

Malware Analysis - VIP Keylogger - Part 2

By Mandar Naik

Published: 2025-10-24 · Archived: 2026-04-05 18:40:44 UTC

Hello, Let's continue with the analysis of [VIP keylogger](#).

From the first part of malware analysis, we ended up with two executables.

We will call them *orange_part1.exe.vir* that has an actual name being *tzeyswvngw.tmp* and *orange_part2.exe.vir* that has an actual name being *aaotaboryx.tmp*

The file *orange_part1.exe.vir* has an SHA256 hash of,

```
0cae791ae86fd4960e6f9d62aac7941b1eee669e8ae373b28b32fba45e4bf46e
```

The file is present on Virustotal and is being detected by 8 AV.



Figure 1: Virustotal result of tzeyswvngw.tmp

The file is written in the .NET language, as shown by DIE.



Figure 2: DIE of orange_part1.exe.vir

We can use dnSpy to view the decompiled source code.



Figure 3: Decompiled source code of orange_part1.exe.vir

The main function is empty. We assume this is a dummy file that does nothing when executed.

Let's start with the analysis of the second file,

The second file *orange_part2.exe.vir* has an SHA256 hash of,

```
ca9e6eb1c8f2be20eaf9c220cf8482c264edd9c42389fc391eed41dfe8de59b
```

The file is present on Virustotal and is being detected by 50 AV.



Figure 4: Virustotal result of aaotaboryx.tmp

This file is also written in the .NET language, as shown by DIE



Figure 5: DIE of orange_part2.exe.vir

The file *orange_part2.exe.vir* contains suspicious functions like VirtualProtect, CreateDecryptor, etc.



Figure 6: Suspicious flags

The strings within do provide insightful data,



Figure 7: Strings within orange_part2.exe.vir

Strings insight (From fig. 7):

1. Checks some values and extensions for condition matching.
2. Base64 encoded strings for data obfuscation.
3. Sets exclusions for itself in Microsoft Defender to evade detection.

To identify further capabilities, we use Capa,



Figure 8: Capabilities of orange_part2.exe.vir

We load the sample in dnSpy and locate the main function to understand the behavior and execution flow.



Figure 9: Initial code of orange_part2.exe.vir

Code Insight (From fig. 9):

1. The application executes with a **hidden window**. This is one of the capabilities we identified using Capa, being listed as “hide graphical window”.
2. The “ntdll.dll” is loaded, and the “EtwEventWrite” function is used to turn off telemetry. It would be categorized as “patch Event Tracing for Windows function”.
3. From fig. 6, VirtualProtect is being used to make the memory location read-write.
4. At last, the variable *text* of type string is being initialized.

The string *text* and its content are being passed through a series of operations, and the output is stored in the variable **array4**.



Figure 10: Deobfuscated data in variable array4

The function *tftdhjpyudniswzlxstwqwgic* returns the contents of the file *xxxx.exe** from the manifest resources.



Figure 11: Function to load content of file xxxx.exe

There exists a file named `xxxx.exe*` in the resource section, and it is not executable.



Figure 12: File in resources section



Figure 13: DIE of `xxxx.exe`

The function `ybuqbwzamyefzwijsenomvw` returns the AES decrypted data of the content of "`xxxx*.exe`" with the keys and IV mentioned in the base64 encoded format.



Figure 14: Function to decrypt content

At last, the function `yjrhqztigcmthjbmoycwxuai` does the gzip decompression on the returned AES decrypted data.



Figure 15: Function to decompress content

To get the content of the variable `array4`, we debug the application,



Figure 16: Code debugging

The data stored in the memory location can be viewed. The magic byte **MZ** and **this program cannot be run in DOS mode** in the memory indicate that it is an executable file.



Figure 17: Content of memory

The same set of operations, i.e., Get the content of a file, AES decryption, and GZIP decompression in CyberChef, also yields the same executable file.



Figure 18: Operation using cyberchef

We dump the file from memory for further analysis,

The file `array4.dump` has an SHA256 hash of,

```
68e9d013f0867dfe02f531a17b0a08a8642b1fe49a8d9c8ec5f5bfd8ec42199
```

The file is present on Virustotal with name as `Remington.exe` and is being detected by 62 AV.



Figure 19: Virustotal result of `array4.dump`

The dumped file `array4.dump` is also written in .NET language, as shown by DIE.



Figure 20: DIE of dumped data

The strings within *array4.dump* seem pretty interesting.



