

TRITON Actor TTP Profile, Custom Attack Tools, Detections, and ATT&CK Mapping

By Mandiant

Published: 2019-04-10 · Archived: 2026-04-05 21:34:30 UTC

Written by: Steve Miller, Nathan Brubaker, Daniel Kapellmann Zafra, Dan Caban

Overview

FireEye can now confirm that we have uncovered and are responding to **an additional intrusion by the attacker behind TRITON at a different critical infrastructure facility**.

In December 2017, FireEye publicly released our first analysis on the TRITON attack where malicious actors used the TRITON custom attack framework to manipulate industrial safety systems at a critical infrastructure facility and inadvertently caused a process shutdown. In subsequent [research](#) we examined how the attackers may have gained access to critical components needed to build the TRITON attack framework. In our most recent analysis, we attributed the intrusion activity that led to the deployment of TRITON to a Russian government-owned technical research institute in Moscow.

The TRITON intrusion is shrouded in mystery. There has been some public discussion surrounding the TRITON framework and its impact at the target site, yet little to no information has been shared on the tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs) related to the intrusion lifecycle, or how the attack made it deep enough to impact the industrial processes. The TRITON framework itself and the intrusion tools the actor used were built and deployed by humans, all of whom had observable human strategies, preferences, and conventions for the custom tooling of the intrusion operation. It is our goal to discuss these adversary methods and highlight exactly how the developer(s), operator(s) and others involved used custom tools in the intrusion.

In this report we continue our research of the actor's operations with a specific focus on a selection of custom information technology (IT) tools and tactics the threat actor leveraged during the early stages of the targeted attack lifecycle (Figure 1). The information in this report is derived from multiple TRITON-related incident responses carried out by FireEye Mandiant.

Using the methodologies described in this post, FireEye Mandiant incident responders have uncovered additional intrusion activity from this threat actor – including new custom tool sets – at a second critical infrastructure facility. As such, we strongly encourage industrial control system (ICS) asset owners to leverage the indicators, TTPs, and detections included in this post to improve their defenses and hunt for related activity in their networks.

For IT and operational technology (OT) incident response support, please contact [FireEye Mandiant](#). For more in-depth analysis of TRITON and other cyber threats, consider subscribing to [FireEye Cyber Threat Intelligence](#).

FireEye’s SmartVision technology, which searches for attackers during lateral movement activities by monitoring east-west traffic in IT and OT networks, reduces the risk of an attack reaching sensitive ICS processes. This is particularly relevant for sophisticated ICS-related intrusions as attackers typically move from corporate IT to OT networks through systems that are accessible to both environments, far beyond perimeter defenses.

Contents

- Tools and TTPs
- Hunting for ICS-focused threat actors across IT and OT
- Methodology and discovery strategies
- Appendix A: Discovery Rules
- Appendix B: Technical Analysis of Custom Attack Tools
- Appendix C: MITRE ATT&CK JSON Raw Data
- Indicators of Compromise



Figure 1: The FireEye targeted attack lifecycle

Actor Leveraged a Variety of Custom and Commodity Intrusion Tools

Throughout the targeted attack lifecycle, the actor leveraged dozens of custom and commodity intrusion tools to gain and maintain access to the target's IT and OT networks. A selection of the custom tools that FireEye Mandiant recovered are listed later in this post in Table 1, and hashes are listed in Table 2 at the end of this post. Discovery rules for and technical analysis of these tools, as well as MITRE ATT&CK JSON raw data, is available in Appendix A, Appendix B, and Appendix C.

TOOL	COMPONENTS	PURPOSE	ATTACK LIFECYCLE STAGE						
			Initial Compromise	Establish Foothold	Escalate Privileges	Internal Reconnaissance	Move Laterally	Maintain Presence	Complete Mission
SecHack	KB77846376.exe	Credential harvesting			X	X			
	KB77846376.exe.x64								
NetExec	NetExec.exe	Remote command execution							
	runsvc.exe	NetExec runner					X		
Cryptcat-based backdoor	cryptcat.exe cryptsvc.exe svchostpla.exe	Backdoor							
	compattelprerunner.exe	C&C domain name generator	X						
	ProgramDataUpdater.xml	Scheduled task file (persistence mechanism)							
PLINK-based backdoor	napupdatedb.exe	Backdoor		X					X
Bitvise-based backdoor	alg.exe userinit.exe csrss.exe	Backdoor							
	tquery.dll txflog.dll cryptopp.dll DEFAULT DEFAULT.BAK	Backdoor components					X	X	
OpenSSH-based backdoor	spl32.exe WinSAT.exe csrss.exe	Backdoor							
	clusapi.dll PolicMan.dll verifier2.dll misc.mof setup.ini	Backdoor components					X	X	
WebShell	logoff.aspx	Modified legitimate Outlook Web Access Component							
	flogon.js	Modified legitimate Outlook Web Access Component				X			X
	ftpexts.tlb	Output file containing credentials harvested by logoff.aspx							



Figure 2: Selection of custom tools used by the actor

The actor used multiple techniques to hide their activities, cover their tracks, and deter forensic examination of their tools and activities.

