

Latest TeslaCrypt Ransomware Borrows Code From Carberp Trojan

By Josh Grunzweig

Published: 2015-10-09 · Archived: 2026-04-05 13:30:24 UTC

In recent weeks, we have noticed changes in the TeslaCrypt ransomware malware family's code base. [OpenDNS](#) recently discussed some of these changes regarding the encryption techniques in this newest variant. While reverse engineering the underlying code of these samples we discovered that the author of TeslaCrypt borrowed code from the Carberp malware family in order to obfuscate strings and dynamically load libraries/functions.

TeslaCrypt was discovered in February 2015, and has been actively developed since its initial release. The TeslaCrypt family is known as ransomware—a type of malware that encrypts a victim's files then demands a form of payment in exchange for the decryption key. Ransomware has been very lucrative for attackers, and an ongoing challenge for consumers and businesses alike. Malware like TeslaCrypt is often delivered via spam emails or exploit kits. A recent [takedown](#) of multiple domains used by the popular Angler exploit kit estimated that as much as \$60 million in revenue was generated annually by ransomware alone.

TeslaCrypt has historically been known to borrow code or other features from various ransomware families. Older variants used a notification screen that looked nearly identical to the one used by the CryptoLocker malware family.



Figure 1. Locker notification for old variants of TeslaCrypt

The latest versions of TeslaCrypt attempt to mimic the popular CryptoWall malware family.




Figure 2. Locker notification for new variants of TeslaCrypt

As we can see from the figures, the author of TeslaCrypt has no reservations about re-using code where possible. Starting in late September, the newest version of TeslaCrypt was introduced and it included multiple updates. One of these updates involved modifications to how the victims' files were encrypted, which was discussed by OpenDNS in their [blog post](#).

However, when looking at the underlying code, a number of other changes caught our eye, including string obfuscation previously unseen in TeslaCrypt.

```
.text:0041DA40      push     148             ; size_t
.text:0041DA45      push     offset a90amrpgdqsfvfk ; "90amRPGDQ=f0vEM4fbGz56uwGMGwC6Sz14UW3xq"...
.text:0041DA4A      push     esi             ; void *
.text:0041DA4B      mov     [ebp+1en], 148
.text:0041DA52      call    _memset
.text:0041DA57      lea    ecx, [ebp+1en]
.text:0041DA5A      push   ecx
.text:0041DA5B      push   esi
.text:0041DA5C      call   string_decrypt
.text:0041DA61      mov     esi, c2_url_0
.text:0041DA67      push   60h             ; size_t
.text:0041DA69      push   offset a5e5glbid5ecn0s ; "5e5GLBIId5ScN0sLOkRx5aNCbaurDl3kiimii7eg"...
.text:0041DA6E      push   esi             ; void *
.text:0041DA6F      mov     [ebp+1en], 96
.text:0041DA76      call    _memset
.text:0041DA7B      lea    edx, [ebp+1en]
.text:0041DA7E      push   edx
.text:0041DA7F      push   esi
.text:0041DA80      call   string_decrypt
.text:0041DA85      mov     esi, c2_url_1
.text:0041DA8B      push   54h             ; size_t
.text:0041DA8D      push   offset aXkmFoRp6cq223ff ; "xkm/FO+RP6cq223ff6AvJTKaja807U/gjvPTLj"...
.text:0041DA92      push   esi             ; void *
.text:0041DA93      mov     [ebp+1en], 84
.text:0041DA9A      call    _memset
.text:0041DA9F      lea    eax, [ebp+1en]
.text:0041DAA2      push   eax
.text:0041DAA3      push   esi
.text:0041DAA4      call   string_decrypt
.text:0041DAA9      mov     esi, c2_url_2
```

Figure 3. TeslaCrypt string obfuscation

Upon further review, we discovered that these strings are encrypted using the RC2 cryptographic algorithm, using a static key of 'sdfk35jghs'. The initialization vector is generated by removing the first and last 4 characters, not counting the base64 padding characters. This process is shown below.

```
Original String: 885G1B1d95c9QsLOkRk5aNCbwurD13kiu117egkaW4cGinoQdicPlacsRlt780cVyy6JRWFECPuk3cBY1Z55e+XMwb126==
First 4 Bytes: xx5G
Last 4 Bytes: b126
Initialization Vector: xx5Gcl26
Base64 String to Decrypt: 18td58cW0atLOkRk5aNCbwurD13kiu117egkaW4cGinoQdicPlacsRlt780cVyy6JRWFECPuk3cBY1Z55e+XMw==
```

Figure 4. TeslaCrypt IV and data parsing

While examining the Carberp source code, we discovered this exact code. [Carberp](#) was a popular banking Trojan discovered in late 2011. Its main functionality included stealing online banking credentials, keystroke logging, and capturing data from various applications.

