Nazar: A Lost Amulet

epicturla.com/blog/the-lost-nazar

April 22, 2020

Apr 22 Written By <u>J A G-S</u>

Acknowledgements: Special thanks to Silas Cutler for reversing guidance and to special friends (you know who you are) for visibility and insights.

Accompanying talk presented on 04.22.2020 @ Virtual OPCDE #3 (Video)

Update #1 (04.22.2020) : Fixed some miscategorized hashes in the Appendix

Update #2 (04.23.2020): Reversing of EYService by <u>@malwarelabpl</u> available <u>here</u>.

Update #3 (04.28.2020): Additional reversing of the EYService comms protocol by <u>@maciekkotowicz</u> available <u>here</u>. I've added and corrected to reflect the new insights.

Update #4 (05.05.2020): Amazing in-depth analysis of Nazar components by <u>Itay Cohen</u> from Checkpoint available <u>here</u>.

Territorial Dispute continues to be an excellent resource for avid researchers undaunted by the thought of taking pointers from misplaced classified materials. For those blissfully unaware of *TeDi*, among the ShadowBrokers leaks we find two files far more noteworthy for threat intelligencers than the exploits and tools. Dr. Boldizar Bencsath and his team at CrySyS lab were the first to notice the value of *'sigs.py'* and *'drv_list.txt'*. The former includes filenames and registry keys associated umbrellaed under a moniker 'SIG[1-45]'. The <u>CrySyS lab report</u> is an excellent starting point to understand the contents of TeDi.

Since the release of this report in 2018, further signatures have been identified by Kaspersky's GReAT team and by Silas Cutler and I during our time at Chronicle's Uppercase. Today, I'll focus on a specific misidentified TeDi signature, *SIG37*. These signatures are low fidelity –composed of a combination of paths, filenames, and registry keys– and thereby prone to misidentification. In this case, CrySyS lab tentatively identifies SIG37 as 'IronTiger_ASPXSpy' –a presumably Chinese APT group better known as 'Emissary Panda' among other names. CrySyS lab points to a <u>file in VirusTotal</u> whose community comments suggest the aforementioned detection.



Automated community comment with the misleading detection

As many VT obsessives know, all sorts of misleading and undesirable files make their way into the VT corpus and fire off our YARA rules. Upon closer inspection, the file above is a 15mb memory dump of a McAfee installer, perhaps by someone interested in their malware signatures. As such, it's a giant malformed mess of unrelated indicators. With that understanding, we can discard the commented detection and return to the abject mystery of identifying SIG37. The signature itself refers only to a single filename: 'godown.dll'

The Nazar APT



SIG37 function from 'sigs.py'

Armed with this spartan indicator, what we find is a previously unidentified cluster of activity possibly ranging as far back as early as 2008 - though more likely centered around 2010-2013 that I've nicknamed 'Nazar'. The name is derived from debug paths left alongside Farsi resources in some of the malware droppers described below. Those PDB paths refer to a source root folder: 'khzer'.

SHA-256		File Typ	е Туре	Language
893cf8c164106784669b	0395825f17c21f46a345babfff6144686e8e1a48l	of2f1 ASCII te	ext REGISTRY	FARSI DEFAULT
26ee0ff37e6ffd30ca541	5992ececc5faeb8e6a937fcbeb3952ce5581456	b7b5 data	TYPELIB	FARSI DEFAULT
f1ebefcbe311522494a3	654b10749d7e635cc3e4d052475ae4dd069486	166597 ASCII te	ext RT_STRING	ENGLISH US
5488674c0ace4ab035e	ce1ecef07caedffb85e0f94a386362b791ce79e3	9a1d4 data	RT_VERSION	ENGLISH US
Debug Arti	facts			

