

# Dridex Trojan

By Nidal Fikri

Published: 2021-11-21 · Archived: 2026-04-05 21:24:38 UTC

## Dridex in a Nutshell [Permalink](#)

Dridex is a famous banking Trojan which appeared around 2011 and is still very active today. This is because of its evolution and its complex architecture, which is based on proxy layers to hide the main command and control servers (C&C). The APT known as [TA505](#) is associated to Dridex, as well as with other malwares such as TrickBot and Locky ransomware. Dridex is known for its unique anti-analysis techniques which combines API hashing with VEH (Vectored Exception Handling) manipulation. As a consequence, Dridex is able to effectively hide its intentions and requires skillful reverse engineers to accurately dissect it. Once installed, Dridex can download additional files to provide more functionality to the Trojan.

## Technical Summary [Permalink](#)

1. Dridex uses API hashing to conceal its imports. It's using CRC32 hashing, as well as another layer of XORing with hard-coded key. It's prasing the loaded DLLs in memory and its export tables. As a consequence, Dridex can resolve any imported win APIs then jumps to their addresses.
2. Another layer of complication is done with Vectored Exception Handling manipulation. Dridex inserts a lot of `int 3` and `ret` instructions everywhere to make the reverse engineering harder. Furthermore, the use of `int 3` triggers a custom exception handler planted by the malware. This malicious handler alters the execution flow to effectively jump between APIs.
3. Dridex comes with encrypted strings on its `.rdata` section. These strings are used as API parameters/settings for the malicious impact. Therefore, they are must be decrypted to know its intentions. Dridex uses RC4 to do the decryption. The first 40 bytes of every data chunk is the key (stored in a reverse order) then followed by the encrypted data.
4. Dridex stores its network configuration in plain text on its `.data` section. Obviously, it establishes connection with its C&C for further commands, and also to download additional malware modules. These modules extend its functionality. Dridex comes with 4 embedded C&C IP addresses.

## Technical Analysis [Permalink](#)

### Defeating Anti-Analysis [Permalink](#)

#### API Hashing [Permalink](#)

Dridex is famous for its anti-analysis techniques which include API hashing. API hashing -in a nutshell- is when a malware hashes the names (strings) of its imports, making it harder to know what APIs it will resolve at run-time. API hashing is famous among shellcodes. That's because a tightly crafted shellcode can't make use of the OS

loader, it's not a PE file and it must depend on itself to find where DLLs are residing in memory. Once it finds the targeted module, it parses its export table to know where it's providing its exported APIs (the address in memory). One way to spot API hashing techniques, is to look for a function which takes constant (random-like data) inputs, and finding that they are using its return value as a function pointer.

```
v7[0] = v3;
v4 = hinstDLL;
sub_607980(0);
dword_62B1D4 = sub_6015C0(0xA1310F65, 0x1DAACBB7);
if ( !byte_62B028 )
{
    if ( hinstDLL != NtCurrentTeb()->ProcessEnvironmentBlock )
        byte_62B265 = 1;
    if ( !byte_62B265 )
        v4 = sub_607560(0xE5AB9B45);
    dword_62B1C4 = v4;
    if ( !byte_62B265 )
    {
        sub_5F5150(v7[0]);
        dword_62B1D4(0);
    }
    goto LABEL_11;
}
dword_62B1C4 = hinstDLL;
if ( fdwReason != 1
    || !byte_62B004
    || (byte_62B004 = 0, (v6 = sub_6015C0(0xA1310F65, 0xA8D05ACB)) != 0) && v6(0, 0, sub_5F5100, hinstDLL, 0, 0) )
{
```

Figure(1): sub\_6015C0 will be renamed to be mw API Resolver

We can see that `sub_6015C0` matches the description we have just stated. It's called twice to resolve two Windows APIs. Also, we can notice that the 1st parameter is the same during the two calls here. This may indicate that the 1st parameter is likely to be the hashed DLL name and the 2nd parameter is likely to be the hashed API name.