In mid-2013, the source code to Carberp was [posted for sale](#) on an underground Russian forum. A number of weeks following this posting, the source code was [leaked](#) to the general public. This allowed any individual to modify or copy the source code to this banking Trojan, which the author of TeslaCrypt appears to have done.

```
1 PCHAR RC2Crypt_PackEncodedBuffer(PCHAR Buf, DWORD BufSize, PCHAR IV)
2 {
3     PCHAR Result = STR::Alloc(BufSize + 8);
4     if (Result == NULL)
5         return NULL;
6
7     PCHAR P = Result;
8
9     STR::Copy(IV, P, 0, 4);
10    P += 4;
11
12    PCHAR End = Buf + BufSize;
13    while (End > Buf && *(End - 1) == '=') End--;
14
15    STR::Copy(Buf, P, 0, End - Buf);
16    P += End - Buf;
17
18    STR::Copy(IV, P, 4, 4);
19    P += 4;
20
21    STR::Copy(End, P, 0, BufSize - (End - Buf));
22
23    return Result;
24 }
```

Figure 5. Carberp string parsing prior to decryption

Looking further into the underlying code of TeslaCrypt, we found that the author has also implemented dynamic library and function loading.

```

.text:00412A0D      push     edi
.text:00412A0E      mov     edi, [ebp+var_4]
.text:00412A11      push     0CEBF17E6h ; dwProcNameHash
.text:00412A16      push     2 ; dwModule
.text:00412A18      push     0 ; Dll
.text:00412A1A      call    GetProcAddressEx
.text:00412A1F      add     esp, 0Ch
.text:00412A22      push     ebx
.text:00412A23      push     esi
.text:00412A24      push     0
.text:00412A26      push     1
.text:00412A28      push     0
.text:00412A2A      push     edi
.text:00412A2B      call    eax
.text:00412A2D      test    eax, eax
.text:00412A2F      jz     short loc_412A37
.text:00412A31      mov     ecx, [ebx]
.text:00412A33      mov     byte ptr [esi+ecx], 0
.text:00412A37      loc_412A37:
.text:00412A37      mov     edi, [ebp+var_4] ; CODE XREF: sub_4129F0+3F'j
.text:00412A3A      push     0D4B3D42h ; dwProcNameHash
.text:00412A3F      push     2 ; dwModule
.text:00412A41      push     0 ; Dll
.text:00412A43      call    GetProcAddressEx
.text:00412A48      add     esp, 0Ch
.text:00412A4B      push     edi
.text:00412A4C      call    eax
.text:00412A4E      mov     edi, [ebp+iv]
.text:00412A51      push     72760BB8h ; dwProcNameHash
.text:00412A56      push     2 ; dwModule
.text:00412A58      push     0 ; Dll
.text:00412A5A      call    GetProcAddressEx
.text:00412A5F      add     esp, 0Ch
.text:00412A62      push     0
.text:00412A64      push     edi
.text:00412A65      call    eax

```

Figure 6. Dynamic function loading in TeslaCrypt

Sure enough, this code was also copied from Carberp’s source code. Hashes used to identify function are generated via the following algorithm:


```

39 | ULONG CalcHash(char *str)
40 | {
41 |     ULONG hash = 0;
42 |     char *s = str;
43 |
44 |     while (*s)
45 |     {
46 |         hash = ((hash << 7) & (ULONG)-1) | (hash >> (32 - 7));
47 |         hash = hash ^ *s;
48 |
49 |         s++;
50 |     }
51 |
52 |     return hash;
53 | }

```

Figure 7. Hashing algorithm

In order to assist analysts and reverse-engineers working on the latest version of TeslaCrypt, please refer to the [script](#) shown in Figure 8 that will attempt to automatically convert API hashes to their actual function names.



```
.text:0041E192      cmp     dword_43DC48, edi
.text:0041E198      ja     short loc_41E1C2
.text:0041E19A      push  6FB89AF0h
.text:0041E19F      push  1
.text:0041E1A1      push  edi
.text:0041E1A2      mov   dword_45E81C, edi
.text:0041E1A8      call  GetApiAddr
.text:0041E1AD      add   esp, 0Ch
.text:0041E1B0      push  edi
.text:0041E1B1      push  edi
.text:0041E1B2      push  edi
.text:0041E1B3      push  offset sub_41A140
.text:0041E1B8      push  edi
.text:0041E1B9      push  edi
.text:0041E1BA      call  eax
.text:0041E1BC      mov   [ebp+pSid], eax

.text:0041E1BA      cmp     dword_43DC48, edi
.text:0041E1B8      ja     short loc_41E1C2
.text:0041E19A      push  pCreateThread
.text:0041E19F      push  1
.text:0041E1A1      push  edi
.text:0041E1A2      mov   dword_45E81C, edi
.text:0041E1A8      call  GetApiAddr
.text:0041E1AD      add   esp, 0Ch
.text:0041E1B0      push  edi
.text:0041E1B1      push  edi
.text:0041E1B2      push  edi
.text:0041E1B3      push  offset sub_41A140
.text:0041E1B8      push  edi
.text:0041E1B9      push  edi
.text:0041E1BA      call  eax
.text:0041E1BC      mov   [ebp+pSid], eax
```

Figure 8. Results of running IDAPython script

Overall, it appears that the author of TeslaCrypt has continued their history of re-using code and functionality from other malware families. By using the string obfuscation and dynamic API loading functionality from Carberp, it makes reverse-engineering and simple static analysis slightly more difficult. However, as the Carberp source code is so widely known by the security community, the author may have inadvertently made detection of these samples easier. This is the tradeoff of re-using code from other malware families. It’s certainly quicker and easier to do, but may result in easier detection by security software.

All new variants of the TeslaCrypt malware family samples are properly classified as malicious by Palo Alto Networks [WildFire](#). [AutoFocus](#) users can find more information on samples and indicators related to this attack by viewing the [TeslaCrypt](#) tag.