Looking at Big Threats Using Code Similarity. Part 1

SL securelist.com/big-threats-using-code-similarity-part-1/97239/



Authors



Today, we are announcing the release of KTAE, the Kaspersky Threat Attribution Engine. This code attribution technology, developed initially for internal use by the Kaspersky Global Research and Analysis Team, is now being made available to a wider audience. You can read more about KTAE in our <u>official press release</u>, or go directly to its <u>info page on the</u> <u>Kaspersky Enterprise site</u>. From an internal tool, to prototype and product, this is a road which took about 3 years. We tell the story of this trip below, while throwing in a few code examples as well. However, before diving into KTAE, it's important to talk about how it all started, on a sunny day, approximately three years ago.

May 12, 2017, a Friday, started in a very similar fashion to many other Fridays: I woke up, made coffee, showered and drove to work. As I was reading e-mails, one message from a colleague in Spain caught my attention. Its subject said "Crisis ... (and more)". Now, crisis

(and more!) is not something that people appreciate on a Friday, and it wasn't April 1st either. Going through the e-mail from my colleague, it became obvious something was going on in several companies around the world. The e-mail even had an attachment with a photo, which is now world famous:



Soon after that, Spain's Computer Emergency Response Team CCN-CERT, posted an <u>alert</u> on their site about a massive ransomware attack affecting several Spanish organizations. The alert recommended the installation of updates in the <u>Microsoft March 2017 Security</u> <u>Bulletin</u> as a means of stopping the spread of the attack. Meanwhile, the National Health Service (NHS) in the U.K. <u>also issued an alert</u> and confirmed infections at 16 medical institutions.

As we dug into the attack, we confirmed additional infections in several additional countries, including Russia, Ukraine, and India.

Quite essential in stopping these attacks was the <u>Kaspersky System Watcher</u> component. The System Watcher component has the ability to rollback the changes done by ransomware in the event that a malicious sample manages to bypass other defenses. This is extremely useful in case a ransomware sample slips past defenses and attempts to encrypt the data on the disk.

As we kept analysing the attack, we started learning more things; for instance, the infection relied on a famous exploit, (codenamed "EternalBlue"), that has been made available on the internet through the Shadowbrokers dump on April 14th, 2017 and <u>patched by Microsoft</u> on March 14. Despite the fact the patch has been available for two months, it appeared that

many companies didn't patch. We put together a couple of blogs, updated our technical support pages and made sure all samples were detected and blocked even on systems that were vulnerable to the EternalBlue exploit.

- WannaCry ransomware used in widespread attacks all over the world
- <u>WannaCry FAQ: What you need to know today</u>

Meanwhile, as everyone was trying to research the samples, we were scouting for any possible links to known criminal or APT groups, trying to determine how a newcomer malware was able to cause such a pandemic in just a few days. The explanation here is simple – for ransomware, it is not very often that we get to see completely new, built from scratch, pandemic-level samples. In most cases, ransomware attacks make use of some popular malware that is sold by criminals on underground forums or, "as a service".

And yet, we couldn't spot any links with known ransomware variants. Things became a bit clearer on Monday evening, when Neel Mehta, a researcher at Google, <u>posted a mysterious</u> <u>message on Twitter</u> with the #WannaCryptAttribution hashtag:



Neel Mehta @neelmehta



9c7c7149387a1c79679a87dd1ba755bc @ 0x402560, 0x40F598 ac21c8ad899727137c4b94458d7aa8d8 @ 0x10004ba0, 0x10012AA4 #WannaCryptAttribution

The cryptic message in fact referred to a similarity between two samples that have shared code. The two samples Neel refers to in the post were:

- A WannaCry sample from February 2017 which looks like a very early variant
- A Lazarus APT group sample from February 2015

The similarity can be observed in the screenshot below, taken between the two samples, with the shared code highlighted:

