SANS ISC: Reviewing the spam filters: Malspam pushing Gozi-ISFB - SANS Internet Storm Center SANS Site Network Current Site SANS Internet Storm Center Other SANS Sites Help Graduate Degree Programs Security Training Security Certification Security Awareness Training Penetration Testing Industrial Control Systems Cyber Defense Foundations DFIR Software Security Government OnSite Training SANS ISC InfoSec Forums

😻 isc.sans.edu/forums/diary/Reviewing+the+spam+filters+Malspam+pushing+GoziISFB/23245

Reviewing the spam filters: Malspam pushing Gozi-ISFB

Introduction

Researchers should review their spam filters to see what malware is getting caught. Security professionals should be aware of current practices used by criminals pushing malware, even if it has little chance of infecting anyone in their organizations. Reviewing the spam filters keeps provides a clearer picture of our cyber-threat landscape.

In today's trip through the spam filters, I found two emails with malicious attachments. These attachments are Word documents with malicious macros designed to infect a vulnerable Windows host with Gozi-ISFB.





Shown above: Never a good sign when the document asks you to enable macros.

Unfortunately, I cannot share the emails. Both emails appear to contain legitimate correspondence. They each include a chain of previous messages, and I could not easily redact the information like I normally do with other examples of malicious spam.

Therefore, this diary will focus on the attachments, follow-up malware, and network traffic.

What is Gozi-ISFB?

Gozi-ISFB is a variant of Ursnif, and today's traffic looked like an example shared by <u>@DynamicAnalysis</u> in a blog post on <u>malwarebreakdown.com</u>.

I generated two infections using each of the Word documents. In today's activity, about 8 to 10 minutes after the initial infection, the infected Windows host downloaded follow-up malware. Here's what I saw:

- 1st Word document --> Gozi-ISFB --> Nymaim Trojan
- 2nd Word document --> Gozi-ISFB --> unknown malware

The first infection followed-up with the Nymaim Trojan, and I've documented Nymaim traffic back in <u>November</u> and <u>December</u> of 2017.

Filter: http.request or	dns.qry.name contair	ns dtyb	gsb 🗸 🗸	Expression	Clear Apply Save
Date/Time	Word macro	ort	Host		Info
2018-01-17 03:35:	rabs Gozi-ISFE	` •	ijqdjqnwiduqu	jqiuezxc.com	GET /NU/sof.php?utma=baw HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 03:35:12	188.25.175.38	81	ijqdjqnwiduqu	jqiuezxc.com	GET /NU/baw.pfx HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 03:35:16	188.25.175.38	80	ijqdjqnwiduqu	jqiuezxc.com	GET /s.php?id=baw HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 03:38:59	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/l8jbAlrj/VEcIQ4EhBV8FloehM
2018- Con- LOED	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/EimMh_2F2kF/sI6GA7X99haLkF,
2018- GOZI-ISFB	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/vnQhqfc6ty3JwiW9MhqFA/vmEf
2018- Callback	109.100.207 170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/Sc_2BvQNY/DqYTjKPR0HqtfGMp(
2018-01-1/ 03:41:54	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	GET /images/o4hJpzrKi8S_2FA/CU4ildBLiK2F
2018-01-17 03:42:05	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/KLPOeHHf/ajp0jDLbrkinR1D00M
Follow-up ^{42:17}	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/F_2FgDSTPvBY/D86k7_2BC0y/J
malware 42:19	109.166.237.170	80	adistributedm	ean.net	POST /images/X9B_2Bwj0FJdHQsE_2F1Fq/KY_:
retrieved	212.98.131.181	80	adistributedm	ean.net	GET /images/oiEMYfIlK6IlRJlxGfgpu/VlYOdł
1etneved : 44:48	184.168.10.1	80	fyibc.com		GET /vvv.bin HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 03:44:49	184.168.187.1	8.	fyibc.com		GET /nori3.bin HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 03:44:49	184.168.187.1	80	fyibc.com		GET /nori6.bin HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 03:44:58	8.8.4.4	53			Standard query 0x9ec4 A dtybgsb.com
2018-01-17 03:44:59	10.1.17.101	5145	I		Standard query response 0x9ec4 A 203.4
2018-01-17 03:44:59	86.120.168.154	80	zepter.com		POST /5lpomdt9j/index.php HTTP/1.1 (ap;
2018-01-17 03:45:09	8.8.8.8	53			Standard query 0x4c28 A dtybgsb.com
2018-01-17 03:45:09	10.1.17.101	51452		Nymaim	andard query response 0x4c28 A 151.2
2018-01-17 03:45:09	203.91.116.53	80	zepter.com	post-infectio	n ST /5lpomdt9j/index.php HTTP/1.1 (ap;
2018-01-17 03:45:20	8.8.4.4	53		traffic	andard query 0xb2c4 A dtybgsb.com
2018-01-17 03:45:20	10.1.17.101	51454	· L		andard query response 0xb2c4 A 22.13
2018-01-17 03:45:20	85.105.167.110	80	carfax.com		POST / HTTP/1.1 (application/x-www-form
2018-01-17 03:45:22	85.105.167.110	80	carfax.com		POST / HTTP/1.1 (application/x-www-form
2018-01-17 03:45:22	8.8.8.8	53			Standard query 0xad34 A dtybgsb.com
2018-01-17 03:45:23	10.1.17.101	51455	det infere	lion filton	Standard query response 0xad34 A 85.12