- They renamed their files to make them look like legitimate files, for example, KB77846376.exe, named after Microsoft update files.
- They routinely used standard tools that would mimic legitimate administrator activities. This included heavy use of RDP and PsExec/WinRM.
- When planting webshells on the Outlook Exchange servers, they modified already existing legitimate flogon.js and logoff.aspx files.
- They relied on encrypted SSH-based tunnels to transfer tools and for remote command/program execution.
- They used multiple staging folders and opted to use directories that were used infrequently by legitimate users or processes.
- They routinely deleted dropped attack tools, execution logs, files staged for exfiltration, and other files after they were finished with them.
- They renamed their tools' filenames in the staging folder so that it would not be possible to identify the malware's purpose, even after it was deleted from the disk through the residual artifacts (e.g., ShimCache entries or WMI Recently Used Apps).
- They used timestomping to modify the \$STANDARD_INFORMATION attribute of the attack tools.

Once the actor gained access to the targeted SIS controllers, they appeared to focus solely on maintaining access while attempting to successfully deploy TRITON. This involved strategically limiting their activities to mitigate the risk of being discovered.

- The actor gained a foothold on the distributed control system (DCS) but did not leverage that access to learn about plant operations, exfiltrate sensitive information, tamper with the DCS controllers, or manipulate the process.
- They then gained access to an SIS engineering workstation. From this point forward, they focused most of their effort on delivering and refining a backdoor payload using the TRITON attack framework.
- They attempted to reduce the chance of being observed during higher-risk activities by interacting with target controllers during off-hour times. This would ensure fewer workers were on site to react to potential alarms caused by controller manipulation.
- They renamed their files to make them look like legitimate files, for example, trilog.exe, named after a legitimate Schneider Electric application.

Operational Since At Least 2014

Based on analysis of the actor's custom intrusion tools, the group has been operating since as early as 2014. It is worth noting that FireEye had never before encountered any of the actor's custom tools, despite the fact that many of them date to several years before the initial compromise. This fact and the actor's demonstrated interest in operational security suggests there may be other target environments – beyond the second intrusion announced in this blog post – where the actor was or still is present.

- A sample of a Cryptcat-based backdoor used to establish the initial foothold was recovered during the investigation; the sample was compiled and uploaded to a malware testing environment by the actor in

2014.

- Cryptcat- and PLINK-based backdoors were scheduled to execute daily starting from April 28, 2014, using ProgramDataUpdater and NetworkAccessProtectionUpdateDB tasks. This date is unrelated to the observed intrusion timeline and may indicate the date the threat actors first created these persistence mechanisms.
- NetExec.exe, a custom lateral movement and remote command execution tool, is self-titled "NetExec 2014 by OSA."
- SecHack.exe "by OSA," a custom credential harvesting and reconnaissance tool, was compiled on Oct. 23, 2014.
- The attackers used a pirated version of Wii.exe, a public file indexing tool that came with a license from 2010 and has not been updated since 2014.

ICS Asset Owners Should Prioritize Detection and Defense Across Windows Systems in Both IT and OT

Most sophisticated ICS attacks leveraged Windows, Linux, and other traditionally "IT" systems (located in either IT or OT networks) as a conduit to the ultimate target. Some examples include leveraging computers to gain access to targeted PLCs (e.g., Stuxnet), interacting directly with internet-connected human machine interfaces (HMIs) (e.g., BlackEnergy), and gaining remote access to an engineering station to manipulate a remote terminal unit (RTU) (e.g., INDUSTROYER) or infect SIS programmable logic controllers (PLC) (e.g., TRITON).

Defenders who focus on stopping an attacker in these "conduit" systems benefit from a number of key advantages. These advantages will only grow as IT and OT systems continue to converge.

- Attackers commonly leave a broad footprint in IT systems across most if not all the attack lifecycle.
- It is ideal to stop an attacker as early in the attack lifecycle as possible (aka "left of boom"). Once an attacker reaches the targeted ICS, the potential of a negative outcome and its severity for the target increase dramatically.
- There are many mature security tools, services, and other capabilities already available that can be leveraged to defend and hunt in "conduit" systems.