		H	liew: 766d7d59	88		Hiev	v: 3e6de9e2baacf930949647c3	99818e7a2caea2626df6a46840
766d7d5	91b9ec1204518723a1e5940fd6ac77	7f606ed64e73	31fd91b0b4c3	306d0902b22cf9309496	47-29981807-2-2022626	df62468407	85422251500d9	
.10004BA0:	51	push	ecx	1.00402560: 51	nus	h	ecx	
.10004BA1:	53	push	ebx	.00402561: 53	pus	h	ebx	
.10004BA2:	55	push	ebp	.00402562: 55	pus	h	ebp	
.10004BA3:	8B6C2410	mov	ebp,[esp]	.00402563: 8B6C2410	mov		ebn.[esn][010]	
.10004BA7:	56	push	esi	.00402567: 56	pus	h	esi	
.10004BA8:	57	push	edi	.00402568: 57	pus	h	edi	
.10004BA9:	6A20	push	020 ;' '	.00402569: 6A20	pus	h		
.10004BAB:	8B4500	mov	eax,[ebp]	.0040256B: 8B4500	mov		eax.[ebp][0]	
.10004BAE:	8D7504	lea	esi,[ebp]	.0040256E: 8D7504	lea		esi.[ebp][4]	
.10004BB1:	2401	and	al,1	.00402571: 2401	and		al 1	
.10004BB3:	0C01	or	al,1	.00402573: 0C01	or		al,1	
.10004BB5:	46	inc	esi	.00402575: 46	inc		esi	
.10004BB6:	894500	mov	[ebp][0],	.00402576: 894500	mov		[ebp][0],eax	
.10004BB9:	C646FF03	mov	b,[esi][-	.00402579: C646FF03	mov		b,[esi][-1],3	
.10004BBD:	C60601	mov	b,[esi],1	.0040257D: C60601	mov		b,[esi],1	
.10004BC0:	46	inc	es1	.00402580: 46	inc		esi	
.10004BC1:	56	push	esi	.00402581: 56	pus		esi	
.10004BC2:	E8E9CAFFFF	call	.010001680	.00402582: E8A95B0000	cal	1.	000408130↓1	
.10004BC7:	830408	add	esp,8	.00402587: 6A00	pus	h		
.10004BCA:	6404	pusn	4	.00402589: FF1560F44000	cal	1	time	
.10004BCC:		pusn	0	.0040258F: 83C40C	add		esp,00C	
.10004BCE:	FF1554E00010	call	стше	.00402592: 50	pus	h	eax	
.10004804:	830404	add	esp,4	.00402593: FF1524F54000	cal	1	WS2_32.8	
10004007:	99 ED	cuq	odv	.00402599: 8906	mov		[esi],eax	
10004000	52	push	eux	.0040259B: 83C620	add		esi,020 ;' '	
10004809.	50	call	eax 010004CC0	.0040259E: C60600	mov		b,[esi],0	
1000400A	8986	mov	[esi] eav	.004025A1: 46	inc		esi	
10004BD1 .	83(620	add	esi 020	.004025A2: FF1564F44000	cal	1	rand	
10004BE1:	836406	add	esp.000	.004025A8: 99	cdq			
.10004BE7:	66660	mov	h.[esil.0	.004025A9: B905000000	mov		ecx,5	
10004BE7:	46	inc	esi	.004025AE: 33FF	xor		edi,edi	
. 10004BER:	EE155CE00010	call	rand	.004025B0: F7F9	1d1	v	ecx	
.10004BF1:	99	cda		.00402582: 804602	Iea		eax,[esi][2]	
.10004BF2:	8905000000	mov	ecx.5	.00402585: 830202	add		edx,2	
.10004BF7:	33FF	xor	edi,edi	.004025B8: 8D1C52	lea		ebx,[edx][edx]*2	
.10004BF9:	F7F9	idiv	ecx	.004025BB: DIE3	shi	+	ebx, i	
.10004BFB:	8D4602	lea	eax,[esi	00402580: 8508	tes		000403622	
.10004BFE:	83C202	add	edx 2	00402501: 7272	jie		[ocp][019] oox	
1 Global 2 Fi	1B1k 3CryB1k 4ReLoad 5OrdLdr 6	String 7Dire	ect <mark>8</mark> Table	1Help 2Dut Blk 3Edit	Mode Scoto Spore	n Z Seanch	8Header 9Eiles 100wit	11Hom 12Names
				Purbic Purbic Scult	adde okere	- Search	oncader prizes novuit	

Although some people doubted the link, we immediately realized that Neel Mehta was right. We put together a blog diving into this similarity, "<u>WannaCry and Lazarus Group – the</u> <u>missing link</u>?". The discovery of this code overlap was obviously not a random hit. For years, Google integrated the <u>technology they acquired from Zynamics</u> into their analysis tools making it possible to cluster together malware samples based on shared code. Obviously, the technology seemed to work rather nicely. Interestingly, one month later, an article was published suggesting the <u>NSA also reportedly believed in this link</u>.

Thinking about the story, the overlap between WannaCry and Lazarus, we put a plan together – what if we built a technology that can quickly identify code reuse between malware attacks and pinpoint the likely culprits in future cases? The goal would be to make this technology available in a larger fashion to assist threat hunters, SOCs and CERTs speed up incident response or malware triage. The first prototype for this new technology was available internally June 2017, and we continued to work on it, fine-tuning it, over the next months.