Shown above: Traffic from the 1st infection filtered in Wireshark.

Since I've covered Nymaim before, I'm far more insterested in the second infection where I couldn't identify the follow-up malware.

The second infection

The second infection follows the same patterns as the first. However, this time the follow-up malware is different. I saw encrypted traffic with no associated DNS requests or domains. Two of the IP addresses had interesting certificate data as shown in the images below.

Filter: http.request or	ssl.handshake.type	== 1	Expression Clear Apply Save
Date/Time	Word macro	rt	Host Info
2018-01-17 17:04:	rabs Gozi-ISF	в	fortrunernaskdneazxd.com GET /NA/sof.php?utma=kur HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 17:04:06	84.54.187.24		fortrunernaskdneazxd.com GET /NA/kur.pfx HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 17:04:11	84.54.187.24	80	fortrunernaskdneazxd.com GET /s.php?id=kur HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 17:07:40	213.6.121.106	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/l8jbAlrj/VEcIQ4EhBV8FloehMM:
2018- Ocal JOED	213.6.121.106	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/EimMh_2F2kF/sI6GA7X99haLkF/
2018- GOZI-ISFB	213.6.121.106	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/cK5yLiTXxhUYUIHVYQ/AFtQiOC_:
2018- callback	213.0.121.106	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/vYWoUnzrZi/Ik9Q_2FUgBbwIR2SL
2018-01-1/ 1/:10:21	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net GET /images/KJllp_2FhQ2OcM2lL_2BAa/wAGd_:
2018-01-17 17:10:32	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/v45Lmt8RLQNOEc4C/FazUkoy64u:
Follow-up :10:44	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/Pj1NDBpQ2Dcywwdfiuaa/Qtul2js
malware :12:20	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net GET /images/nvp8r03Mixm5gPsWCpjh/QS4p7zH.
rotriound 12:21	194.168.187.1	80	fyicreative.ca GET /dih.bin HTTP/1.1
retrieved :12:21	184.168.187.1	80	fyicreative.ca GET /nori3.bin HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 17:12:21	184.168.187.1	80	fyicreative.ca GET /nori6.bin HTTP/1.1
2018-01-17 17:12:32	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/uTbLOGBM1Bq/IdhJLyf9HSVeQa/
2018-01-17 17:12:39	69.90.132.196	443	Fellow up malware sourced
2018-01-17 17:12:41	69.90.132.196	443	Follow-up malware caused
2018-01-17 17:12:45	69.90.132.196	443	encrypted traffic on TCP port 443
2018-01-17 17:12:51	69.90.132.196	443	Client Hello
2018-01-17 17:14:20	90.180.1.23	80	bithedistributedlicense.net GET /images/FE7q_2Bi/2c_2F34SNeHQ8NsaJ7h
2018-01-17 17:15:44	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/497hDhXqOePlZmEARd9/SJcKXm6
2018-01-17 17:16:20	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net GET /images/m9qs4fkQJbCEk4yPQW7Z_2/BMo9lc
2018-01-17 17:16:31	85.105.167.110	80	bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/zpugKRxX62356Y/dF1pAvl50mgG
2018-01-17 17:16:50	41.193.159.41	443	Client Hello
2018-01-17 17:20:25	41.193.159.41	443	Client Hello
2018-01-17 17:20:32	41.193.159.41	443	Client Hello
Shown above:	Traffic from	n the	e 2nd infection filtered in Wireshark.

