Attacks on SWIFT Banking System Benefit From Insider Knowledge

Securingtomorrow.mcafee.com/mcafee-labs/attacks-swift-banking-system-benefit-insider-knowledge

May 20, 2016

By Christiaan Beek on May 20, 2016

In recent months, we've seen headlines about the compromise of a bank in Bangladesh from which cybercriminals attempted to steal US\$951 million. The malware they used was able to manipulate and read unique messages from SWIFT (Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication), as well as adjust balances and send details to a remote control server. BAE Systems wrote a detailed analysis and concluded that the malware must be based on a framework of different modules that could be used for multiple targets.

This week SWIFT sent another warning without details about another bank, this time in Vietnam that was compromised. According to a bank spokesperson, they detected in a timely manner the fraudulent transfer of \$1.13 million in December 2015. Because we know the attackers had some insight into the Bangladesh attack, McAfee assumed the attackers also knew something beforehand about the Vietnamese bank. We investigated possible malware indicators for the latter attack.

Files used for the investigation:

- MD5: 0b9bf941e2539eaa34756a9e2c0d5343
- MD5: 909e1b840909522fe6ba3d4dfd197d93

We focused our analysis primarily on the first sample. The file's compile timestamp is 2015-12-04 02:04:23. The first submission of the file from Vietnam was on December 22, 2015.

In the case of the Vietnamese bank, the file used for the attack is a fake version of the popular PDF reader Foxit. The malware installs itself in the original Foxit installation directory and renames the original file to FoxItReader.exe.

Once the user starts using the fake reader, the malware executes and writes to a log file in the temp directory C:\\Windows\temp\\WRTU\ldksetup.tmp. Analyzing this file, we see the log data is XOR encoded using the value 0x47.

push	1 ;	int		
push	eax ;	int		
push	offset aCWindowsTe	empWr	;	"c:\\windows\\temp\\WRTU\\ldksetup.tmp"
call	sub_401000			

As in the case of the Bangladeshi bank, the malware uses the configuration file Lmutilps32.dat, which can also be found in C:\\Windows\\temp\WRTU\. This file is also XOR encoded, with the value 0x7C4D5978.

Was this malware part of a targeted attack? Yes, absolutely. As in the malware used against the Bangladeshi bank, we found the SWIFT code for the target in multiple places in the malware:

's'	.data:00465338 0000000F	С	TPBVVNVXPrtOut
's'	.data:00465348 0000000E	С	TPBVVNVXPrtIn

The code TPBVVNVX is the SWIFT code for the Tienphong Commercial Joint Stock Bank, in Hanoi.

data:0048F410 sgsgxxx db SGSGXXX',0 : DATA XREF: sub 45AB30+26To ; .data:0048F40C¹o data:0048F410 data:0048F41C db AU3MXXX',0 ; DATA XREF: .data:0048F40810 au3mxxx ; DATA XREF: .data:0048F4041o data:0048F428 JPJTXXX',0 jpjtxxx db data:0048F434 db JPJTXXX',0 ; DATA XREF: .data:0048F40010 jpjtxxx KRSEXXX',0 ; DATA XREF: .data:0048F3FC¹o data:0048F440 db krsexxx data:0048F44C db UNUNXXX',0 ; DATA XREF: .data:0048F3F8¹o UNUNXXX ITMMXXX',0 ; DATA XREF: .data:0048F3F4to data:0048F458 db itmmxxx US33XXX',0 ; DATA XREF: .data:off_48F3F0¹o data:0048F464 us33xxx db data:0048F470 ; char aI64d[] data:0048F470 aI64d db '%I64d',0 ; DATA XREF: sub_45A500+91 to data:0048F476 lata:0048F478 ; char a_2i64d[] data:0048F478 a_2i64d db '%.2I64d',0

We also noticed that there were more SWIFT codes in the code:

These banks are based in Australia, Singapore, Japan, Korea, Vietnam, Italy, and the United States. We wondered why the actors would put this particular list in the malware. Further analyzing the working of the malware, we discovered an interesting part in the code concerning "Executing the real Foxit reader" and the next section in the code states "PDFmodulation success. ..." This hints of the manipulation of PDF files.

data:00465480	; char aFoxit_re	eaderEx[]		
data:00465480	aFoxit_readerEx	db '[FOXIT_READER] :	Executing real foxit reader with CommandLine = %'	
data:00465480			; DATA XREF: _main+203îo	
data:00465480				
data:004654C4	; char aS[]			
data:004654C4	aS	db ' "%s"',0	; DATA XREF: _main+1D1îo	
data:004654CA		align 4		
data:004654CC	; char aSTS[]			
data:004654CC	aSTS	db '"%s" /t "%s"',0	; DATA XREF: _main+19Bîo	
data:004654D9		align 4		
data:004654DC	; char aFoxit_re	eaderPd[]		
data:004654DC	aFoxit_readerPd	db '[FOXIT_READER] :	PDFModulation success, so real foxit reader will'	
data:004654DC			; DATA XREF: _main+17Dîo	
data:004654DC		db ' be executed.',0		
data:0046552B		align 4		

In the code, we found that the malware uses the original driver fpdsdk.dll from the Foxit SDK to execute the transformation of the files.

