The Defective Domain Generation Algorithm of BazarLoader

johannesbader.ch/blog/the-buggy-dga-of-bazarbackdoor/





Edit 2020-07-19: Cybereason published an excellent article <u>A Bazar of Tricks: Following</u> <u>Team9's Development Cycles</u>. The article shows that the DGA is part of Bazar Loader, which will try to download Bazar Backdoor. I therefore renamed most instances of BazarBackdoor to BazarLoader.

When I analyzed the <u>domain generation algorithm of BazarLoader</u>, I noticed a sample that generates bizarre "domains":

^efggkzjhggm.bazaar
]`egkjzeggkl.bazaar
_`eigkzegigm.bazaar
^`ggilzeigin.bazaar
bceeijbhgeil.bazaar
_acgkjzfegkl.bazaar
a`gggkaeiggm.bazaar
`cehimzhghio.bazaar
`ceikzeeeim.bazaar
`ceghjzheghl.bazaar
a`eijjaegijl.bazaar
a`eijjaegijl.bazaar
a`geikaeieim.bazaar
_dghhkziihhm.bazaar

Two things are obviously wrong:

- 1. There is no top level domain **.bazaar** . There is a Persian tld بازار. which translates to bazaar, but that won't work of course.
- 2. Some second level domains contain special characters which makes them invalid too.

The first error is easy to explain: the authors meant to use **.bazar**, which is a valid EmerDNS domain. The second mistake is more interesting. The authors must have noticed the occasional special characters too. But they probably couldn't find the root cause and instead programmed a workaround that fixes some, but not all, characters.

Here is the sample with the broken DGA that I looked at:

MD5

18d635a8ca7caefb4f4513650a31efc9

SHA1

d555233122a277fb89797ab2293efbe2a0c75f7f

SHA256 2e99ed535a9f73bafab151ec409de04c953a0187cb8e4063317617befa09068d

Size 377 KB (386224 Bytes)

Compile Timestamp 2020-06-17 09:20:56 UTC

Links VirusTotal

Filenames DD45.exe, Preview_Report.exe (VirusTotal)

Detections

Virustotal: 41/76 as of 2020-07-09 13:47:45 - Trojan.Trickster.Gen (ALYac), Trojan.Win32.Mansabo.4!c (AegisLab), Trojan:Win32/Mansabo.e7acfbbd (Alibaba), Trojan/Win32.Mansabo (Antiy-AVL), Trojan.Mansabo (CAT-QuickHeal), Trojan.Win32.Mansabo.fef (Kaspersky), Trojan:Win32/Trickbot.A!Cert (Microsoft), TrojanSpy.Win64.TRICKBOT.ENJ (TrendMicro), TrojanSpy.Win64.TRICKBOT.ENJ (TrendMicro-HouseCall), Trojan.Mansabo (VBA32), Trojan.Win32.Mansabo.fef (ZoneAlarm)

The domain generation algorithm in this faulty version is the same as the one documented <u>here</u>. The only place that is different is shown in the following screenshot comparison. The faulty DGA is on the left, the fixed on the right. Can you spot the problem?

				- I -	
	Loc_/F	F66BA14D95:			
48 88 05 BC 51 02 00	mov	rax, cs:qword_/FF66BA39F58			
4C 8D 45 D8	lea	r8, [rbp+57h+var_7F]			
48 8B 15 B9 51 02 00	mov	rdx, cs:qword_/FF66BA39F60			
48 8D 0D AA 51 02 00	lea	rcx, qword_7FF66BA39F58			
FF 50 08	call	qword ptr [rax+8]			
41 B9 02 00 00 00	mov	r9d, 2			
48 8D 55 07	lea	rdx, [rbp+57h+r]		100 75	E699291CEC
33 C9	xor	ecx, ecx		cot_/r	c CotTickCount
45 8D 41 02	lea	r8d, [r9+2]			
FF D0	call	rax ; bcrypt_BCryptGenRandom	44 OD CU DO 1E OE ED E1	mov	100, Cax
44 8B 45 07	mov	r8d, [rbp+57h+r]	DO IF OJ ED JI 41 EZ EG	1110 V	edX, 1374309333
B8 1F 85 EB 51	mov	eax, 1374389535	41 F7 E0 C1 FA A2	mut ohr	rou odv 2
41 F7 E8	imul	r8d	CI EA 03	SIII Ameril	eux, s
C1 FA 03	sar	edx, 3	0B CA 19	TWOL	ecx, eux, Zo
8B CA	mov	ecx, edx	44 ZB UI	SUD	roa, ecx
C1 E9 1F	shr	ecx, 1Fh	6U 4F 0b	Lea	ecx, [rai+b]
03 D1	add	edx, ecx	41 OB UU	mov	eax, rou
6B CA 19	imul	ecx, edx, 25	99	cad	
44 2B C1	sub	r8d, ecx	F7 F9	1010	ecx
0F B6 CB	movzx	ecx, bl	40 8A CF	mov	ci, aii
83 C1 06	add	ecx, 6	FF C7	100	edi
41 8B C0	mov	eax, r8d	04 61	add	al, bin ; 'a'
99	cdq		02 (9	add	cl, cl
F7 F9	idiv	ecx	02 CI	add	al, cl
8D 4F 61	lea	ecx, [rdi+'a']	88 06	mov	[rsi], al
40 80 C7 02	add	dil, 2	48 FF C6	ınc	rsi
02 C8	add	cl, al	3B FD	cmp	ed1, ebp
89 45 07	mov	[rbp+57h+r], eax	7C CA	յլ	short Loc_/FF688281CEC
0F B6 C3	movzx	eax, bl			
FE C3	inc	bl			
OF BE C9	movsx	ecx, cl			
66 89 0C 46	mov	[rsi+rax*2], cx			
40 80 FF 0C	стр	dil, OCh			
0F 82 D3 FE FF FF	jb	loc_7FF66BA14CE0			