Contained Pasources					
SHA-256	File Type	Туре	Language		
893cf8c164106784669b395825f17c21f46a345babfff6144686e8e1a48bf2f1	ASCII text	REGISTRY	FARSI DEFAULT		
26ee0ff37e6ffd30ca5415992ececc5faeb8e6a937fcbeb3952ce5581456b7b5	data	TYPELIB	FARSI DEFAULT		
f1ebefcbe311522494a3654b10749d7e635cc3e4d052475ae4dd069486166597	ASCII text	RT_STRING	ENGLISH US		
5488674c0ace4ab035ece1ecef07caedffb85e0f94a386362b791ce79e39a1d4	data	RT_VERSION	ENGLISH US		
Debug Artifacts					
Path C\khzer\DLLs\DLL's Source\Filesystem\Debug\Filesystem.pdb					

Native Farsi speakers pointed me in the direction of the term 'nazar' –roughly translating to 'supervision' or 'monitoring'– transliterated and mangled from Persian to Roman characters. A more recognizable alternative interpretation is the nazar amulet used for protection against 'evil eye'. Some level of speculation is involved so I won't belabor the point beyond emojying the name () for tweetable convenience.





'Evil eye' protection amulets- the better known 'hamsa' (left) or 'nazar' (right)

It's hard to understand the scope of this operation without access to victimology (e.g.: endpoint visibility or command-and-control sinkholing). Additionally, some possible timestomping muddles the water between this operation possible originating in 2008-2009 or actually coming into full force in 2010-2013 (the latter dates being corroborated by VT firstseen submission times and second-stage drop timestamps). There's a level of variable developmental capability visible throughout the stages. Multiple components are abused commonly-available resources, while the orchestrator and two of the DLL drops actually

display some developmental ingenuity (in the form of seemingly novel COM techniques). Far from the most advanced coding practices but definitely better than the sort of .NET garbage other 'Farsi-speaking' APTs have gotten away with in the past.

Somehow, this operation found its way onto the NSA's radar pre-2013. As far as I can tell, it's eluded specific coverage from the security industry. A possible scenario to account for the disparate visibility between the NSA and Western researchers when it comes to this cluster of activity is that these samples were exclusively encountered on Iranian boxes overlapping with EQGRP implants. Submissions of Nazar subcomponents from Iran (as well as privately shared visibility into historical and ongoing victimology clustered entirely on Iranian machines) could support that theory. Perhaps this is an internal monitoring framework (*a la Attor*) but given the sparse availability of historical data, I wouldn't push that beyond a low-confidence assessment, at this time.

I hope interested researchers take this as an initial introduction and open challenge to contribute to what may prove a previously unknown threat actor, and encourage them to leverage their greater abilities and visibility to contribute to the ongoing research. I'll gladly update this post with the contributions and publications of others.

Technical Breakdown

Nazar employs a modular toolkit where a main dropper silently registers multiple DLLs as OLE controls in the Windows registry via 'regsvr32.exe'. An orchestrator ('Data.bin'), disguised as the generic Windows service host process ('svchost.exe'), is registered as a service ('EYService') for persistence. The DLLs are a combination of custom type libraries and resourceful repurposing of more widely available libraries for nefarious purposes.



Nazar component structure

The Dropper: 'GpUpdates.exe'

SHA256	4d0ab3951df93589a874192569cac88f7107f595600e274f52e2b75f68593bca
SHA1	48f99144bb9fdf379926e85fbe3caa462089f397
MD5	6b3116580d29020b9c259877ac18a7fd
Filename	GpUpdates.exe
Timestamp	2009-10-31 12:28:29
First Submission	2013-02-04 04:23:00

The droppers are misidentified as packed by Armadillo but in reality they're built using now defunct Chilkat software, '<u>Zip2Secure</u>' to create self-extracting executables. The packing alone has led the droppers to be detected under generic AV detections but the subcomponents have low-to-no detections at this time.

The Setup Agent: 'Distribute.exe'

SHA256	839c3e6ba65e5d07a2e0c4dd4a2c0d7ae95a266431dd3f8971b8a37d17b1ddf6
SHA1	05122010cde4dcd1b4cd55de7b7d442efda19976
MD5	c1ab32afb0e2d7b7b1cad3fb831e9373
Filename	Distribute.exe
Filename Timestamp	Distribute.exe 2012-03-17 11:07:53

The Zip2Secure configuration entrusts the distribution of the files contained therein to 'Distribute.exe', which places the files and silently registers the subcomponents with regsvr32.exe.