We discovered functionality in the code that converts PDF files to XML files, which are stored in the folder C:\Documents and Settings\Test\Local Settings\Temp\. The filenames start with XXX or RSP followed by a value between 0-F and finish with the extension .tmp.

Let's return to our list of SWIFT codes of other banks. The malware reads the SWIFT messages and checks if the sender of the message is one of the listed banks. Once it finds these messages, it reads their information:

```
data:0048F484 aStatementLine db ': Statement Line',0 ; DATA XREF: sub_45A760+5CTo

data:0048F495 align 4

data:0048F498 ; char aClosingBalance[]

data:0048F498 aClosingBalance db 'Closing Balance (Booked Funds)',0

data:0048F498 ; DATA XREF: sub_45A880+5D<sup>†</sup>o

data:0048F4B7 align 4

data:0048F4B8 aPos_temp db 'POS_TEMP',0 ; DATA XREF: sub_45A880+36<sup>†</sup>o

data:0048F4C1 align 4

data:0048F4C4 is char asc_48F4C4[]

data:0048F4C4 asc_48F4C4 db '------',0 ; DATA XREF: sub_45A9C0+D1<sup>†</sup>o

data:0048F4DA align 4

data:0048F4DA align 4

data:0048F4DC ; char aOpeningBalance[]

data:0048F4F0C ; char aSender[]

data:0048F4F0 ; char aSender[]

data:0048F4F6 aSender db 'Sender',0 ; DATA XREF: sub_45AB80:loc_45ABF0<sup>†</sup>o

data:0048F4F6 aSender db 'Sender',0 ; DATA XREF: sub_45AB80:loc_45ABA0<sup>†</sup>o

data:0048F4F7 align 4

data:0048F4F78 ; char aS_5[]

data:0048F4F78 ; sub_45AC00+117<sup>†</sup>o

; sub_45AC00+27D<sup>†</sup>o ...
```

The malware can manipulate these messages: deleting transactions, transaction history, and system logs, and prevent the printing of the fraudulent transactions:

```
0x42DC
          delete from graft..graft_history where file_name = '%s'
0x4314
          [LOG_CLEAR] : get file_id for %s failed.
0x4340
          [LOG_CLEAR] : clearing error_log success.
0x436C
          [LOG_CLEAR] : clear_log - deleting error_log failed.
          delete from graft..error log where file id = '%s'
0×43A4
0x43D8
          [LOG_CLEAR] : file_id = %s
0x43F4
          select file_id from graft..graft_history where file_name = '%s'
0x4434
          [LOG_CLEAR] : clearing "%s" in %s
0x4458
          %s\%s %d%.2d%.2d.txt
0×4470
          TPBVVNVX TPBVVNVX PrnOut
0x448C
          TPBVVNVX TPBVVNVX PrnIn
0×44A4
          TPBVVNVX TPBVVNVX PrintedOut
0x44C4
         TPBVVNVX TPBVVNVX PrintedIn
```

As in the Bangladeshi attack, we found some typos:

- Bangladesh: "fandation" instead of "foundation" and "alreay" instead of "already"
- Vietnam: "FilleOut" instead of "FileOut"

0x44E0 TPBVVNVX_TPBVVNVX_FilleOut

Does this analysis tell us anything about the actors? It might, but these details form a weak indicator. How easy is it to misspell some words on purpose to mislead investigators?

Conclusion

In both attacks we can see that the attackers have done their reconnaissance properly and may have used an insider to get the details they needed to prepare their attacks. In the Bangladeshi case, for example, the malware samples are tuned to the environment and how the banking system operates, including the supported software, databases, and printer. In the Vietnamese case, the malware is also tuned to fit the environment. The attackers knew that the bank used Foxit and replaced it with a fake version. The attackers have a very good understanding of the SWIFT messaging system and how to manipulate the system to prevent the detection of their fraudulent attempts of transferring the money. The malware in each attack was compiled just before the attack happened.

Although both attacks were discovered at some point during the attempts to transfer large amounts of money, the actors may well have executed a few test runs to check their operations before the real attacks.

The operation in Vietnam happened in December 2015 and was discovered after an investigation of the incident in February 2016 in Bangladesh. The Vietnamese attack was reported to the banking world in May 2016. Would logs still be available for an incident that happened about six months ago? Would the possible test runs be traceable? These are some of the many questions that arise. One lesson from both cases is that when a fraud alert is triggered by either an internal system or by transaction authorities, a thorough analysis— including an in-depth analysis of the malware—of the tactics and procedures used by the attackers is needed. In this case, investigators can share indicators such as MD5 sums, but because the attackers have customized their malware, sharing would be of little value. On the other hand, sharing the methods used by the attackers, the inner working of the malware, and its manipulation of the systems should teach us where to look and adapt our defenses.