon Black query
ScreenConnect initialisation	(process_cmdline:"y=" OR process_cmdline:"?y=") AND (process_cmdline:"h=" OR process_cmdline:"?h=") AND (process_cmdline:"p=" OR process_cmdline:"?p=") AND (process_cmdline:"s=" OR process_cmdline:"?s=") AND (process_cmdline:"k=" OR process_cmdline:"?k=")
Task execution through ScreenConnect	(process_name:*screenconnect* OR process_publisher:*ConnectWise*) AND childproc_cmdline:*run.ps1
Cmd command execution through ScreenConnect	(process_name:*screenconnect* OR process_publisher:*ConnectWise*) AND childproc_cmdline:*run.cmd

### Yara-L

Action	Rule
--------	------

<p><b>ScreenConnect initialisation</b></p>	<pre>rule screenconnect_initialisation {   meta:     author = "Hunt &amp; Hackett"     description = "Detects ScreenConnect initialisation"    events:     \$e.metadata.event_type = "PROCESS_LAUNCH"     \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(\\? &amp; ;)y=/ nocase     \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(\\? &amp; ;)h=/ nocase     \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(\\? &amp; ;)p=/ nocase     \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(\\? &amp; ;)s=/ nocase     \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(\\? &amp; ;)k=/ nocase    condition:     \$e }</pre>
<p><b>ScreenConnect task execution</b></p>	<pre>rule screenconnect_task_execution {   meta:     author = "Hunt &amp; Hackett"     description = "Detects task execution through ScreenConnect"    events:     \$e.metadata.event_type = "PROCESS_LAUNCH"      (       \$e.principal.process.file.full_path = /ScreenConnect/ nocase and       \$e.target.process.file.full_path = /powershell.exe/ nocase     )     or     (       \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(powershell\.exe)(\.[a-f0-9-] {36}run\.ps1)/ nocase     )    condition:</pre>

	<pre>\$e }</pre>
<b>ScreenConnect command execution</b>	<pre>rule screenconnect_cmd_command_execution {    meta:      author = "Hunt &amp; Hackett"      description = "Detects cmd command execution through ScreenConnect"    events:      \$e.metadata.event_type = "PROCESS_LAUNCH"      (        \$e.principal.process.file.full_path = /ScreenConnect/ nocase and        \$e.target.process.file.full_path = /cmd.exe/ nocase      )      or      (        \$e.principal.process.command_line = /(cmd\.exe)(\*)(\vc)(\*)([a-f0-9-]{36}run\.cmd)/ nocase      )    condition:      \$e  }</pre>

Source: <https://www.huntandhackett.com/blog/revil-the-usage-of-legitimate-remote-admin-tooling>