Figure 21: Strings within array4.dump 1

Strings insight (From fig. 21):

1. A set of URLs along with a port number separated by `,`.
2. Details such as Computer name, IP address, etc. This seems to be a unique identifier to segregate collected data.



Figure 22: Strings within array4.dump 2

Strings insight (From fig. 22):

1. Send PHP utilization to collect data and send it via a POST request to this web server.
2. Screenshots and clipboard data can be exfiltrated along with keystrokes.

The key logger can exfiltrate data from a wide range of browsers,



Figure 23: Strings within array4.dump 3

Along with browser data like saved passwords, cookies, and auto-fill information, it can also target **email clients**, e.g., Outlook.



Figure 24: Strings within array4.dump 4

We again use dnSpy to view the decompiled source code,



Figure 25: Obfuscated binary

This .NET file appears to be obfuscated. We deobfuscate the file using *de4dot*.



Figure 26: Deobfuscation using de4dot

We re-open this deobfuscated file in a decompiler and locate the main function. The main function calls enormous numbers of functions internally. Some of them contain dead code.



Figure 27: Decompiled source code of array4.dump

The code contains an interesting part where a particular method takes two strings. One is constant, and the other is base64 encoded. The returned data from this method is stored in variables that had the most occurrences within the code.



Figure 28: Variables with most occurrences

The method does DES decryption of the base64 encoded string, with the key being the first 8 bytes of the MD5 hash of the constant string encoded in ASCII.



Figure 29: Method to decrypt strings

We use the equivalent Python code to deobfuscate the data,

```
import base64
import hashlib
from Crypto.Cipher import DES

def smethod_17(string_60: str, string_61: str) -> str:
    try:
        # Step 1: Derive DES key from MD5 hash of the password
        md5_hash = hashlib.md5(string_61.encode('ascii')).digest()
        des_key = md5_hash[:8] # DES key = first 8 bytes of MD5

        # Step 2: Create DES cipher (ECB mode)
        des = DES.new(des_key, DES.MODE_ECB)

        # Step 3: Base64-decode the input string
        encrypted_data = base64.b64decode(string_60)

        # Step 4: Decrypt and convert back to ASCII string
        decrypted_data = des.decrypt(encrypted_data)

        # Step 5: Remove possible padding (DES often uses PKCS5/7)
        try:
            padding_len = decrypted_data[-1]
            if all(p == padding_len for p in decrypted_data[-padding_len:]):
                decrypted_data = decrypted_data[:-padding_len]
        except Exception:
            pass # if padding removal fails, keep raw bytes

        return decrypted_data.decode('ascii', errors='ignore')

    except Exception:
        return None
```

We get the following output. The output contains mail addresses, hostname, etc.



Figure 30: Decrypted strings

We replace them in the initial source code (From fig. 28), and we get,



Figure 31: Strings replaced

The variables *strings_30* to *strings_34* are used in most parts of the code; one of them is below,



Figure 32: Function to exfiltrate data

From the code, we can interpret that an email is initiated with the following,

Name	Parameter
SMTP host name	mail[.]wiramas[.]com[.]my
SMTP port number	587
credential	Kwm@2024
from mail	rosli@wiramas[.]com[.]my
to mail	williamslucy570@gmail[.]com
subject	PC Name: {username} VIP Recovery
body	Exfiltrated Data

IOCs

```
d6255b39e2be431e6226c8414b75721a16c114960f8a87acc06ea9fa7563006f
0cae791ae86fd4960e6f9d62aac7941b1eee669e8ae373b28b32fba45e4bf46e
ca9e6eb1c8f2be20eaf9c220cf8482c264edd9c42389fc391eed41dfe8de59b
68e9d013f0867dfe02f531a17b0a08a8642b1fe49a8d9c8ec5f5bfd8ec42199
hxxp[://]vaders[.]kozow[.]com:8081
hxxp[://]aborters[.]duckdns[.]org:8081
hxxp[://]anotherarmy[.]dns[.]army:8081
http://51[.]38[.]247[.]67:8081/
89[.]208[.]29[.]130
69[.]55[.]5[.]249
141[.]226[.]236[.]91
3[.]23[.]155[.]57
rosli@wiramas[.]com[.]my
```

mail[.]wiramas[.]com[.]my
williamslucy570@gmail[.]com

We meet next time dissecting another sample or comming up with an evasion technique until then رافقتك السلامة

Source: <https://mandarnaik016.in/blog/2025-10-25-malware-analysis-vip-keylogger-part2/>