SHA256	2fe9b76496a9480273357b6d35c012809bfa3ae8976813a7f5f4959402e3fbb6
SHA1	79f2b98821c1e2717a0495e6c5c76a0147b21aae
MD5	a9ff31c8db6d4e70829bf5db062d1b9c
Filename	Data.bin, svchost.exe, EYService

The Orchestrator: 'EYService'

Timestamp 2012-04-30 05:12:18

First 2013-02-04 08:43:33 Submission

The main functionality orchestrating the different subcomponents is contained within Data.bin, later renamed to 'svchost.exe'. The orchestrator takes 17 different three digit codes to divert functionality within a giant switch statement. Some of the codes have not been fully implemented up to the latest samples I've found so far, which further suggests a continued developmental effort.

```
121 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "499") )
      *(_DWORD *)&hostshort = unsigned4_1;
      hThread_4 = CreateThread(0, 0, (LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)mw_writesCNCfromRegKey,
      ResumeThread(hThread_4);
      SetThreadPriority(hThread_4, 0);
      unsigned4_1 = unsigned4;
129 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "599") )
      *(_DWORD *)&dword_436248 = unsigned4_1;
      ::hThread_2 = CreateThread(0, 0, (LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)mw_599__, 0, 4u, &add
      ResumeThread(::hThread_2);
      SetThreadPriority(::hThread_2, 0);
136 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "999") )// change C2
      *(_DWORD *)byte_4360E4 = 1;
      strncpy(cp, Source, 0x10u);
140 }
141 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "555") )
      strncpy(cp, Source, 0x10u);
      mw_THIS_is_where_C2_is_defined(1);
146 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "315") )
      set_to_0_by_315 = 0;
148 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "312") )
      set_to_0_by_312 = 0;
150 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "313") )
      set_to_0_by_313 = 0;
152 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "666") )
153 set_to_1_by_666 = 1;
```





```
121 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "499") )
     *(_DWORD *)&hostshort = unsigned4_1;
      hThread_4 = CreateThread(0, 0, (LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)mw_writesCNCfromRegKey,
      ResumeThread(hThread_4);
      SetThreadPriority(hThread_4, 0);
     unsigned4_1 = unsigned4;
129 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "599") )
      *(_DWORD *)&dword_436248 = unsigned4_1;
      ::hThread_2 = CreateThread(0, 0, (LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)mw_599__, 0, 4u, &add
      ResumeThread(::hThread_2);
      SetThreadPriority(::hThread_2, 0);
136 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator=<char>(switch_command, "999") )// change C2
      *(_DWORD *)byte_4360E4 = 1;
     strncpy(cp, Source, 0x10u);
141 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "555") )
      strncpy(cp, Source, 0x10u);
      mw_THIS_is_where_C2_is_defined(1);
145 }
146 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "315") )
     set_to_0_by_315 = 0;
148 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "312") )
     set_to_0_by_312 = 0;
150 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "313") )
      set_to_0_by_313 = 0;
152 if ( (unsigned __int8)std::operator==<char>(switch_command, "666") )
153 set_to_1_by_666 = 1;
```





[Updated 04.28.2020]: Thanks to @maciekkotowicz's great work, we now know that EYservice is in fact a passive backdoor with no hardcoded infrastructure. The backdoor is listening for UDP packets on port '1234' and allows for a ping response, victim info request, or file download. For further details, please refer to the <u>MalwareLab.pl blog</u>.

The Subcomponent DLLs

Subcomponent DLLs include multiple abused resources as well as a couple of seemingly custom libraries. The former include the common LAME MP3 encoding library (UPX packed) as well as a more obscure bitmap library. These are abused to implement hot mic and screengrab features, respectively. Another subcomponent is the 'hodl.dll' (internally named 'keydll3.dll') library used for keylogging. This appears to be a more common keylogger but that claim could use further scrutiny.

Finally, the custom libraries are 'godown.dll' (our original indicator) as well as 'filesystem.dll'. Both are treated as type libraries and registered as OLE controls. The Filesystem library includes functionality to enumerate attached drives and traverse folder structures. The GoDown library is used for system shutdown. **[Updated 04.28.2020]**

For a more comprehensive breakdown of these components, refer to the Checkpoint Research <u>blogpost</u> [Updated 05.05.2020].