In principle, the problem of code similarity is relatively easy. Several approaches have been tested and discussed in the past, including:

- Calculating checksums for subs and comparing them against a database
- Reconstructing the code flow and creating a graph from it; comparing graphs for similar structures
- Extracting n-grams and comparing them against a database
- Using fuzzy hashes on the whole file or parts of it
- Using metadata, such as the rich header, exports or other parts of the file; although this isn't *code* similarity, it can still yield some very good results

To find the common code between two malware samples, one can, for instance, extract all 8-16 byte strings, then check for overlaps. There's two main problems to that though:

- Our malware collection is too big; if we want to do this for all the files we have, we'd need a large computing cluster (read: thousands of machines) and lots of storage (read: Petabytes)
- Capex too small

Additionally, doing this massive code extraction, profiling and storage, not to mention searching, in an efficient way that we can provide as a stand-alone box, VM or appliance is another level of complexity.

To refine it, we started experimenting with code-based Yara rules. The idea was also simple and beautiful: create a Yara rule from the unique code found in a sample, then use our existing systems to scan the malware collection with that Yara rule.

Here's one such example, inspired by WannaCry:

This innocent looking Yara rule above catches BlueNoroff (malware used in the Bangladesh Bank Heist), ManusCrypt (a more complex malware used by the Lazarus APT, also known as FALLCHILL) and Decafett, a keylogger that we previously couldn't associate with any known APT.

A breakthrough in terms of identifying shared code came in Sep 2017, when for the first time we were able to associate a new, "unknown" malware with a known entity or set of tools. This happened during the #CCleaner incident, which was initially spotted by <u>Morphisec</u> and <u>Cisco</u> <u>Talos</u>.



The malware injected into **#CCleaner** has shared code with several tools used by one of the APT groups from the **#Axiom** APT 'umbrella'.

11:34 AM · Sep 19, 2017 · Twitter for iPhone

II View Tweet activity

274 Retweets 251 Likes

In particular, our technology spotted a fragment of code, part of a custom base64 encoding subroutine, in the Cbkrdr shellcode loader that was identical to one seen in a previous malware sample named Missl, allegedly used by APT17:

8f56fd14133ccd84b6395913536f5823d747	37c410b829f72	9baaf2fe645a0a9	0375b4216334c85a4b29441a3d37e61d7797c2e1cb94b14cf6292449fb25c7b2				
1.003E121C: C3	retn ; -^-^	_^_^_^_^_^_^_^_^	1.00401015: C3	retn ; -^	_^_^_^_^_		
.003E121D: 55	push	ebp	.00401016: 55	push	ebp		
.003E121E: 8BEC	mov	ebp,esp	.00401017: 8BEC	mov	ebp,esp		
.003E1220: 51	push	ecx	.00401019: 51	push	ecx		
.003E1221: 56	push	esi	.0040101A: 56	push	esi		
.003E1222: 57	push	edi	.0040101B: 57	push	edi		
.003E1223: 8B7D08	mov	edi,[ebp][8]	.0040101C: 8B7D08	mov	edi,[ebp][8]		
.003E1226: 85FF	test	edi,edi	.0040101F: 85FF	test	edi,edi		
.003E1228: 0F843F010000	jz	.0003E136D↓1	.00401021: 0F843F010000	jz	.000401166↓1		
.003E122E: 837D0C00	cmp	d,[ebp][00C],0	.00401027: 837D0C00	cmp	d,[ebp][00C],0		
.003E1232: 0F8435010000	jz	.0003E136D↓1	.0040102B: 0F8435010000	jz	.000401166↓1		
.003E1238: 8B450C	mov	eax,[ebp][00C]	.00401031: 8B450C	mov	eax,[ebp][00C]		
.003E123B: 6A03	push		.00401034: 6A03	push			
.003E123D: 33D2	xor	edx,edx	.00401036: 33D2	xor	edx,edx		
.003E123F: 59	рор	ecx	.00401038: 59	рор	ecx		
.003E1240: F7F1	div	ecx	.00401039: F7F1	div	ecx		
.003E1242: 6A03	push		.0040103B: 6A03	push			
.003E1244: 33D2	xor	edx,edx	.0040103D: 33D2	xor	edx,edx		
.003E1246: 5E	рор	esi	.0040103F: 5E	рор	esi		
.003E1247: 8BC8	mov	ecx,eax	.00401040: 8BC8	mov	ecx,eax		
.003E1249: 8B450C	mov	eax,[ebp][00C]	.00401042: 8B450C	mov	eax,[ebp][00C]		
.003E124C: F7F6	div	esi	.00401045: F7F6	div	esi		
.003E124E: 8BC1	mov	eax,ecx	.00401047: 8BC1	mov	eax,ecx		
.003E1250: C1E002	shl	eax,2	.00401049: C1E002	shl	eax,2		
.003E1253: 894508	mov	[ebp][8],eax	.0040104C: 894508	mov	[ebp][8],eax		
.003E1256: 85D2	test	edx,edx	.0040104F: 85D2	test	edx,edx		
.003E1258: 8955FC	mov	[ebp][-4],edx	.00401051: 8955FC	mov	[ebp][-4],edx		
.003E125B: 7406	jz	.0003E1263↓2	.00401054: 7406	jz	.00040105C↓2		
.003E125D: 83C004	Chkrdr ch	llcodo loador	.00401056: 83C004	Micel (A	DT17) backdoor		
.003E1260: 894508	CORTON SHE	incoue ioauer	.00401059: 894508	IVIISSI (A	PII/J DACKOOOF		