Leveraging Known Tools and TTPs To Hunt For the TRITON Actor

Historic activity associated with this actor demonstrates a strong development capability for custom tooling. The developer(s) behind these toolsets leaned heavily on existing software frameworks and modified them to best serve the intrusion operations. The developer(s) had preferences regarding the ports, protocols, persistence mechanisms, and other aspects of how the malware operated.

While the preferences of the development team supporting this activity will likely shift and change over time, learning about them is still useful to identify whether their TTPs are applicable to other malware developers and threat actors. Additionally, the actor possibly gained a foothold on other target networks—beyond the two intrusions discussed in this post – using similar strategies. In such cases, retrospective hunting would help defenders identify and remediate malicious activity.

Based on the examination of developer(s) preferences and abstracted adversary methodologies, it is possible to build broader visibility of the TTPs using detection and hunting rules of various fidelity and threat density. The

compilation of these rules makes it possible to identify and classify potentially malicious samples while building new "haystacks" in which to hunt for adversary activity.

The TTPs we extracted from this actor’s activities are not necessarily exclusive, nor are they necessarily malicious in every circumstance. However, the TTP profile built by FireEye can be used to search for patterns of evil in subsets of network and endpoint activity. Not only can these TTPs be used to find evidence of intrusions, but identification of activity that has strong overlaps with the actor's favored techniques can lead to stronger assessments of actor association, further bolstering incident response efforts.

The following table provides insights into notable methodologies surrounding the use of custom tools and tips for identifying evidence of this and related activity. Adversary methodologies are also expressed in terms of the MITRE ATT&CK framework (see Appendix C for MITRE ATT&CK JSON raw data).

<u>Adversary Methodology.</u>	<u>Discovery Tips</u>
Persistence by Scheduled Tasks by XML trigger ATT&CK: T1053	Look for new and anomalous Scheduled Tasks XML triggers referencing unsigned .exe files.
Persistence by IFEO injection ATT&CK: T1183	Look for modifications and new entries referencing .exe files under registry key HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Image File Execution Options.
Command and control (C2) established using hard-coded DNS servers	Look for PEs executions with run DNS lookups to 8.8.8.8:53. This may be applicable to sandbox and other malware processing technologies.
C2 using favored C2ports ATT&CK: T1043	Look for outbound connections with port-protocol mismatches on common and uncommon ports such as 443, 4444, 8531, and 50501.

<p>ATT&CK: T1065</p>	
<p>C2 using favored Virtual Private Server (VPS) infrastructure</p> <p>ATT&CK: T1329</p>	<p>Look for inbound and outbound connections from and to non-standard IP ranges, especially from international VPS providers like OVH and UK-2 Limited (uk2.net).</p>
<p>C2 domains with hyphen</p>	<p>Look for newly observed 2LD and 3LD domains that contain hyphens.</p>
<p>C&C using dynamic DNS domains from afraid.org</p> <p>ATT&CK: T1311</p>	<p>Look for newly observed dynamic DNS domains owned or registered with afraid.org.</p>
<p>C2 domains registered with vfbmail.net email addresses</p>	<p>Look for newly observed domains or DNS resolutions to domains with registrant email information containing vfbmail.net</p>
<p>Tunneled RDP using PLINK</p> <p>ATT&CK: T1076</p>	<p>Look for the presence of PLINK and non-standard RDP usage with event logs, firewall logs, and registry keys as described in the FireEye blog post "Bypassing Network Restrictions Through RDP Tunneling."</p> <p>Find internal RDP pivoting by looking for bitmap cache files under user accounts that should not be accessing sensitive systems via RDP. Look for bitmap cache files such as bcache22.bmc under default, service, or administrator accounts or any account not expected to be conducting internal RDP accesses to sensitive systems in a protected OT-connected zone, especially in the DMZ or DCS areas like HMIs or engineering workstations.</p>