We can label `sub_6015C0` as a potential API resolving routine. Now let's dive into it for more detailed analysis. We can see that it's depending on two more functions: `sub_607564` and `sub_6067C8` .

```

LABEL_12:
    ProcessEnvironmentBlock = NtCurrentTeb()->ProcessEnvironmentBlock;
    if ( v5 )
        return ProcessEnvironmentBlock->ImageBaseAddress;
    Ldr = ProcessEnvironmentBlock->Ldr;
    Current_DLL = Ldr->InLoadOrderModuleList.Flink;
    Prev_DLL = Ldr->InLoadOrderModuleList.Blink;
    while ( 1 )
    {
        var_DLL_Name = Current_DLL->BaseDllName.Buffer;
        v13 = 0;
        do
        {
            v14 = var_DLL_Name[v13];
            v42[v13] = v14;
            v5 = v14 == 0;
            Length = Current_DLL->BaseDllName.Length;
            if ( v5 )
                break;
            ++v13;
        }
    }

```

Grabs The DLL name For Further Comparisons

Figure(2): Using IDA Pro structs.

In sub\_607564 , we find that Dridex is parsing the process PEB structure in order to get the loaded modules- in the process address space-. By using the appropriate structs in IDA Pro, the code looks more readable right now.

Variable Name	Struct
Ldr	Pointer to _PEB_LDR_DATA
Current_DLL	Pointer to <a href="#">LDR_MODULE</a> (Click the link and search the documentation)
Prev_DLL	Pointer to <a href="#">LDR_MODULE</a>

As we can see, Dridex is using the Flink pointer to parse the loaded modules (DLLs) as \_LDR\_MODULE structs. The BaseDllName of every loaded module is obtained, and properly converted to the right form for further comparison. The BaseDllName is hashed by sub\_61D620 and XORed against the 38BA5C7B hard-coded key.

```

        ++var_DLL_Name_Length;
        ++var_Idx;
    }
    while ( var_DLL_Name_Length < Current_DLL->BaseDllName.Length );
    var_DLL_Hashed_Name = v45;
}
if ( var_DLL_Hashed_Name == (CRC32_Hashing(var_DLL_Name3, var_Idx) ^ 0x38BA5C7B) )
    break;
if ( Current_DLL == Prev_DLL )
    return 0;
Current_DLL = Current_DLL->InLoadOrderModuleList.Flink; // If no matching, try the next DLL
}
BaseAddress = Current_DLL->BaseAddress; // Found the required DLL BaseAddress!
if ( !BaseAddress )
    return BaseAddress;

```

Figure(3): Hash comparison; between the function input hash and the obtained name (after hashing and XORing).

We can determine the type of hashing algorithm using PEiD tool. Using the Krypto ANALyzer plugin, it was able to identify the hashing algorithm as CRC32 based on the used algorithm constants. After hashing and XORing the BaseDllName of the loaded module, it's compared against the target hash. Once there is a match, at 0X60769A, the BaseAddress of the wanted module (DLL) is returned. This address is used later for locating the wanted API within the module's export table. This address also points to the IMAGE\_DOS\_HEADER aka MZ header of the module. All that is purely done in the memory without the need of exposing the malware's imports.