The divisions by invariant multiplication are hard to read, but notice the right site being much shorter even tough the calculation is basically the same. This is because compiler optimization was able to strip some minor corrections that are only necessary for large numbers. Here the decompiled code after some renaming and cleaning up:

```
j_1 = 0;
i_1 = 0;
do
{
    r = 0;
    [...]
    bcrypt_BCryptGenRandom(0i64, &r, 4i64);
    offset_letter = i_1 + 'a';
    i_1 += 2;
    character = r % 25 / (j_1 + 6) + offset_letter;
    r = r % 25 / (j_1 + 6);
    j_2 = j_1++;
    *(szDomain + 2 * j_2) = character;
} while ( i_1 < 12u );</pre>
```

This is the same code as for the fixed DGA, except for how the random numbers are generated:

- 1. The faulty DGA generates 4 random bytes using a call to **BCryptGenRandom**.
- 2. The fixed DGA generates a random value with a call to **GetTickCount**, and extracting the lowest 15 bits.

The problem with the first approach is, that the number will be 0×80000000 or larger in 50% of the cases. Since it is a signed number, it becomes negative. And the remainder of a negative number for a positive divisor is negative. The fixed version doesn't have this problem, because the integer overflow does not happen. When extending the random number ranges to the negative, we get these character sets:

	0	•
0	-4-4]^_`abcde
1	-3–3	`abcdef
2	-3–3	bcdefgh
3	-2–2	efghi
4	-2–2	ghijk
5	-2–2	ijklm

index random number range potential characters

The malware authors used the following patch instead of fixing the integer overflow.

```
1 = 6i64;
do {
    c = *(&szSeedStr[-6] + wDomain - a2) + *(wDomain - 6) - '0';
    *wDomain = c;
    if ( c < 'a' )
        *wDomain = 'z';
    ++wDomain;
    --1;
} while ( 1 );
```

The patch is an if condition that replaces characters below "a" — that includes all special characters generated by the faulty DGA — with "z". This resolves the problem for the last half of the second level domain (in particular, the 7th and 8th letter, the rest are not affected by the bug). However, the first half of the second level domain remains unmodified.

The following Python reimplementation generates all possible domains for a given date. Note that due to the extended random ranges, there are about 55000 domains per month instead of 2160 for the fixed version. So even if the correct tld would have been used, then the number of domains would have been a problem for the attackers — as they have no way of predicting which ones are used in what order.

```
import argparse
from datetime import datetime
from itertools import product
def dga(date):
    month = date.month
    year = date.year
    date_str = "{0:02d}{1:04d}".format(12-month, year-18)
    valid_chars = [
      "]^_`abcde",
      "`abcdef",
      "bcdefgh",
      "efghi",
      "ghijk",
      "ijklm"
    ]
    valid_chars = [list(_) for _ in valid_chars]
    for part1 in product(*valid_chars):
        domain = "".join(part1)
        for i, c in enumerate(part1):
            r = ord(c) + int(date_str[i])
            if r < ord('a'):</pre>
                domain += 'z'
            else:
                domain += chr(r)
        domain += ".bazaar"
        yield domain
if __name__=="__main__":
    parser = argparse.ArgumentParser()
    parser.add_argument("-d", "--date", help="date when domains are generated, e.g.,
2020-06-28")
    args = parser.parse_args()
    if args.date:
        d = datetime.strptime(args.date, "%Y-%m-%d")
    else:
        d = datetime.now()
    for domain in dga(d):
        print(domain)
```