Digging deeper, we identified at least three malware families that shared this code: Missl, Zoxpng/Gresim and Hikit, as shown below in the Yara hits:

apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //AuroraPanda/Missle/e77e708924168afd17dbe26bba8621af
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //AuroraPanda/Missle/ba86c0c1d9a08284c61c4251762ad0df
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //AuroraPanda/Missle/35a4783a1db27f159d7506a78ca89101
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Zoxpng/8ad22f3e9e603ff89228f3c66d9949d9
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/ba86c0c1d9a08284c61c4251762ad0df
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/35a4783a1db27f159d7506a78ca89101
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/35a4783a1db27f159d7506a78ca89101
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/35a4783a1db27f159d7506a78ca89101
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/hhkt_2014_2/Samples/ZoxFamily/07f93e49c7015b68e2542fc59...d
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/hhkt_2014_2/Samples/ZoxFamily/0375b4216334c85a4b29441a...2
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Hikit/hhkt_2014_2/Samples/ZoxFamily/e362a8161bd442073775363...0
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Gresim_ZoxPNG/0375b4216334c85a4b29441a3d37e...2
apt_ZZ_Cbkrdr_genotypes //Gresim_ZoxPNG/0375b4216334c85a4b29441a3d37e...2

In particular, the hits above are the results of running a custom Yara rule, based on what we call "genotypes" – unique fragments of code, extracted from a malware sample, that do not appear in any clean sample and are specific to that malware family (as opposed to being a known piece of library code, such as zlib for instance).

As a side note, Kris McConkey from PwC delivered a wonderful dive into <u>Axiom's tools</u> <u>during his talk "Following APT OpSec failures" at SAS 2015</u> – highly recommended if you're interested in learning more about this APT super-group.



Watch Video At:

https://youtu.be/NFJqD-LcpIg

Soon, the Kaspersky Threat Attribution Engine – "KTAE" – also nicknamed internally "Yana", became one of the most important tools in our analysis cycle.

Digging deeper, or more case studies

The United States Cyber Command, or in short, "USCYBERCOM", began posting samples to VirusTotal in November 2018, an <u>excellent move in our opinion</u>. The only drawback for these uploads was the lack of any context, such as the malware family, if it's APT or criminal, which group uses them and whether they were found in the wild, or scooped from certain places. Although the first upload, a repurposed Absolute Computrace loader, wasn't much of an issue to recognize, <u>an upload from May 2019 was a bit more tricky</u> to identify. This was immediately flagged as Sofacy by our technology, in particular, as similar to known XTunnel samples, a backdoor used by the group. Here's how the KTAE report looks like for the <u>sample in question</u>:

Size: Matched attribution entities:	3311616 <u>Sofacy</u> (10	00%)						
Similar samples (12) 📮								Search
MD5		Size	Genotypes (matched / total)	Strings (matched / total)	Similarity	Attribution entity	Aliases	
f8a37d7b0a4e79eafa04a81fc4	4db4080	3311616	1166 / 1166	29 / 30	99%	<u>Sofacy</u>	APT28,Fancy Bear,Pawn S	torm
4cac5ec93f8e7fb8b266d16fb	efb7aba	1862542	1166 / 1166	0 / 0	99%	<u>Sofacy</u>	APT28,Fancy Bear,Pawn S	torm
9c19896e6755928ca1485643	14b04f53	3312128	209 / 1154	23 / 25	92%	<u>Sofacy</u>	APT28,Fancy Bear,Pawn S	torm
b2cb03f33151b4bf0cae26cc2	9afbd3e	2469376	194 / 1194	21/23	91%	<u>Sofacy</u>	APT28,Fancy Bear,Pawn S	torm
c92e6aa40b19921fab71e6629	92cc3f32	3362816	210 / 1083	23 / 57	40%	<u>Sofacy</u>	APT28,Fancy Bear,Pawn S	torm

Analysis: Sample d51d485f98810ab1278df4e41b692761

Analysis for d51d485f98810ab1278df4e41b692761

In February 2020, USCYBERCOM posted another batch of samples that <u>we quickly checked</u> <u>with KTAE</u>. The results indicated a pack of different malware families, used by several APT groups, including Lazarus, with their BlueNoroff subgroup, Andariel, HollyCheng, with shared code fragments stretching back to the <u>DarkSeoul</u> attack, <u>Operation Blockbuster</u> and the <u>SPE Hack</u>.