A Further Oddity – The MicroOlap Packet Sniffer

A core function of EYService includes a further drop, a packet sniffer. The orchestrator will unpack and drop a kernel driver (pssdk41.vxd, pssdk41.sys) used to sniff packets from the victim machine's interfaces. The packets are then parsed looking for something in particular. Perhaps this allows for a sneaky means of command-and-control or more sophisticated uses. At this time, I've not determined what it's parsing in particular.

Interestingly, the packet sniffer is also referenced in the EQGRP drv_list.txt. Other versions are also referenced, as shown in the image below:

"pssdk31","***	micro0LAP	Packet	Sniffer	SDK	Driver ***"
"pssdk40","***	micro0LAP	Packet	Sniffer	SDK	Driver ***"
"pssdk41","***	micro0LAP	Packet	Sniffer	SDK	Driver ***"
"pssdk42","***	micro0LAP	Packet	Sniffer	SDK	Driver ***"
"pssdklbf","***	<pre>k microOLAF</pre>	Packet	Sniffer	^ SDł	<pre>C Driver ***"</pre>

Interestingly, focusing on the alternate filenames brought up an earlier version of this Nazar orchestrator (sha256:

1c02043ca00d087f1aac0337f89bf205985e1f20641bf043c9b7b99e0c9dc002). This earlier version drops 'pssdk31.drv' instead of the 4.1 version mentioned above.

Avenues for Further Research

SIG37 has proven a rewarding mystery, unearthing a previously undiscovered subset of activity worthy of our attention. Apart from several places where more skilled reverse engineers can contribute to better understanding the samples already discovered, there's an opportunity for threat hunters with access to diverse data sets and systems to figure out just how big this iceberg really is. Are we looking at an internal surveillance framework? Is this part of an already known cluster of activity? Or can we add another predatory animal to our overpopulated zoo?

Happy Hunting!

Appendix – Technical Indicators

Nazar Hashes

gpUpdates.exe

- 4d0ab3951df93589a874192569cac88f7107f595600e274f52e2b75f68593bca
- d34a996826ea5a028f5b4713c797247913f036ca0063cc4c18d8b04736fa0b65
- eb705459c2b37fba5747c73ce4870497aa1d4de22c97aaea4af38cdc899b51d3
- d9801b4da1dbc5264e83029abb93e800d3c9971c650ecc2df5f85bcc10c7bd61

Unnamed Droppers

• 75e4d73252c753cd8e177820eb261cd72fecd7360cc8ec3feeab7bd129c01ff6

• 1110c3e34b6bbaadc5082fabbdd69f492f3b1480724b879a3df0035ff487fd6f

Distribute.exe

6b8ea9a156d495ec089710710ce3f4b1e19251c1d0e5b2c21bbeeab05e7b331f

svchost.exe

2fe9b76496a9480273357b6d35c012809bfa3ae8976813a7f5f4959402e3fbb6

Filesystem.dll

1110c3e34b6bbaadc5082fabbdd69f492f3b1480724b879a3df0035ff487fd6f

Godown.dll

- 967ac245e8429e3b725463a5c4c42fbdf98385ee6f25254e48b9492df21f2d0b
- 8fb9a22b20a338d90c7ceb9424d079a61ca7ccb7f78ffb7d74d2f403ae9fbeec

hodll.dll

0c09fedc5c74f90883cd3256a181d03e4376d13676c1fe266dbd04778a929198

Abused Common Resources

pssdk41.sys

048208864c793a670159723b38c3ea1474ccc62e06b90833bdf1683b8026e12f

ViewScreen.dll

5a924dec60c623cf73f5b8505e11512ad85e62ac571a840ab0ff48d4a04b60de

lame_enc.dll

- c84100d52c09703e32951444bd7ba4e22c5d41193e7420aacbbc1f736f4c4e1f
- 0091e2101f00751c4020ef8e115cfe12a284c9abacc886f549b40a62574a7510

YARA rules available here

<u>J A G-S</u>