					-	
Date/Time	Src	port	Dst	port	Info	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	10.1.17.102	49198	69.90.132.196	443	49198-https [SYN] Seq=0 Win=8192 Len=0 MSS=14	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	69.90.132.196	443	10.1.17.102	49198	https→49198 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=14600	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	10.1.17.102	49198	69.90.132.196	443	49198-https [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=65536 Len=(
2018-01-17 17:12:39	10.1.17.102	49198	69.90.132.196	443	Client Hello	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	69.90.132.196	443	10.1.17.102	49198	https→49198 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=134 Win=15744 Ler	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	69.90.132.196	443	10.1.17.102	49198	Server Hello, Certificate, Server Hello Done	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	10.1.17.102	49198	69.90.132.196	443	49198→https [ACK] Seq=134 Ack=1096 Win=64512	
2018-01-17 17:12:39	10.1.17.102	49198	69.90.132.196	443	Client Kev Exchange. Change Cipher Spec. Encr	
☐ issuer: rdnSequence (0)						
Ξ	rdnSequence: 5	items	(id-at-commonNa	me=parc	and the second	
					ore-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp,id-at-organizatio	
	± RDNSequence	item:	l item (id-at-c	ountryN	ame=SG)	
		item: item:	l item (id-at-co l item (id-at-lo	ountryN ocality	re-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp,id-at-organizatio ame=SG) Name=Singapore)	
	 RDNSequence RDNSequence RDNSequence 	item: item: item:	l item (id-at-co l item (id-at-lo l item (id-at-o	ountryN ocality rganiza	re-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp,id-at-organizatio ame=SG) Name=Singapore) tionName=Armasn Ultd.)	
	 RDNSequence RDNSequence RDNSequence RDNSequence 	item: item: item: item:	l item (id-at-co l item (id-at-lo l item (id-at-o l item (id-at-o	ountryN ocality rganiza rganiza	re-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp,id-at-organizatio ame=SG) Name=Singapore) tionName=Armasn Ultd.) tionalUnitName=aseg2tsnt Areramnad)	
	 € RDNSequence € RDNSequence € RDNSequence € RDNSequence € RDNSequence € RDNSequence 	item: item: item: item: item:	l item (id-at-co l item (id-at-lo l item (id-at-o l item (id-at-o l item (id-at-co	ountryN ocality rganiza rganiza ommonNa	re-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp,1d-at-organizatio ame=SG) Name=Singapore) tionName=Armasn Ultd.) tionalUnitName=aseg2tsnt Areramnad) me=parore-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp)	
± va	 € RDNSequence € RDNSequence 	item: item: item: item: item:	l item (id-at-co l item (id-at-lo l item (id-at-o l item (id-at-o l item (id-at-co	ountryN ocality rganiza rganiza ommonNa	re-gistio.erioustabon.aarp,1d-at-organizatio lame=SG) Name=Singapore) tionName=Armasn Ultd.) tionalUnitName=aseg2tsnt Areramnad) me=parore-gtistio.erioustabon.aarp)	

Shown above: One example of certificate data from the encrypted postinfection traffic.