We proceed to reverse sub\_6067C8. The routine accepts the previously returned DLL BaseAddress as a parameter along with the second hash. We can make a strong prediction that this function is using those parameters to return the API address in order to be used by Dridex. As we can see, The malware is parsing the module header in order to locate its export table. The export table of a certain DLL contains the addresses which its exported APIs are residing in memory.

```

if ( !arg_DLL_Base_Addr )
    return 0;
var_e_lfanew_offset = *(arg_DLL_Base_Addr + 60); // 0X3C in hex
v47 = result;
EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address = *(var_e_lfanew_offset + arg_DLL_Base_Addr + 0x78);
EXPORT_TABLE_End_Address = (EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address + *(var_e_lfanew_offset + arg_DLL_Base_Addr + 0x7C));
var_AddressOfNames = arg_DLL_Base_Addr + *(&EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address->AddressOfNames + arg_DLL_Base_Addr);
var_AddressOfNameOrdinals = (arg_DLL_Base_Addr
    + *(&EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address->AddressOfNameOrdinals + arg_DLL_Base_Addr));
v52 = var_AddressOfNameOrdinals;
if ( *(&EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address->NumberOfNames + arg_DLL_Base_Addr) )
{
    v15 = 0;
    var_API_Hashed_XORed_Name = var_API_Hashed_Name ^ 0x38BA5C7B; // XOR Key
    var_AddressOfNameOrdinals2 = var_AddressOfNameOrdinals;
    var_Export_Table_End_Address = EXPORT_TABLE_End_Address;
    var_Export_Table_Start_Address = EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address;
}

```

Figure(4): The offsets are calculated based on the function argument (DLL ImageAddress).

The malwares first references the e\_lfanew field at offset 0X3C from the beginning of the module. This field denotes the offset which the NT Headers begin. From there and by offset 0X78 -i.e. at offset 0X3C + 0X78 = 0X160 from the beginning of the DLL-, the malware can access the Data Directory. The first two fields of this array is the address of the Export Directory address and its size. We can use PEBear tool to visualize all


these offsets within the PE header. We use the `_IMAGE_EXPORT_DIRECTORY` struct with the variable `EXPORT_TABLE_Start_Address` to make the code more readable.

Hence, we can see the malware parsing `AddressOfNames`, `AddressOfNameOrdinals`, and `AddressOfFunctions` to make a mapping between every exported API's name and its memory address. If the hashed -and XORed- API name matches the 2nd argument of the function, its memory address is returned. By using this way, Dridex is able to effectively hide its needed APIs from security solutions. For more details about how to find an API address in memory check this [out](#).

Combining all together from the previous analysis, we now know that Dridex is doing API hashing using CRC32 + another layer of XORing. We can try to write a script to create a hash table of the famous Windows DLLs and their exports. Generating this table, we can then search into it using the hashes that Dridex uses. As a consequence, we can know which API and DLL Dridex is trying to resolve without the need of dynamic code analysis.

Fortunately, we don't have to create this script. We can use the amazing [hashdb](#) IDA plugin from OALabs. It will automate everything for us. We just need to identify the hashing algorithm and the XOR key to make hashdb ready.

```
dword_62B1C4 = hinstDLL;
if ( fdwReason != 1
    || !byte_62B004
    || (byte_62B004 = 0, (var_CreateThread_Address = mw_API_Resolver(KERNEL32_DLL, CreateThread_0)) != 0)
    && (var_CreateThread_Address)(0, 0, sub_5F5100, hinstDLL, 0, 0) )
{
LABEL_11:
mw_Restore_VEH(v8);
return 1;
}
```



Figure(5): Use enums with the 'm' button

This announces our victory over API hashing anti-analysis, and we can easily use the newly added enums to make the malware code more readable right now. For instance, at `0X5F9E47` we find that `CreateThread` is being resolved at that particular address.

### Vectored Exception Handling [Permalink](#)

To fully understand the intention of this anti-analysis technique, we need to know how Dridex is utilizing API hashing:

```

loc_61E398:                                     ; CODE XREF: sub_61E270+124↑j
        test     edx, edx
        jnz     short loc_61E3B2
        push    32DE9D9Bh
        push    3AB94787h
        call   mw_API_Resolver
        test   eax, eax
        jz     short loc_61E3B2
        push    ebp
        int     3
        retn
    
```

API Hash  
DLL Hash

EAX = Resolved API  
Address

Trigger The  
VEH

int 3

; Trap to Debugger

Figure(6): This pattern is found hundreds of times in this sample and also in any downloaded module.