🕂 New analysis 🛓 JSON 🞍 TXT	Ŧ				Search
MD5	File name	Size	Bad genotypes matched (total)	Bad strings matched (total)	Top 5 Similar
2d92116440edef4190279a043af67	2d92116440ed	83968	0(622)	0(4)	Manuscrypt (100%)
3dbd47cc12c2b7406726154e2e95a	3dbd47cc12c2	117591	1559 (2165)	16(16)	Manuscrypt (100%). <u>OperationBlockbuster</u> (50%), <u>WhiteShadow</u> (32%), Lazarus (25%), <u>SpaSpe</u> (25%)
4e595db3b612e1e9da90a0ef7d740	4e595db3b612	557681	262 (988)	0 (242)	Manuscrypt (100%), <u>Lazarus</u> (3%), <u>HollyCheng</u> (3%), <u>OperationBlockbuster</u> (2%), <u>FallChill</u> (1%)
062e9cd9cdcabc928fc6186c3921e	062e9cd9cdca	117760	1192 (1192)	27 (27)	Andariel (100%), <u>BlueNoroff</u> (96%), <u>Manuscrypt</u> (83%), Lazarus (80%), <u>StrongPity</u> (1%)
11cb4f1cdd9370162d67945059f70	11cb4f1cdd937	139265	1823 (1823)	15 (15)	Manuscrypt (100%), <u>OperationBlockbuster</u> (50%), <u>WhiteShadow</u> (39%), <u>WildPositron</u> (1%), <u>Lazarus</u> (1%)
c51416635e529183ca5337fade8275	c51416635e52	947200	3(1248)	44 (58)	Manuscrypt (100%), <u>HackingTeam</u> (1%)
96071956d4890aebea14ecd801561	96071956d489	7014400	0(2390)	45 (1165)	Manuscrypt (100%), Emotet (1%)
894b81b907c23f927a3f38cfd30f32	894b81b907c2	692274	718 (718)	16(301)	Manuscrypt (100%), FallChill (5%)

Going further, USCYBERCOM posted another batch of samples in May 2020, for which <u>KTAE revealed a similar pattern</u>.

Analysis

🕂 New analysis 🛓 JSON 🛓	TXT					Search
MD5	File name	Size	Bad genotypes matched (total)	Bad strings matched (total)	Top 5 Similar	
633bd738ae63b6ce9c2a48cbddd1	633bd738ae63	110592	356 (1590)	7(9)	Manuscrypt (100%)	
3005f1308e4519477ac25d7bbf054	3005f1308e45	166400	567 (1252)	8(13)	Manuscrypt (100%), Lazarus (3%)	
86d3c1b354ce696e454c42d8dc6d	86d3c1b354ce	129024	881 (1111)	20 (20)	Manuscrypt (100%), Lazarus (10%)	
d2de01858417fa3b580b3a9585784	d2de01858417f	167937	50 (1388)	7(11)	Manuscrypt (100%), <u>Lazarus</u> (78%), <u>OperationBlockbuster</u> (33%), WhiteShadow (23%), <u>SpaSpe</u> (2%)	
68fa29a40f64c9594cc3dbe8649f9	68fa29a40f64c	166400	577 (1238)	8(10)	<u>Manuscrypt</u> (100%), <u>Lazarus</u> (3%)	

Of course, one might wonder, what else can KTAE do except help with the identification of VT dumps from USCYBERCOM?

For a more practical check, we looked at the samples from <u>the 2018 SingHealth data breach</u> that, according to Wikipedia, was initiated by unidentified state actors. Although most samples used in the attack are rather custom and do not show any similarity with previous attacks, two of them have rather interesting links:

Analysis

+ New analysis 🕹 JSON	± TXT				
Md5	File name	Size	Bad genotypes matched (total)	Bad strings matched (total)	Top 5 Similar
e		3584	0(16)	1(2)	Mofang (1%)
2		9728	2(64)	8 (8)	Mofang (4%)

KTAE analysis for two samples used in the SingHealth data breach

Mofang, a suspected Chinese-speaking threat actor, was described in more detail in <u>2016 by</u> <u>this FOX-IT research paper, written by Yonathan Klijnsma</u> and his colleagues. Interestingly, the paper also mentioned Singapore as a suspected country where this actor is active. Although the similarity is extremely weak, 4% and 1% respectively, they can easily point the investigator in the right direction for more investigation.

Another interesting case is the discovery and publication ("<u>DEADLYKISS: HIT ONE TO</u> <u>RULE THEM ALL. TELSY DISCOVERED A PROBABLE STILL UNKNOWN AND</u> <u>UNTREATED APT MALWARE AIMED AT COMPROMISING INTERNET SERVICE</u> <u>PROVIDERS</u>") from our colleagues at Telsy of a new, previously unknown malware deemed "DeadlyKiss". A quick check with KTAE on the artifact with sha256 c0d70c678fcf073e6b5ad0bce14d8904b56d73595a6dde764f95d043607e639b (md5: 608f3f7f117daf1dc9378c4f56d5946f) reveals a couple of interesting similarities with other Platinum APT samples, both in terms of code and unique strings.