Date/Time	Src	port	Dst	port	Info		
2018-01-17 17:16:50	10.1.17.102	49222	41.193.159.41	443	49222-https [SYN] Seq=0 Win=8192 Len=0 MSS=14		
2018-01-17 17:16:50	41.193.159.41	443	10.1.17.102	49222	https→49222 [SYN, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=1 Win=40960		
2018-01-17 17:16:50	10.1.17.102	49222	41.193.159.41	443	49222→https [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=65536 Len=(
2018-01-17 17:16:50	10.1.17.102	49222	41.193.159.41	443	Client Hello		
2018-01-17 17:16:50	41.193.159.41	443	10.1.17.102	49222	https→49222 [ACK] Seq=1 Ack=134 Win=40827 Ler		
2018-01-17 17:16:51	41.193.159.41	443	10.1.17.102	49222	Server Hello, Certificate, Server Hello Done		
2018-01-17 17:16:51	10.1.17.102	49222	41.193.159.41	443	49222→https [ACK] Seq=134 Ack=1108 Win=64512		
2018-01-17 17:16:51	10.1.17.102	49222	41.193.159.41	443	Client Kev Exchange. Change Cipher Spec. Encr		
	rgilacal o (ollaro						
□ issuer: rdnSequence (0)							
⊟ rdnSequence: 6 items (id-at-commonName=sineixwhim.onthediantl.pet,id-at-organizationalUn							
	+ RDNSequence	item:	l item (id-at-c	ountryN	ame=CA)		
	E RDNSequence item: 1 item (id-at-stateOrProvinceName=Sason Dwinc)						
	🕀 RDNSequence item: 1 item (id-at-localityName=Ottawa)						
	+ RDNSequence	item:	l item (id-at-o	(id-at-organizationName=Stonvit Thide EURL)			
	± RDNSequence	item:	l item (id-at-o	(id-at-organizationalUnitName=uleye)			
	± RDNSequence	item:	l item (id-at-c	(id-at-commonName=sineixwhim.onthediantl.pet)			
Π V	+ validity						
Shown above: Another example of certificate data from the encrypted post-							

Shown above: Another example of certificate data from the encrypted postinfection traffic.

Based on the network traffic and post-infection artifacts, I could not identify the follow-up malware. The follow-up malware is a malicious DLL named *winmm.dll* that's loaded by a legitimate Windows system file named *presentationsettings.exe*. Both were found in a newly-created directory under the infected user's *AppData\Roaming* folder. See the indicators section below for details.

Indicators

Artifacts from the 1st infection:

SHA256 hash: <u>febb37762a92bedad337d0489ac482e356e2787533d65a757c3375fb147ff0a8</u>

- File size: 55,248 bytes
- File name: *Request.doc*
- File description: Word document with malicious macro

SHA256 hash: <u>14284152d53c119ad04c986a2a115485ae480d8012603679bf28ec27e3869929</u>

- File size: 1,101,824 bytes
- File location: C:\Users\[username]\AppData\Roaming\52a8081a.exe
- File location: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\Microsoft\Adsnsdmo\CRPPport.exe
- Associated Registry key: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run
- Value name: adprvmgr
- Value type: REG_SZ
- Value data: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\Microsoft\Adsnsdmo\CRPPport.exe
- File description: Gozi-ISFB (an Ursnif variant)

SHA256 hash:

 $\underline{d254e82bdbfd16aa9f0037e2c536c3b9dddd6ec559d26a5af005d3a1f8199d59}$

• File size: 580,864 bytes

- File location: C:\Users\[username]\AppData\Local\molarity-24\molarity-12.exe
- Associated Registry key: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run
- Value name: molarity-96
- Value type: REG_SZ
- Value data: C:\Users\[username]\AppData\Local\molarity-24\molarity-12.exe -s0
- File description: Probable Nymaim Trojan

SHA256 hash:

f1c9544e8f1de92f60f13e29403fc459811b93a7a316d957cb30c1b4a61ba61d

- File size: 656,896 bytes
- File location: C:\ProgramData\wedge-46\wedge-6.exe
- Associated Registry key: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\WindowsNT\CurrentVersion\Winlogon
- Value name: shell
- Value type: REG_SZ
- Value data: C:\ProgramData\wedge-46\wedge-6.exe -46,explorer.exe
- File description: Probable Nymaim Trojan