The returned API address from `sub_6015C0` (labeled as `mw_API_Resolver`) is not used as `call` instruction operand. Rather, at `sub_607980`, Dridex is registering (adding) a new customized *Exception Handler* using `RtlAddVectoredExceptionHandler` API, which accepts an `_EXCEPTION_POINTERS` arguemnt. This customized exception handler will adjust the thread stack and `EIP` register, in order to alter the process flow to the previously resolved API address (via the `ret` instruction).

After calling the `mw_API_Resolver` function, `EAX` now contains the address of the resolved API. Dridex then traps the debugger or -more accurately- generates an `EXCEPTION_BREAKPOINT` using `int 3` instruction. This exception is passed to the process exception handlers vector in order to be properly handled. The previously planted customized exception handler will be the first to process the exception.

```

LABEL_13:
    DLL_BaseAddress = mw_Get_DLL_BaseAddress(KERNEL32_DLL, KERNEL32_DLL);
    if ( !DLL_BaseAddress && maybe_load_DLL(KERNEL32_DLL) )
        DLL_BaseAddress = mw_Get_DLL_BaseAddress(KERNEL32_DLL, KERNEL32_DLL);
    if ( DLL_BaseAddress )
    {
        API_Address = mw_Get_API_Address(DLL_BaseAddress, TerminateProcess_0, v8, v9);
    }
LABEL_16:
    if ( API_Address )
        (API_Address)(-1, 0); // Terminate the process
    }
}
else if ( ExceptionCode != EXCEPTION_BREAKPOINT )
{
    return 0;
}
++a1->ContextRecord->Eip; // EIP now points to the "ret" instruction after the "int 3"
a1->ContextRecord->Esp -= 4; // Mimicking "push" instruction
*a1->ContextRecord->Esp = a1->ContextRecord->Eip + 1; // ESP = EIP + 1 = Instruction after the "ret"
a1->ContextRecord->Esp -= 4; // Mimicking another "push" instruction
*a1->ContextRecord->Esp = a1->ContextRecord->Eax; // ESP = EAX which is containing the return value from the API reslover function
return -1;
}
    
```

Altering The Process  
Context

Figure(7): A process context is like a snapshot of its registers and stack.

This malicious handler will execute and alter the process' context if and only if the exception is caused by `int 3` instruction -which Dridex exactly wants-. The final process' context will be altered by these steps:

1. Incrementing `EIP` by 1 in order to make it point to the `ret` instruction.

2. Mimicking a `push EIP+1` instruction, in order to save the address of the instruction after `ret` on the stack ( manually building a stack frame).
3. Also mimicking a `push EAX` instruction, in order to make `ESP = Resolved API Address` .

Successfully achieving these steps, the flow will exactly resume at the `ret` instruction, pointed by the corrupted `EIP` , which will pop the address on top of the stack and jumps to it. This will make the wanted jump to the resolved API with no `call` instruction. Furthermore, after executing the resolved API code, the flow resumes at the previously saved address of the manually built stack frame (step no. 2). This will make the flow resume at the instruction after the `ret` , successfully returning back to the previous normal flow before the `int 3` instruction. Not to forget, this technique makes the dynamic code analysis harder, because you will deal with hundreds of debugger traps everywhere in the code.

Moreover, inserting `ret` instructions everywhere in the code tricks the disassemblers when trying to identify functions. Some disassemblers use `ret` instructions to identify the end of the functions. This makes another layer of complication using this anti-disassembly technique.