Analysis: Sample 608f3f7f117daf1dc9378c4f56d5946f

Size: Matched attribution entities:	261632 <u>Platinum</u> (1	00%)				
Similar samples (4) 🗖						
MD5		Size	Genotypes (matched / total)	Strings (matched / total)	Similarity	Attribution entity
a8ffcefdfe98d2c0a361908f1f6	151ed	71168	46 / 2241	12 / 122	10%	<u>Platinum</u>
5464fbb3c59d956c63cfcb017	c131fd6	283136	42 / 1124	15 / 241	6%	<u>Platinum</u>
98d04e8f0cf8d4199dfc915da	555c5fa	128512	38 / 1649	5 / 80	6%	<u>Platinum</u>
9c41ab80f6a969dbf7274acbc	0176b76	125440	5 / 2598	2 / 64	3%	<u>Platinum</u>

Analysis for 608f3f7f117daf1dc9378c4f56d5946f

Another interesting case presented itself when we were analysing a set of files included in one of the Shadowbrokers dumps.

Analysis: Sample 07cc65907642abdc8972e62c1467e83b

Size: 125440 Matched attribution entities: ShadowE	Brokers (100%), <u>Regin</u> (85	%)			
Similar samples (81) 🗖					
MD5	Size	Genotypes (matched / total)	Strings (matched / total)	Similarity	Attribution entity
43191b38a94640a42cc6bdfb0f1844ed	15577	26 / 311	0/1	8%	Regin
45e4c1f31d310bd826944b3367f0298a	11264	6 / 95	0/0	6%	Regin
5d86bf717bec959e43d821d8a317a0e9	45568	33 / 699	0 / 4	5%	Regin
7c0530c79b67812defb07fbad474d3a6	45560	33 / 699	0 / 4	5%	Regin
66afaa303e13faa4913eaad50f7237ea	12160	6 / 120	0/1	5%	Regin

Analysis for 07cc65907642abdc8972e62c1467e83b

In the case above, "cnli-1.dll" (md5: 07cc65907642abdc8972e62c1467e83b) is flagged as being up to 8% similar to <u>Regin</u>. Looking into the file, we spot this as a DLL, with a number of custom looking exports:

	C:\npacke	d_tar\wind	lows\lit	o∖x64-Wind	dows –
Shadowbrokers dump libraries?	n Name		Size	Date	Time
			Up	04/14/17	09:53
	_pytrch	pyd	190976	04/14/17	09:53
	adfw-2	d11	16896	94/14/17	09:53
cnli-1.dll exports:	cnli-1	d11	125440	04/14/17	09:53
	011-0	att	17408	04/14/1/	83:23
34 .00000001`80010660 CNEFileIO_dirInstall	crli-0	d11	19968	04/14/17	09:53
35 .00000001 80012130 CNEFileIO_dirInstallW	dmgd-1	d11	36864	04/14/17	09:53
37 .00000001 8001077C CNEFILEIO_dirNext	dmgd-4	d11	485376	04/14/17	09:53
38 .00000001`8001086C CNEFileIO dirNextEx	exma-1	d11	10240	04/14/17	09:53
39 .00000001`80012434 CNEFileIO_dirNextExW	icony	d11	26624	94/14/17	09:53
40 .00000001`800122FC CNEFileIO_dirNextW	libcurl	d11	253//0	01/11/17	09.53
41 .00000001 80010498 CNEFileIO_dirOpen	liboay22	411	1122 V	04/14/17	00.52
42 .00000001 80011EFC CNEFILEIO_dirOpenw 43 .00000001`80010754 CNEFileIO_dirRemove	1: hum 10	111	TT22 K	04/14/17	
44 .00000001`80012294 CNEFileIO dirRemoveW	TTDXWTZ	011	994 K	04/14/17	09:53
45 .00000001`80010B58 CNEFileIO_dirReset	pcre-0	d11	174080	04/14/1/	09:53
46 .00000001`80012688 CNEFileIO_expendFilenameA	pcrecpp-0	d11	36864	04/14/17	09:53
47 .00000001`80010C4C CNEFileIO_expendFilenameW	pcreposix-0	d11	9216	04/14/17	09:53
48 .00000001 8000F684 CNEFileIO_fileCose	posh-0	d11	11264	04/14/17	09:53
49 .00000001 80010080 CNEFILEIO_TILECOPY 50 .00000001`80011C40 CNEEileIO fileCopyW	pytrch	ру	38209	04/14/17	09:53
51 .00000001`8000F6C8 CNEFileIO fileExists	ssleav32	d11	230912	04/14/17	09:53
52 .00000001`80011470 CNEFileIO_fileExistsW	tibe-2	d11	304128	04/14/17	09:53
53 .00000001`8000F6F4 CNEFileIO_fileFlush	trch-1	d11	75264	94/14/17	09:53
54 .00000001 80001E40 CNEFileIO_fileGetDir	$trfo_2$	d11	35328	01/11/17	09.53
55 .00000001 80001F00 CNEF11eIO_f11eGetDirExW		411	0720	04/14/17	00.53
57 .00000001 80001F5C CNEFileIO fileGetPos		411	2/20	04/14/17	09.55
58 .00000001`80001D78 CNEFileIO_fileGetPosEx	uci	a11	414/2	04/14/17	09:53
59 .00000001`800119EC CNEFileIO_fileGetSize	X0V1-0	all	39424	04/14/1/	09:53
	zlib1	d11	68608	04/14/17	09:53