SHA256 hash: 6e5faf4c3eb47a5218f173564fc1e5a8afc65a8126ff7f602e8dbfe98a2ba695

- File size: 651,776 bytes
- File location: C:\Users\[username]\AppData\Roaming\aliasing-40\aliasing-2.exe
- File description: Probable Nymaim Trojan

Artifacts from the 2nd infection:

SHA256 hash: 044e86936bfc30cd0c07186b6e270650f896f6a42e9b8015abc184d161880090

- File size: 55,012 bytes
- File name: *NBS_Request.doc*
- File description: Word document with malicious macro

SHA256 hash:

f8bdb65d54ccab04a506e84f14bdbeef15f6266a7bd6e4e7dfde69de424dd10a

- File size: 1,010,688 bytes
- File location: C:\Users\[username]\AppData\Roaming\6d9be056.exe
- File location: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\Microsoft\Bitsxapi\efsuvoas.exe
- Associated Registry key: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run
- Value name: dmusdBth
- Value type: REG_SZ
- Value data: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\Microsoft\Bitsxapi\efsuvoas.exe
- File description: Gozi-ISFB (an Ursnif variant)

SHA256 hash: <u>208b94fd66a6ce266c3195f87029a41a0622fff47f2a5112552cb087adbb1258</u> (not malware)

- File size: 176,640 bytes
- File location: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\XPIALj1\PresentationSettings.exe
 Associated Registry key:
 - HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run
- Value name: Ehlho
- Value type: REG_SZ
- Value data: "C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\XPIALj1\PresentationSettings.exe"
- Start menu shortcut: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\Microsoft\Windows\Start Menu\Programs\Startup\Ehlho
- File description: Legitimate system file that loads any DLL named *winmm.dll* in the same directory.

SHA256 hash:

018084df00799387be61c5f849af8fce093aab8f73420a2ece7b47d0f45fa07e

- File size: 176,640 bytes
- File location: C:\Users\ [username]\AppData\Roaming\XPIALj1\WINMM.dll
- File description: Malicious component called by PresentationSettings.exe
- File description: Malware DLL loaded by legitimate system file *PresentationSettings.exe* in the same directory

1st run infection traffic:

- 188.25.175.38 port 80 *ijqdjqnwiduqujqiuezxc.com* GET /NU/sof.php?utma=baw
- 188.25.175.38 port 80 *ijqdjqnwiduqujqiuezxc.com* GET /NU/baw.pfx
- 188.25.175.38 port 80 *ijqdjqnwiduqujqiuezxc.com* GET /s.php? id=baw
- 109.166.237.170 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 109.166.237.170 port 80 adistributedmean.net POST /images/[long string].bmp
- 212.98.131.181 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 212.98.131.181 port 80 adistributedmean.net POST /images/[long string].bmp
- 86.120.77.221 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 86.120.77.221 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].jpeg
- 86.120.77.221 port 80 adistributedmean.net POST /images/[long string].bmp
- 80.80.165.93 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 80.80.165.93 port 80 adistributedmean.net POST /images/[long string].bmp

- 186.73.245.226 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 188.237.190.24 port 80 adistributedmean.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 184.168.187.1 port 80 *fyibc.com* GET /vvv.bin
- 184.168.187.1 port 80 *fyibc.com* GET /nori3.bin
- 184.168.187.1 port 80 *fyibc.com* GET /nori6.bin
- DNS queries (using Google DNS) for *dtybgsb.com*
- 86.120.168.154 port 80 zepter.com POST /5lpomdt9j/index.php
- 203.91.116.53 port 80 zepter.com POST /5lpomdt9j/index.php
- 155.133.93.30 port 80 zepter.com POST /5lpomdt9j/index.php
- 85.105.167.110 port 80 carfax.com POST /
- 85.105.167.110 port 80 zepter.com POST /
- NOTE: carfax.com and zepter.com are legitimate domains and not compromised. They just resolve to bad IP addresses for dtybgsb.com due to the nature of this Nymaim infection.