To overcome all this, we need to create a script which parses the code section of the sample, in order to fix those complication. We can create a small IDA Python script to search for the opcodes `int 3` and `ret` , and then patch them to be `call EAX` . This means that we are looking for the bytes `0xCCC3` , then we patch them to be `0xFFD0` . The script is below:

```
import idutils
import idaapi
import ida_search

def get_text_section ():
    for seg in idutils.Segments():
        if idc.get_segm_name(seg) == ".text":
            return [idc.get_segm_start(seg), idc.get_segm_end(seg)]

def search_N_patch(pattern, patch):
    search_range = []
    search_range = get_text_section()

    flag = True
    while(flag):
        addr = ida_search.find_binary(search_range[0], search_range[1], pattern, 16, ida_search.SEARCH_DOWN)

        idc.patch_word(addr,patch)

        if (addr == ida_idaapi.BADADDR or addr >= search_range[1]):
            flag = False

pattern = 'cc c3' # int 3 ret
patch = 0xd0ff # call eax (Little Endian)
```

```
search_N_patch(pattern,patch)
```

PS: This script only alters the IDA database and not the actual binary. To patch the sample in order to open it in a debugger, use the `pefile` python library instead.

Now, using hashdb as well as our IDA Python script, we have a better chance to understand Dridex functionality. First, we edit the data types of the `mw_API_Resolver` arguments to be `hashdb_strings_crc32` enum instead of integers. This in order to make IDA Pro automatically resolve the hashes, Secondly, we use IDA Pro Xrefs to know which API is being resolved at any particular location.

## Strings Decryption [Permalink](#)

Dridex contains a lot of malicious functionality. From simple host profiling up to DLL hijacking, there are a lot to cover when reversing Dridex. I will not dive deeply into all of its functionality, I will rather focus on the interesting parts only. To get the most of its intentions, you need to decrypt all the embedded strings. They are stored on the `.rdata` section in chunks. These strings are used as parameters with the resolved APIs to perform certain malicious impact.

```
if ( arg_key && key_length && arg_data && a4 && (a5 || a6) )
{
    v9 = CRC32_Hashing(arg_key, key_length);
    v10 = v9 != -766966750 && v9 != 1972916268;
    // KSA
    for ( i = 0; i < 256; ++i )
    {
        v12 = *(i % key_length + arg_key); // Thank you CAPA <3 .modulo key length, mnemonic: idiv @ 0x61E657
        var_s_box[i] = i; // initialization
        var_key[i] = v12;
    }
    LOBYTE(v13) = 0;
    for ( j = 0; j < 128; ++j )
    {
```

Figure(8): The key is initialized in the KSA part of the RC4. Check the wiki page.

We can use the amazing `capa` tool from Mandiant to find out if it can detect any encryption algorithms. Fortunately, `capa` was able to identify `RC4` is being used at `sub_61E5D0` . Also, from `capa`'s output, we can detect the operation: "key modulo its length" at the address `0x61E657` .

```


wrap_memcpy2(var destination, arg data offset, 40); // copy 40 bytes
v7[0] = sub_619640(var_destination, 0);
v4 = sub_619650(var_destination);
sub_61E780(v7[0], v4);
v7[1] = v3;
v7[3] = a3;
v7[2] = 0;
v8 = 1;
LOBYTE(v7[0]) = a3 == 0;
var_key = sub_619640(var_destination, 0);
RC4(var_key, 40, arg_data_offset + 40, 0x7FFFFFFF, 0, sub_607CD0, v7);
wrap_FreeHeap2(var_destination);
return a1;

```

At The Last Xref to sub\_61E5D0

Figure(9): The first renamed function just copies memory from certain source to defined destination.

From here, we can trace the Xrefs to sub\_61E5D0 to find out where the key is located and what is the key length. Taking the last Xref, at sub\_607B30, we can trace back the function arguments to find that the key is loaded from a certain offset at the .rdata section. The key length is 40 bytes and the data to decrypted starts after the key. As a consequence, we can deduce that for every chunk of data, their decryption key is the first 40 bytes then followed by the encrypted data. Also from other threat intel resources, we can know that Dridex stores the decryption key bytes in a reverse order.