Looking into these exports, for instance, fileWriteEx, shows the library has actually been created to act as a wrapper for popular IO functions, most likely for portability purposes, enabling the code to be compiled for different platforms:



Speaking of multiplatform malware, recently, our colleagues from <u>Leonardo published their</u> <u>awesome analysis of a new set of Turla samples</u>, targeting Linux systems. Originally, we published about those in <u>2014</u>, when we discovered Turla Penquin, which is one of this group's backdoors for Linux. One of these samples (sha256:

67d9556c695ef6c51abf6fbab17acb3466e3149cf4d20cb64d6d34dc969b6502) was <u>uploaded</u> to VirusTotal in April 2020. A quick check in KTAE for this sample reveals the following:

Analysis, Sample 04587	0/060121606	10/09000000	C4De7					
Size: 1652856 Matched attribution entities: 1007	%)							
Similar samples (8) 🖳							Search	Q
MD5	Size	Genotypes (matched / total)	Strings (matched / total)	Similarity	Attribution entity	Aliases		
ad6731c123c4806f91e1327f35194722	1632376	650 / 1097	32 / 32	99%	Turla	Gazer, Mosquito Turla, Pope	ye,Skipper,Snake,Tavc	dig,Urob
7533ef5300263eec3a677b3f0636ae73	1632376	644 / 1100	32 / 32	99%	Turla	Gazer, Mosquito Turla, Pope	ye,Skipper,Snake,Tavc	dig,Urob
0994d9deb50352e76b0322f48ee576c6	642324	0 / 1517	10 / 28	36%	Turla	Gazer, Mosquito Turla, Pope	ye,Skipper,Snake,Tavc	dig,Urob
14ecd5e6fc8e501037b54ca263896a11	652876	0 / 1517	10 / 28	36%	Turla	Gazer, Mosquito Turla, Pope	ye,Skipper,Snake,Tavc	dig,Urob
19fbd8cbfb12482e8020a887d6427315	801561	0 / 878	10 / 54	19%	Turla	Gazer, Mosquito Turla, Pope	evious 1 2 N	dig,Urob Next →

Analysis: Sample b4587870ecf51e8ef67d98bb83bc4be7

Analysis for b4587870ecf51e8ef67d98bb83bc4be7 – Turla 64 bit Penquin sample

We can see a very high degree of similarity with two other samples (99% and 99% respectively) as well as other lower similarity hits to other known Turla Penquin samples. Looking at the strings they have in common, we immediately spot a few very good candidates for Yara rules—quite notably, some of them were already included in the Yara rules that Leonardo provided with their paper.

Analysis: Sample b4587870ecf51e8ef67d98bb83bc4be7

Size: Extra Mate

Matched strings (32)

String	Matched	Used by	
rem_fd: ssl keypair error, try reconnec	<u>t!</u> 8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
File exist on local filesystem !	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
Desc Filename size state	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
Write 0 bytes, Check filename !	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
<u>VS free space: %lu</u>	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
Remote VS is empty !	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
<u>%s: file already exist</u>	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
<u>VS filesystem: %s</u>	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
Err open on remote side: %s	8	<u>Turla</u> (8)	
<u>readfile_fgets</u>	6	<u>Turla</u> (6)	

When code similarity fails

When looking at an exciting, brand new technology, sometimes it's easy to overlook any drawbacks and limitations. However, it's important to understand that code similarity technologies can only point in a certain direction, while it's still the analyst's duty to verify and confirm the leads. As <u>one of my friends used to say</u>, "the best malware similarity technology is still not a replacement for your brain" (apologies, dear friend, if the quote is not 100% exact, that was some time ago). This leads us to the case of OlympicDestroyer, a very interesting attack, originally described and named by Cisco Talos.

In their <u>blog</u>, the Cisco Talos researchers also pointed out that OlympicDestroyer used similar techniques to Badrabbit and NotPetya to reset the event log and delete backups. Although the intention and purpose of both implementations of the techniques are similar, there are many differences in the code semantics. It's definitely not copy-pasted code, and because the command lines were publicly discussed on security blogs, these simple techniques became available to anyone who wants to use them.