<p>C2 using hard-coded SSH private keys</p>	<p>Look for PEs with hard-coded OpenSSH private keys.</p>
<p>Use of direct RDP ATT&CK: T1076</p>	<p>Look for inbound RDP connections with default host information, non-standard or unexpected locale IDs, or other metadata. See also the FireEye blog post on baselining RDP activity.</p>
<p>C2 using source systems with default Windows hostnames</p>	<p>Look for default Windows hostnames that fit the structure WIN-[A-Z0-9]{11} (e.g., WIN-ABCDEFGH1JK) in PE certificates, SSL and SSH certificates, and RDP handshakes.</p>
<p>C2 using SSH</p>	<p>Look for new, unique, or unusual SSH sessions. Logging of SSH keys and fingerprints would quickly and easily identify an anomalous session as a result of malware. Look for SSH over non-standard ports.</p>
<p>Compromised VPN accounts ATT&CK: T1078</p>	<p>Look for VPN logon anomalies based on infeasible patterns such as source account location, IP address, and hostname associations. Check out the FireEye blog post and free toolset for VPN logon analysis, GeoLogalyzer.</p> <p>If you use SMS-based MFA, look for phone numbers registered outside the country where your employees operate.</p>
<p>Malware masquerading as Microsoft Corporation</p>	<p>Look for PEs with mismatched PE metadata such as contains "Bitvise" strings and also "Microsoft Corporation" in the metadata. Look for unsigned "Microsoft Corporation" binaries in the group's common staging directories.</p>
<p>Use of customized Bitvise binaries</p>	<p>Look for PEs with Bitvise PDB path strings such as d:\repos\main\ssh2\.</p>
<p>Use of customized OpenSSH binaries</p>	<p>Look for PEs with content "Microsoft openSSH client."</p>
<p>Use of customized Cryptcat but</p>	<p>Look for PEs that drop Cryptcat binaries or contain Cryptcat string content such as the default password "metallica."</p>

with default password	
Timestomping via PowerShell ATT&CK: T1099	Look for timestomping command strings such as ".CreationTime=" in PowerShell scripts or in PowerShell command-line entries. Look for PEs with NTFS creation time prior to PE compile time.
Deployment of binaries with debug information from developer workstations with Visual Studio 2010	Look for PEs with PDB paths containing default or generic paths such as <ul style="list-style-type: none">• \Users\user\Documents\Visual Studio 2010\• \Documents\Visual Studio 2010\.
Use of Thinstall for packaging malware	Look for PE with content "thinstall\modules\boot_loader.pdb." Look for Thinstall binaries that have created virtualized files in the context of the SYSTEM user "C:\Windows\SysWOW64\config\systemprofile\AppData\Roaming\Thinstall\."

<p>Use of favored directories for operating, staging and executing files</p>	<p>Look for new, unexpected, or otherwise anomalous binaries in the following directories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C:\Windows\system32\inetsrv\ • C:\Windows\temp\ • C:\Windows\SysWOW64\wbem • C:\Windows\SysWOW64\drivers • C:\Windows\SysWOW64 • C:\Windows\system32\wbem\ • C:\Windows\system32\drivers\ • C:\Windows\system32\ • C:\Windows\ • C:\Users\Public\Libraries\ • C:\Users\administrator\AppData\Local\temp\ • C:\ssh\ • C:\perflogs\admin\servermanager\ssh\ • C:\perflogs\admin\servermanager\ • C:\perflogs\admin\ • C:\perflogs\ • C:\cpqsystem\ • C:\hp\hpdiaags\ • C:\hp\bin\log\
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Table 1: TRITON actor methodology and discovery strategies

Outlook

There is often a singular focus from the security community on ICS malware largely due to its novel nature and the fact that there are very few examples found in the wild. While this attention is useful for a variety of reasons, we argue that defenders and incident responders should focus more attention on so-called "conduit" systems when trying to identify or stop ICS-focused intrusions.

In an attempt to raise community awareness surrounding this actor’s capabilities and activities between 2014 and 2017—an effort compounded in importance by our discovery of the threat actor in a second critical infrastructure facility—we have shared a sampling of what we know about the group's TTPs and custom tooling. We encourage ICS asset owners to leverage the detection rules and other information included in this report to hunt for related activity as we believe there is a good chance the threat actor was or is present in other target networks.

For IT and OT incident response support, please contact [FireEye Mandiant](#). For more in-depth analysis of TRITON and other cyber threats, consider subscribing to [FireEye Cyber Threat Intelligence](#).

FireEye’s SmartVision technology, which searches for attackers during lateral movement activities by monitoring east-west traffic in IT and OT networks, reduces the risk of an attack reaching sensitive ICS processes. This is

particularly relevant for sophisticated ICS-related intrusions as attackers typically move from corporate IT to OT networks through systems that were accessible to both environments, far beyond perimeter defenses.

Appendices

- Appendix A: Discovery Rules
- Appendix B: Technical Analysis of Custom Attack Tools
- Appendix C: MITRE ATT&CK JSON Raw Data

Posted in

- [Threat Intelligence](#)
- [Security & Identity](#)

Source: <https://www.fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2019/04/triton-actor-ttp-profile-custom-attack-tools-detections.html>