Figure(10): It's always a good habit to quickly test decryption using CyberChef before developing scripts.

Let's try to use CyberChef to manually decrypt the data at the address 0X629BC0. The key starts at 0X629BC0 with a length of 40 bytes in a reverse order. The encrypted data starts at 0X629BE8. We can see the fully decrypted strings clearly now. The first two words are "Program Manager". That's why I didn't prefer to reverse all of Dridex functionality. The more important is to find out how the decryption is happening and then you can


decipher any code snippets. From this point, you can try yourself to decrypt every chunk of data and find out how they are being used for every malicious impact.

Dridex of course tries to connect with its threat actor. It's a must to find these remote ends in order to block them and cut out the lines between the malware operators and the infected machines. One way to find out the C&C servers, is to look for where networking functions are being called. From Xrefs to `mw_API_Resolver`, we can find that there are two important functions which are responsible for networking functionality; `sub_623370` and `sub_623820`. At `sub_623820`, it seems that it is used for further download activity, because it's resolving the `InternetReadFile` API. Inside `sub_623370`, we can see Dridex is resolving `InternetConnectW` API which accepts the `lpszServerName` parameter. This parameter identifies the remote end to where the connection is happening.

```
if ( LOBYTE(c2_count[0]) )
{
    cnt = 0;
    do
    {
        // C2 IP Extraction
        v113 = 0;
        var_port = c2_ports[3 * cnt];
        var_IP = *(&C2_array + 6 * cnt);
        v112 = var_port;
        sub_623100(&var_IP, &v116, &v116);
        sub_6137E0((dword_62B260 + 4), v116, *(dword_62B260 + 4));
        sub_610B10(&v116);
        ++cnt;
    }
    while ( cnt < LOBYTE(c2_count[0]) );
    v22 = dword_62B260;
}
```

Figure(11): This chunk of code is a little before the Xref.

Tracing the only Xref to `sub_623370`, we can spot Dridex parsing a data offset to extract the embedded IPs. This is at the address `0X5F7232` just a little before the call to `sub_623370`.



Figure(12): This pattern is repeated starting from (c2\_array) to store the whole 4 IPs and their associated ports.

The network configuration is not encrypted. Starting at offset 0x62B024. The ports can be converted via simple hex to decimal conversion. Yet, for the IPs we can use this small Python script to convert them into a human-readable format:

```
import socket
import struct

def int2ip(addr):
    return socket.inet_ntoa(struct.pack("I", addr))

print(int2ip(0xC02ED2DC)) # First IP
```

The extracted C&C IPs are below:

No	IP Address : Port Number
1	192.46.210.220:443
2	143.244.140.214:808
3	45.77.0.96:6891
4	185.56.219.47:8116

## Conclusion [Permalink](#)

The techniques of Dridex are somehow unique when combined together. We can easily defeat API hashing once we know the hashing algorithm and the XOR key. The use of VEH makes the reverse engineering process very painful and needs urgent patching. Dridex has a lot of capabilities and techniques, I've decided to rather focus on defeating anti-analysis and strings decryption. From there, you are able to identify any of its intentions.

## IoCs [Permalink](#)

No	Description	Value
1	Unpacked Sample Hash	F9495E968F9A1610C0CF9383053E5B5696ECC85CA3CA2A338C24C7204CC93881
2	1st C2	192.46.210.220:443
3	2nd C2	143.244.140.214:808
4	3rd C2	45.77.0.96:6891
5	4th C2	185.56.219.47:8116
6	Botnet ID	10444

## References [Permalink](#)

- <https://www.Offset.net/reverse-engineering/malware-analysis/dridex-veh-api-obfuscation/>
- <https://www.appgate.com/blog/reverse-engineering-dridex-and-automating-ioc-extraction>
- <https://blog.lexfo.fr/dridex-malware.html>

---

Source: <https://cyber-anubis.github.io/malware%20analysis/dridex/>