2nd run infection traffic:

- 84.54.187.24 port 80 fortrunernaskdneazxd.com GET /NA/sof.php? utma=kur
- 84.54.187.24 port 80 fortrunernaskdneazxd.com GET /NA/kur.pfx
- 84.54.187.24 port 80 fortrunernaskdneazxd.com GET /s.php?id=kur
- 213.6.121.106 port 80 bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/[long string].bmp
- 85.105.167.110 port 80 bithedistributedlicense.net POST /images/[long string].bmp
- 85.105.167.110 port 80 bithedistributedlicense.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 90.180.1.23 port 80 bithedistributedlicense.net GET /images/[long string].gif
- 184.168.187.1 port 80 *fyicreative.ca* GET /dih.bin
- 184.168.187.1 port 80 fyicreative.ca GET /nori3.bin
- 184.168.187.1 port 80 *fyicreative.ca* GET /nori6.bin
- 41.193.159.41 port 443 Encrypted traffic both with and without cerificate data
- 69.90.132.196 port 443 Encrypted traffic both with cerificate data
- 69.75.114.66 port 443 Encrypted traffic (no certificate data)
- 74.50.133.9 port 443 Encrypted traffic (no certificate data)
- 41.193.159.41 port 444 attempted TCP connections, but no response from the server
- 95.150.74.40 port 443 attempted TCP connections, but no response from the server
- 179.108.87.11 port 443 attempted TCP connections, but no response from the server
- 190.208.42.36 port 443 attempted TCP connections, but no response from the server

Of note, during the first infection, I rebooted the infected Windows host 3 or 4 times, which might account for multiple copies of what I assume are Nymaim. If you review the pcaps, the reboots are indicated any place you see an HTTP request to *www.msftncsi.com*.

Malicious domains

Indicators are not a block list. If you feel the need to block web traffic based on this diary, I suggest the following domains:

- ijqdjqnwiduqujqiuezxc.com
- adistributedmean.net
- fyibc.com
- fortrunernaskdneazxd.com
- bithedistributedlicense.net
- fyicreative.ca

Final words

Pcaps and malware for today's diary can be found here.

Good spam filtering, proper Windows administration, and best security practices will ensure most people never see this malware. However, criminals are constantly tweaking their methods in an attempt to slip past our defenses. It pays to be aware of current malware indicators, so we're prepared if any ever make it into our network.

Brad Duncan brad [at] malware-traffic-analysis.net

Thread locked Subscribe

Unfortunately this sometimes can be tricky to update filters to block preemptively in some organizations do not want to miss out on mail especially false positives. Many times security researchers are subjected to only employing filters reactively and specific to the malspam. These filters generally are very specific and only come after the fact of discovery which usually means someone opened the email and attachment. In todays business landscape businesses need to stand strong with their security policies and do due diligence to ensure that if false positives are caught, they have a process in place to allow audit of these emails and releasing of them. All to often the reaction is to turn off the filters because the emails get held up and impacts sales. Unfortunately this happens at the cost of security. Just my 2 cents.

Quote	Jan 17th 2018 4 years ago
So how did the malware get attached to legitimate emails?	SasK 12 Posts
Quote	Jan 18th 2018 4 years ago

Jan 17th 2018 4 years ago

ShadowITGroup

1 Posts

Quoting SasK: So how did the malware get attached to legitimate emails?

That's a very good question. If they are in fact legitimate emails, this implies a host used by the other email account (or perhaps the email account itself) communicating the with recipient is compromised. It's possible an infected host is using a local cache of an email client to send these messages. Unfortunately, without having access to the host at the other end of the conversation, I don't know how this is being done.



It's also possible these long email chains are completely fake, but what little I've seen indicates they are not. For example, signature blocks used by the recipient in previous correspondence from the email chain make me think these are legitimate conversations, and the host at the other end is somehow compromised. How this happened? I don't know.

<u>Quote</u>

Jan 18th 2018 4 years ago