In addition, Talos researchers noted that the evtchk.txt filename, which the malware used as a potential false-flag during its operation, was very similar to the filenames (evtdiag.exe, evtsys.exe and evtchk.bat) used by BlueNoroff/Lazarus in the Bangladesh SWIFT cyberheist in 2016.

Soon after the Talos publication, the Israeli company IntezerLabs tweeted that they had found links to Chinese APT groups. As a side node, IntezerLabs have an exceptional code similarity technology themselves that you can check out by visiting their site at <u>analyze.intezer.com</u>.



IntezerLabs further released a <u>blogpost</u> with an analysis of features found using their inhouse malware similarity technology. A few days later, media outlets started publishing <u>articles</u> suggesting potential motives and activities by Russian APT groups: "Crowdstrike Intelligence said that in November and December of 2017 it had observed a credential harvesting operation operating in the international sporting sector. At the time it attributed this operation to Russian hacking group Fancy Bear"...

On the other hand, Crowdstrike's own VP of Intelligence, Adam Meyers, in an <u>interview with</u> <u>the media</u>, said: "There is no evidence connecting Fancy Bear to the Olympic attack".

Another company, Recorded Future, decided to not attribute this attack to any actor; however, they <u>claimed</u> that they found similarities to BlueNoroff/Lazarus LimaCharlie malware loaders that are widely believed to be North Korean actors.

During this "attribution hell", we also used KTAE to check the samples for any possible links to previous known campaigns. And amazingly, KTAE discovered a unique pattern that also linked Olympic Destroyer to Lazarus. A combination of certain code development environment features stored in executable files, known as a Rich header, may be used as a fingerprint identifying the malware authors and their projects in some cases. In the case of the Olympic Destroyer wiper sample analyzed by Kaspersky, this "fingerprint" produced a match with a previously known Lazarus malware sample. Here's how today's KTAE reports it:

Analysis: Sample	Analysis: Sample 3c0d740347b0362331c882c2dee96dbf									
Size: Matched attribution entities:	36864 <u>Pyeongcha</u>	ang_Olympic_attac	<u>k</u> (100%), <u>BerserkBear</u> (4%),	BlueNoroff (4%)						
Similar samples (3) 🖵								Search	Q	
MD5		Size	Genotypes (matched / total)	Strings (matched / total)	Similarity	Attribution entity	Aliases			
64aa21201bfd88d521fe90d4	4c7b5dba	36864	71 / 199	5/5	99%	Pyeongchang_Olympic_attack				
fca1fa07afa1b3ff9f67f2a377d	e51ae	35840	7 / 179	0 / 2	4%	BerserkBear				
5d0ffbc8389f27b0649696f0	ef5b3cfe	16384	2 / 57	0 / 2	4%	BlueNoroff				
-										

Analysis for 3c0d740347b0362331c882c2dee96dbf

The 4% similarity shown above comes from the matches in the sample's Rich header. Initially, we were surprised to find the link, even though it made sense; other companies also spotted the similarities and Lazarus was already known for many destructive attacks. Something seemed odd though. The possibility of North Korean involvement looked way off mark, especially since Kim Jong-un's own sister attended the opening ceremony in Pyeongchang. According to our forensic findings, the attack was started immediately before the official opening ceremony on 9 February, 2018. As we dug deeper into this case, we concluded it was an elaborate false flag; further research allowed us to associate the attack with the Hades APT group (make sure you also read our analysis: "Olympic destroyer is here to trick the industry"). This proves that even the best attribution or code similarity technology can be influenced by a sophisticated attacker, and the tools shouldn't be relied upon *blindly*. Of course, in 9 out of 10 cases, the hints work very well. As actors become more and more skilled and attribution becomes a sensitive geopolitical topic, we might experience more false flags such as the ones found in the OlympicDestroyer.

If you liked this blog, then you can hear more about KTAE and using it to generate effective Yara rules during the upcoming "GReAT Ideas, powered by SAS" webinar, where, together with my colleague Kurt Baumgartner, we will be discussing practical threat hunting and how KTAE can boost your research. Make sure to register for <u>GReAT Ideas</u>, <u>powered by SAS</u>, <u>by clicking here</u>.



kaspersky

Register: https://www.brighttalk.com/webcast/15591/414427

Note: more information about the APTs discussed here, as well as KTAE, is available to customers of Kaspersky Intelligence Reporting. Contact: <u>intelreports@kaspersky.com</u>

- <u>APT</u>
- Lazarus
- <u>Malware Technologies</u>
- <u>Olympic Destroyer</u>
- <u>Security technology</u>
- <u>Turla</u>

Authors



Looking at Big Threats Using Code Similarity. Part 1

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *